

# Clinical trials of immune checkpoint inhibition for melanoma in all type of patients

TrialResults-center [www.trialresultscenter.org](http://www.trialresultscenter.org)

## 1 anti-PD-1 antibody

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>nivolumab vs chemotherapy</b>			
CheckMate 037 (Weber) , 2015 [NCT01721746] n=272/133 follow-up:	intravenous infusion of nivolumab 3 mg/kg every 2 weeks until progression or unacceptable toxic effects versus investigators choice of chemotherapy (dacarbazine 1000 mg/m every 3 weeks or paclitaxel 175 mg/m combined with carboplatin area under the curve 6 every 3 weeks)	patients with advanced melanoma who progressed after ipilimumab, or ipilimumab and a BRAF inhibitor if they were BRAFV mutation-positive (second-line or later-line treatment)	Parallel groups open-label
<b>pembrolizumab 10mg/kg vs chemotherapy</b>			
KEYNOTE 002 (10mg/kg Q3W) , 2015 [NCT01704287] n=181/179 follow-up:	intravenous pembrolizumab 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks versus investigator-choice chemotherapy (paclitaxel plus carboplatin, paclitaxel, carboplatin, dacarbazine, or oral temozolomide)	patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma and disease progression following ipilimumab and, if BRAFV600 mutation positive, a BRAF inhibitor	Parallel groups open design
<b>pembrolizumab 2mg/kg vs chemotherapy</b>			
KEYNOTE 002 (2mg/kg Q3W) , 2015 [NCT01704287] n=180/179 follow-up:	Pembrolizumab 2 mg/kg IV Q3W versus investigator-choice chemotherapy (paclitaxel plus carboplatin, paclitaxel, carboplatin, dacarbazine, or oral temozolomide)	patients with unresectable or metastatic melanoma and disease progression following ipilimumab and, if BRAFV600 mutation positive, a BRAF inhibitor	Parallel groups open design
<b>nivolumab vs dacarbazine</b>			
CheckMate 066 (Robert) , 2015 [NCT01721772] n=418 follow-up:	nivolumab at a dose of 3 mg per kilogram of body weight every 2 weeks versus dacarbazine at a dose of 1000 mg per square meter of body-surface area every 3 weeks	previously untreated patients who had unresectable metastatic melanoma without a BRAF mutation (stage III or IV)	Parallel groups double-blind
<b>nivolumab vs ipilimumab</b>			
CheckMate 067 (nivo vs ipi) , 2015 [NCT01844505] n=316/315 follow-up:	nivolumab versus Ipilimumab alone	Previously Untreated Advanced Melanoma	Parallel groups double-blind

continued...

<b>Trial</b>	<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Patients</b>	<b>Trials design and methods</b>
CheckMate 238 , 2017 [NCT02388906] n=453/453 follow-up:	nivolumab at a dose of 3 mg per kilogram of body weight every 2 weeks versus ipilimumab at a dose of 10 mg per kilogram every 3 weeks for four doses and then every 12 weeks	patients with Complete Resection of Stage IIb/c or Stage IV Melanoma	Parallel groups double-blind US
<b>nivolumab + ipilimumab vs ipilimumab</b>			
CheckMate 067 (nivo + ipi vs ipi) , 2015 [NCT01844505] n=314/315 follow-up:	Nivolumab + ipilumab versus Ipilimumab alone	Previously Untreated Advanced Melanoma	Parallel groups double-blind
Postow , 2015 [NCT01927419] n=NA follow-up:	-	patients with metastatic melanoma who had not previously received treatment,	Parallel groups double-blind
<b>pembrolizumab (every 2W) vs ipilimumab</b>			
KEYNOTE-006 (every 2W) , 2015 [NCT01866319] n=NA follow-up:	pembrolizumab (at a dose of 10 mg per kilogram of body weight) every 2 weeks or every 3 weeks versus four doses of ipilimumab (at 3 mg per kilogram) every 3 weeks	patients with advanced melanoma who had received no more than one previous systemic therapy for advanced disease	Parallel groups open-label
<b>pembrolizumab (every 3W) vs ipilimumab</b>			
KEYNOTE-006 (every 3W) , 2015 [NCT01866319] n=277/278 follow-up:	Pembrolizumab Every 3 Weeks versus Ipilimumab (Participants receive ipilimumab, 3 mg/kg IV, once every 3 weeks for a total of Pembrolizumab Every 2 Weeks (Participants receive pembrolizumab, 10 mg intravenously (IV), once every 2 weeks for up to 2 years) 2/ Pembrolizumab Every 3 Weeks (P	patients with unresectable stage III or IV advanced melanoma and who had received no more than one previous systemic therapy for advanced disease	Parallel groups open label
<b>nivolumab + ipilimumab vs nivolumab</b>			
CheckMate 067 (nivo + ipi vs nivo) , 2015 [NCT01844505] n=314/316 follow-up:	Nivolumab + ipilumab versus nivolumab alone	Previously Untreated Advanced Melanoma	Parallel groups double-blind
<b>pembrolizumab 2mg/kg vs pembrolizumab 10mg/kg</b>			
KEYNOTE-001 , 2014 [NCT01295827] n=89/84 follow-up:	intravenous pembrolizumab at 2 mg/kg every 3 weeks versus intravenous pembrolizumab at 10 mg/kg every 3 weeks	patients (aged 18 years) with advanced melanoma whose disease had progressed after at least two ipilimumab doses	Parallel groups open-label
<b>pembrolizumab vs placebo</b>			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>KEYNOTE-054</b> <i>ongoing</i> [NCT02362594] n=NA follow-up:	Pembrolizumab (Participants receive pembrolizumab 200 mg intravenously (IV) on Day 1 of each 21-day cycle for up to 1 year) versus placebo	patients with complete Resection of High-Risk Stage III Melanoma	Parallel groups double-blind

