

# Clinical trials of death and events prevention for coronary artery disease in all type of patients

TrialResults-center [www.trialresultscenter.org](http://www.trialresultscenter.org)

## 1 aspirin

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>aspirin vs placebo</b>			
<b>CDPA , 1976</b> n=758/771 follow-up: 1.83 y	Aspirin (324 mg) 3x/d versus Placebo	MI survivors	Parallel groups Double blind USA
<b>Cardiff I , 1974</b> n=615/624 follow-up: 2 years	Aspirin (300 mg) 1x/d versus Placebo	MI survivors	Parallel groups Double blind UK
<b>Cardiff II , 1979</b> n=832/850 follow-up: 1 y	Aspirin (300 mg) 3x/d for one year versus Placebo	patients with myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind South Wales
<b>Vogel , 1979</b> n=672/668 follow-up: 1.75 y (mean)	Aspirin (1.5 g daily) on an average period of 22 months versus Placebo	-	Parallel groups Double blind Germany
<b>AMIS , 1980</b> [NCT00000491] n=2267/2257 follow-up: >3 y	Aspirin (500 mg) 2x/d for at least 3 years versus Placebo	men and women who had had a documented myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind USA
<b>GAMIS , 1980</b> n=317/309 follow-up: 2 y	Aspirin (500 mg) 3x/d for 2 years versus Placebo	patients who had survived a myocardial infarction for 30-42 days	Parallel groups Double blind Germany, Austria,
<b>PARIS , 1980</b> n=810/406 follow-up: 41 mo	Aspirin (324 mg) 3x/d versus Placebo	patients who had recovered from myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind USA, UK
<b>JAMIS , 1999</b> n=250/230 follow-up: 1.3 y (mean)	Aspirin (81 mg) 1x/d versus No antiplatelets	patients with AMI within 1 month from the onset of symptoms	Parallel groups Open Japan

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>SAPAT , 1992</b> n=1009/1026 follow-up: 50 months	aspirin 75 mg daily versus placebo	patients with stable chronic angina pectoris	Parallel groups double blind Sweden

## References

### CDPA, 1976:

, Aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Coronary Drug Project Research Group. J Chronic Dis 1976; 29:625-42 [789390]

### Cardiff I, 1974:

Elwood P, Trial of acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of mortality from myocardial infarction. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1981; 282:481 [6780093]

### Cardiff II, 1979:

Elwood PC, Sweetnam PM, Aspirin and secondary mortality after myocardial infarction. Lancet 1979; 2:1313-5 [92668]

### Vogel, 1979:

Folia Haematol 1979; 106:797-803 [0]

### AMIS, 1980:

, The aspirin myocardial infarction study: final results. The Aspirin Myocardial Infarction Study research group. Circulation 1980; 62:V79-84 [7438383]

, A randomized, controlled trial of aspirin in persons recovered from myocardial infarction. JAMA 1980; 243:661-9 [6985998]

### GAMIS, 1980:

Bredden K, Loew D, Lechner K, Oberla K, Walter E, The German-Austrian aspirin trial: a comparison of acetylsalicylic acid, placebo and phenprocoumon in secondary prevention of myocardial infarction. On behalf of the German-Austrian Study Group. Circulation 1980; 62:V63-72 [6777073]

### PARIS, 1980:

, Persantine and aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study Research Group. Circulation 1980; 62:449-61 [7398002]

### JAMIS, 1999:

Yasue H, Ogawa H, Tanaka H, Miyazaki S, Hattori R, Saito M, Ishikawa K, Masuda Y, Yamaguchi T, Motomiya T, Tamura Y, Effects of aspirin and trapidil on cardiovascular events after acute myocardial infarction. Japanese Antiplatelets Myocardial Infarction Study (JAMIS) Investigators. Am J Cardiol 1999; 83:1308-13 [10235086]

### SAPAT, 1992:

Juul-Miller S, Edvardsson N, Jahnmatz B, Rosn A, Srensen S, Omblus R Double-blind trial of aspirin in primary prevention of myocardial infarction in patients with stable chronic angina pectoris. The Swedish Angina Pectoris Aspirin Trial (SAPAT) Group. Lancet 1992;340:1421-5 [1360557]

## 2 clopidogrel

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>clopidogrel vs aspirin</b>			
<b>ASCET</b> [NCT00222261] n=498/503 follow-up:	clopidogrel 75 mg once daily for two years versus Aspirin 160 mg once daily for two years	patients with documented coronary heart disease and treated with aspirin	Parallel groups open

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>CAPRIE , 1996</b> n=9599/9586 follow-up: 1.91 y	Clopidogrel (75 mg) 1x/d for a minimum of one year and a maximum of 3 years versus Aspirin (325 mg) 1x/d	patients with atherosclerotic vascular disease manifested as either recent ischaemic stroke, recent myocardial infarction, or symptomatic peripheral arterial disease	Parallel groups Double blind 16 countries (USA, Canada, Europe, Australia and NZ)

