

Clinical trials of percutaneous left ventricular assist device for acute myocardial infarction in patients with cardiogenic shock

TrialResults-center www.trialresultscenter.org

1 percutaneous left assist devices

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
IABP vs non-IABP			
IABP SHOCK II , 2012 [NCT00491036] n=301/299 follow-up: 30 days	intraaortic balloon counterpulsation versus no intraaortic balloon counterpulsation	patients with cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups open label
Arias , 2005 n=40 follow-up:	intra-aortic balloon pump versus no IABP	patients with acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups single-blind Mexique
TACTICS , 2005 n=NA follow-up:	IABP versus no IABP	patients with MI complicated by sustained hypotension, possible cardiogenic shock, or possible heart failure	Parallel groups
IABP SHOCK (Prondzinsky) , 2010 [NCT00469248] n=NA follow-up:	IABP versus no IABP	patients with AMI and CS undergoing PCI	Parallel groups open label
IABP vs other LVAD			
Burkhoff , 2006 n=14/19 follow-up:	intraaortic balloon pumping versus TandemHeart percutaneous ventricular assist device (pVAD)	patients presenting within 24 hours of developing cardiogenic shock	Parallel groups
Seyfarth , 2008 n=NA follow-up:	intra-aortic balloon pump versus Impella LP2.5	patients with cardiogenic shock	Parallel groups
Thiele , 2005 n=20/21 follow-up:	IABP versus Tandem Heart	Patients in CS after AMI, with intended PCI of the infarcted artery	Parallel groups

References

IABP SHOCK II, 2012:

Thiele H, Zeymer U, Neumann FJ, Ferenc M, Olbrich HG, Hausleiter J, Richardt G, Hennersdorf M, Empen K, Fuernau G, Desch S, Eitel I, Hambrecht R, Fuhrmann J, Bhm M, Ebelt H, Schneider S, Schuler G, Werdan K Intraaortic Balloon Support for Myocardial Infarction with Cardiogenic Shock. N Engl J Med 2012 Aug 26;: [22920912] [10.1056/NEJMoa1208410](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1208410)

Arias, 2005:

Arias EA [Impact of the intra-aortic balloon pump in the mortality due to cardiogenic shock secondary to acute myocardial infarction]. Arch Cardiol Mex. 2005 Jul-Sep;75(3):260-6 [16294814]

TACTICS, 2005:

Ohman EM, Nanas J, Stomel RJ, Leeser MA, Nielsen DW, O'Dea D, Rogers FJ, Harber D, Hudson MP, Fraulo E, Shaw LK, Lee KL Thrombolysis and counterpulsation to improve survival in myocardial infarction complicated by hypotension and suspected cardiogenic shock or heart failure: results of the TACTICS Trial. J Thromb Thrombolysis 2005 Feb;19:33-9 [15976965] [10.1007/s11239-005-0938-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11239-005-0938-0)

IABP SHOCK (Prondzinsky), 2010:

Prondzinsky R, Lemm H, Swyter M, Wegener N, Unverzagt S, Carter JM, Russ M, Schlitt A, Buerke U, Christoph A, Schmidt H, Winkler M, Thiery J, Werdan K, Buerke M Intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation in patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: the prospective, randomized IABP SHOCK Trial for attenuation of multiorgan dysfunction syndrome. Crit Care Med 2010 Jan;38:152-60 [19770739] [10.1097/CCM.0b013e31825feb3f](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31825feb3f)

Prondzinsky R, Unverzagt S, Russ M, Lemm H, Swyter M, Wegener N, Buerke U, Raaz U, Ebel H, Schlitt A, Heinroth K, Haerting J, Werdan K, Buerke M Hemodynamic effects of intra-aortic balloon counterpulsation in patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock: the prospective, randomized IABP shock trial. Shock 2012 Apr;37:378-84 [22266974]

Burkhoff, 2006:

Burkhoff D A randomized multicenter clinical study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the TandemHeart percutaneous ventricular assist device versus conventional therapy with intraaortic balloon pumping for treatment of cardiogenic shock. Am Heart J. 2006 Sep;152(3):469.e1-8 [16923414] [10.1016/j.ahj.2006.05.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahj.2006.05.031)

Seyfarth, 2008:

Seyfarth M A randomized clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a percutaneous left ventricular assist device versus intra-aortic balloon pumping for treatment of cardiogenic shock caused by myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008 Nov 4;52(19):1584-8 [19007597] [10.1016/j.jacc.2008.05.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2008.05.065)

Thiele, 2005:

Thiele H Randomized comparison of intra-aortic balloon support with a percutaneous left ventricular assist device in patients with revascularized acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. Eur Heart J. 2005 Jul;26(13):1276-83 [15734771] [10.1093/eurheartj/ehi161](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehi161)

2 About TrialResults-center.org

TrialResults-center is an innovative knowledge database that collects the results of RCTs and provides dynamic interactive systematic reviews and meta-analysis in the field of all major heart and vessels diseases.

The TrialResults-center database provides a unique view of the treatment efficacy based on all data provided directly from clinical trial results, offering a valuable alternative to personal bibliographic search, published meta-analysis, etc. Furthermore, it would allow comparing easily the various concurrent therapeutic for the same clinical condition.

Rigorous meta-analysis method is used to populate TrialResults-center: widespread search of published and non published trials, study selection using pre-specified criteria, data extraction using standard form.

TrialResults-center is continually updated on a weekly basis. We continually search all new results (whatever their publication channel) and these news results are immediately added to the database with a maximum of 1 week.

TrialResults-center is non-profit and self-funded.