## References

### CheckMate 037 (Weber), 2015:

Weber JS, Minor D, D'Angelo SP, et al. A phase 3 randomized, open-label study of nivolumab (anti-PD-1; BMS-936558; ONO-4538) versus investigator's choice chemotherapy (IC) in patients with advanced melanoma with prior anti-CTLA-4. Presented at the European Society for Medical Oncology 2014 Congress, Madrid, September 26-30, 2014. abstract.

Weber JS, D'Angelo SP, Minor D, Hodi FS, Gutzmer R, Neyns B, Hoeller C, Khushalani NI, Miller WH Jr, Lao CD, Linette GP, Thomas L, Lorigan P, Grossmann KF, Hassel JC, Maio M, Sznol M, Ascierto PA, Mohr P, Chmielowski B, Bryce A, Svane IM, Grob JJ. Krackha Nivolumab versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced melanoma who progressed after anti-CTLA-4 treatment (CheckMate 037): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015 Apr;16:375-84 [25795410] [10.1016/S1470-2045\(15\)70076-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(15)70076-8)

### KEYNOTE 002 (10mg/kg Q3W), 2015:

Ribas A, Puzanov I, Dummer R, Schadendorf D, Hamid O, Robert C, Hodi FS, Schachter J, Pavlick AC, Lewis KD, Cranmer LD, Blank CU, O'Day SJ, Ascierto PA, Salama AK, Margolin KA, Loquai C, Eigentler TK, Gangadhar TC, Carlino MS, Agarwala SS, Moschos SJ. Sos Pembrolizumab versus investigator-choice chemotherapy for ipilimumab-refractory melanoma (KEYNOTE-002): a randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:908-18 [26115796]

### KEYNOTE 002 (2mg/kg Q3W), 2015:

Ribas A, Puzanov I, Dummer R, Schadendorf D, Hamid O, Robert C, Hodi FS, Schachter J, Pavlick AC, Lewis KD, Cranmer LD, Blank CU, O'Day SJ, Ascierto PA, Salama AK, Margolin KA, Loquai C, Eigentler TK, Gangadhar TC, Carlino MS, Agarwala SS, Moschos SJ. Sos Pembrolizumab versus investigator-choice chemotherapy for ipilimumab-refractory melanoma (KEYNOTE-002): a randomised, controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:908-18 [26115796]

### CheckMate 066 (Robert), 2015:

Robert C, Long GV, Brady B, Dutriaux C, Maio M, Mortier L, Hassel JC, Rutkowski P, McNeil C, Kalinka-Warzocho E, Savage KJ, Hernberg MM, Lebb C, Charles J, Mihalciou C, Chiarion-Sileni V, Mauch C, Cognetti F, Arance A, Schmidt H, Schadendorf D, Gogas H. Nivolumab in previously untreated melanoma without BRAF mutation. *N Engl J Med* 2015 Jan 22;372:320-30 [25399552] [10.1056/NEJMoa1412082](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1412082)

### CheckMate 067 (nivo vs ipi), 2015:

Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, Schadendorf D, Dummer R, Smylie M, Rutkowski P, Ferrucci PF, Hill A, Wagstaff J, Carlino MS, Haanen JB, Maio M, Marquez-Rodas I, McArthur GA, Ascierto PA, Long GV, Callahan MK, Postow MA. Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab or Monotherapy in Untreated Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2015;373:23-34 [26027431]

### CheckMate 238, 2017:

Weber J, Mandala M, Del Vecchio M, Gogas HJ, Arance AM, Cowey CL, Dalle S, Schenker M, Chiarion-Sileni V, Marquez-Rodas I, Grob JJ, Butler MO, Middleton MR, Maio M, Atkinson V, Queirolo P, Gonzalez R, Kudchadkar RR, Smylie M, Meyer N, Mortier L, Atkins MB. Adjuvant Nivolumab versus Ipilimumab in Resected Stage III or IV Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2017; [28891423]

### CheckMate 067 (nivo + ipi vs ipi), 2015:

Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, Schadendorf D, Dummer R, Smylie M, Rutkowski P, Ferrucci PF, Hill A, Wagstaff J, Carlino MS, Haanen JB, Maio M, Marquez-Rodas I, McArthur GA, Ascierto PA, Long GV, Callahan MK, Postow MA. Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab or Monotherapy in Untreated Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2015 May 31; [26027431] [10.1056/NEJMoa1504030](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1504030)

### Postow, 2015:

Postow MA, Chesney J, Pavlick AC, Robert C, Grossmann K, McDermott D, Linette GP, Meyer N, Giguere JK, Agarwala SS, Shaheen M, Ernstoff MS, Minor D, Salama AK, Taylor M, Ott PA, Rollin LM, Horak C, Gagnier P, Wolchok JD, Hodi FS. Nivolumab and Ipilimumab versus Ipilimumab in Untreated Melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2015 May 21;372:2006-2017 [25891304] [10.1056/NEJMoa1414428](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1414428)

### KEYNOTE-006 (every 2W), 2015:

Robert C, Schachter J, Long GV, Arance A, Grob JJ, Mortier L, Daud A, Carlino MS, McNeil C, Lotem M, Larkin J, Lorigan P, Neyns B, Blank CU, Hamid O, Mateus C, Shapira-Frommer R, Kosh M, Zhou H, Ibrahim N, Ebbinghaus S, Ribas A Pembrolizumab versus Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. N Engl J Med 2015 Apr 19;: [25891173] [10.1056/NEJMoa1503093](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1503093)

Schachter J, Ribas A, Long GV, Arance A, Grob JJ, Mortier L, Daud A, Carlino MS, McNeil C, Lotem M, Larkin J, Lorigan P, Neyns B, Blank C, Petrella TM, Hamid O, Zhou H, Ebbinghaus S, Ibrahim N, Robert C Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab for advanced melanoma: final overall survival results of a multicentre, randomised, open-label phase 3 study (KEYNOTE-006). Lancet 2017;: [28822576]

### KEYNOTE-006 (every 3W), 2015:

Robert C, Schachter J, Long GV, Arance A, Grob JJ, Mortier L, Daud A, Carlino MS, McNeil C, Lotem M, Larkin J, Lorigan P, Neyns B, Blank CU, Hamid O, Mateus C, Shapira-Frommer R, Kosh M, Zhou H, Ibrahim N, Ebbinghaus S, Ribas A Pembrolizumab versus Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. N Engl J Med 2015 Apr 19;: [25891173] [10.1056/NEJMoa1503093](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1503093)

Schachter J, Ribas A, Long GV, Arance A, Grob JJ, Mortier L, Daud A, Carlino MS, McNeil C, Lotem M, Larkin J, Lorigan P, Neyns B, Blank C, Petrella TM, Hamid O, Zhou H, Ebbinghaus S, Ibrahim N, Robert C Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab for advanced melanoma: final overall survival results of a multicentre, randomised, open-label phase 3 study (KEYNOTE-006). Lancet 2017;: [28822576]

### CheckMate 067 (nivo + ipi vs nivo), 2015:

Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Cowey CL, Lao CD, Schadendorf D, Dummer R, Smylie M, Rutkowski P, Ferrucci PF, Hill A, Wagstaff J, Carlino MS, Haanen JB, Maio M, Marquez-Rodas I, McArthur GA, Ascierto PA, Long GV, Callahan MK, Postow MA, Combined Nivolumab and Ipilimumab or Monotherapy in Untreated Melanoma. N Engl J Med 2015;373:23-34 [26027431]

### KEYNOTE-001, 2014:

Robert C, Ribas A, Wolchok JD, Hodi FS, Hamid O, Kefford R, Weber JS, Joshua AM, Hwu WJ, Gangadhar TC, Patnaik A, Dronca R, Zarour H, Joseph RW, Boasberg P, Chmielowski B, Mateus C, Postow MA, Gergich K, Ellassaiss-Schaap J, Li XN, Iannone R, Ebbinghaus SW Anti-programmed-death-receptor-1 treatment with pembrolizumab in ipilimumab-refractory advanced melanoma: a randomised dose-comparison cohort of a phase 1 trial. Lancet 2014;384:1109-17 [25034862]

[10.1016/j.ejca.2015.06.072](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2015.06.072)

### KEYNOTE-054, :

## 2 antiCTLA-4 antibody

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>ipilimumab 10mg/kg plus dacarbazine vs dacarbazine</b>			
Robert (Ipilimumab) , 2011 [NCT00324155] n=NA follow-up:	ipilimumab (10 mg per kilogram) plus dacarbazine (850 mg per square meter of body-surface area) versus dacarbazine (850 mg per square meter)	patients with previously untreated metastatic melanoma (stage III (unresectable) orstage IV)	Parallel groups double blind
<b>ipi + gp100 vs gp100</b>			
Hodi (ipi + gp100) , 2010 [NCT00094653] n=403/136 follow-up:	Ipilimumab, at a dose of 3 mg per kilogram of body weight, was administered with gp100 every 3 weeks for up to four treatments versus gp100 alone	patients with previously treated metastatic melanoma patients with unresectable stage III or IV melanoma, whose disease had progressed while they were receiving therapy for metastatic disease	Parallel groups open-label

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>ipilimumab 3 mg/kg vs gp100</b>			
Hodi (ipi alone) , 2010 [NCT00094653] n=137/136 follow-up:	ipilimumab 3mg/kg every 3 weeks up to 4 treatments versus gp100 alone	patients with previously treated metastatic melanoma patients with unresectable stage III or IV melanoma, whose disease had progressed while they were receiving therapy for metastatic disease	Parallel groups open-label
<b>ipilimumab vs placebo</b>			
EORTC 18071 , 2015 [NCT00636168] n=475/476 follow-up:	ipilimumab at a dose of 10 mg per kilogram every 3 weeks for four doses, then every 3 months for up to 3 years or until disease recurrence or an unacceptable level of toxic effects occurred versus placebo	high risk patients who had undergone complete resection of stage III melanoma	Parallel groups double-blind

## References

### Robert (Ipilimumab), 2011:

Robert C, Thomas L, Bondarenko I, O'Day S, Weber J, Garbe C, Lebbe C, Baurain JF, Testori A, Grob JJ, Davidson N, Richards J, Maio M, Hauschild A, Miller WH Jr, Gascon P, Lotem M, Harmanakaya K, Ibrahim R, Francis S, Chen TT, Humphrey R, Hoos A, Wolchok JD Ipilimumab plus dacarbazine for previously untreated metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med 2011;364:2517-26 [21639810]

### Hodi (ipi + gp100), 2010:

Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, Gonzalez R, Robert C, Schadendorf D, Hassel JC, Akerley W, van den Eertwegh AJ, Lutzky J, Lorigan P, Vaubel JM, Linette GP, Hogg D, Ottensmeier CH, Lebb C, Peschel C, Quirt I, Clark JI, Wol Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med 2010;363:711-23 [20525992]

### Hodi (ipi alone), 2010:

Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, Gonzalez R, Robert C, Schadendorf D, Hassel JC, Akerley W, van den Eertwegh AJ, Lutzky J, Lorigan P, Vaubel JM, Linette GP, Hogg D, Ottensmeier CH, Lebb C, Peschel C, Quirt I, Clark JI, Wol Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med 2010;363:711-23 [20525992]

### EORTC 18071, 2015:

Eggermont AM, Chiarion-Sileni V, Grob JJ, Dummer R, Wolchok JD, Schmidt H, Hamid O, Robert C, Ascierto PA, Richards JM, Lebb C, Ferraresi V, Smylie M, Weber JS, Maio M, Konto C, Hoos A, de Pril V, Gurunath RK, de Schaetzen G, Suci S, Testori A Adjuvant ipilimumab versus placebo after complete resection of high-risk stage III melanoma (EORTC 18071): a randomised, double-blind, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2015;16:522-30 [25840693]

Eggermont AM, Chiarion-Sileni V, Grob JJ, Dummer R, Wolchok JD, Schmidt H, Hamid O, Robert C, Ascierto PA, Richards JM, Lebb C, Ferraresi V, Smylie M, Weber JS, Maio M, Bastholt L, Mortier L, Thomas L, Tahir S, Hauschild A, Hassel JC, Hodi FS, Taitt C, d Prolonged Survival in Stage III Melanoma with Ipilimumab Adjuvant Therapy. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1845-1855 [27717298]

## 3 About TrialResults-center.org

TrialResults-center is an innovative knowledge database that collects the results of RCTs and provides dynamic interactive systematic reviews and meta-analysis in the field of all major heart and vessels diseases.

The TrialResults-center database provides a unique view of the treatment efficacy based on all data provided directly from clinical trial results, offering a valuable alternative to personal bibliographic search, published meta-analysis, etc. Furthermore, it would allow comparing easily the various concurrent therapeutic for the same clinical condition.

Rigorous meta-analysis method is used to populate TrialResults-center: widespread search of published and non published trials, study selection using pre-specified criteria, data extraction using standard form.

TrialResults-center is continually updated on a weekly basis. We continually search all new results (whatever their publication channel) and these news results are immediately added to the database with a maximum of 1 week.

TrialResults-center is non-profit and self-funded.