## References

ASCET, :

**CAPRIE, 1996:**

, A randomised, blinded, trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischaemic events (CAPRIE). CAPRIE Steering Committee. Lancet 1996; 348:1329-39 [8918275]

## 3 dipyridamol

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>dipyridamol vs control</b>			
<b>Atlanta (Sbar) , 1967</b> n=30/30 follow-up: 6 months	dipyridamole 150mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double-blind
<b>Wirecki , 1967</b> n=28/28 follow-up: 7 months	dipyridamole 150mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double blind
<b>Becker , 1967</b> n=14/13 follow-up: 5 months	dipyridamole 225mg daily versus placebo	-	parallel groups double-blind
<b>dipyridamol vs placebo</b>			
<b>Kinsella , 1962</b> n=13/13 follow-up: 0.5 months	dipyridamole 37.5 mg and 100mg daily versus placebo	-	parallel groups double-blind
<b>Leiberman , 1964</b> n=19/19 follow-up: >3 months	dipyridamole 100mg daily versus placebo	-	parallel groups double blind
<b>Zion , 1961</b> n=14/14 follow-up: 0.5 months	Dipyridamole 37.5mg versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	cross-over double-blind
<b>Dewar , 1961</b> n=17/17 follow-up: 0.5 months	Dipyridamole 100mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double-blind
<b>Neumann , 1964</b> n=20/16 follow-up: 1.5 months	dipyridamole 150mg daily versus placebo	elderly with precordial pain	parallel groups double-blind

continued...

<b>Trial</b>	<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Patients</b>	<b>Trials design and methods</b>
<b>Foulds , 1960</b> n=24/24 follow-up: 1 months	Dipyridamole 200mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double-blind
<b>Igloe , 1970</b> n=26/22 follow-up: 2-7 months	Dipyridamole 200mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double blind
<b>dipyridamol + aspirin vs placebo</b>			
<b>PARIS , 1980</b> n=810/406 follow-up: 41 months (mean)	Aspirin (324 mg) + dipyridamole (75 mg) 3x/d versus Placebo	patients who had recovered from myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind USA and UK
<b>PARIS-II , 1986</b> n=1563/1565 follow-up: 23.4 months	Aspirin (330 mg) + dipyridamole (75 mg) 3x/d versus Placebo	patients who had recovered from myocardial infarction, suffered from 4 weeks to 4 months previously	Parallel groups Double blind USA and UK
<b>dipyridamol + aspirin vs aspirin</b>			
<b>PARIS , 1980</b> n=810/810 follow-up: 41 months	Aspirin (324 mg) + dipyridamole (75 mg) 3x/d versus Aspirin (324 mg) 3x/d	patients who had recovered from myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind USA and GB

## References

### Atlanta (Sbar), 1967:

Sbar S, Schlant RC Dipyridamole in the treatment of angina pectoris. A double-blind evaluation. JAMA 1967;201:865-7 [5340622]

### Wirecki, 1967:

Wirecki M Treatment of angina pectoris with dipyridamole: a long-term double blind study. J Chronic Dis 1967;20:139-45 [5336520]

### Becker, 1967:

Becker MC. Angina pectoris: A double blind study with dipyridamole Journal of the Newark Beth Israel Hospital 1967;18:88-94

### Kinsella, 1962:

KINSELLA D, TROUP W, MCGREGOR M Studies with a new coronary vasodilator drug: persantin. Am Heart J 1962;63:146-51 [14456202]

### Leiberman, 1964:

LEIBERMAN A, GUGLIELMELLI S PERSANTIN- A DOUBLE BLIND STUDY. Angiology 1964;15:290-2 [14170587]

### Zion, 1961:

ZION MM, BRADLOW BA A controlled clinical trial of 'persantin' (R A 8) in angina pectoris. S Afr Med J 1961;35:11-3 [13788617]

### Dewar, 1961:

DEWAR HA, HORLER AR A clinical trial of Persantin and Crodimyl in the treatment of angina of effort. Scott Med J 1961;6:149-52 [13722387]

### Neumann, 1964:

NEUMANN M, LUISADA AA EFFECT OF RAPID AND SLOW-ACTING "CORONARY" DRUGS ON PRECORDIAL PAIN OF THE AGED. Am J Med Sci 1964;247:156-63 [14124704]

### Foulds, 1960:

FOULDS T, MACKINNON J Controlled double-blind trial of "persantin" in treatment of angina pectoris. Br Med J 1960;2:835 [13824151]

### Igloe, 1970:

Igloe MC Treatment of angina pectoris with dipyridamole: a double-blind study. J Am Geriatr Soc 1970;18:233-41 [4984849]

**PARIS, 1980:**

, Persantine and aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study Research Group. Circulation 1980; 62:449-61 [7398002]

, Persantine and aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study Research Group. Circulation 1980; 62:449-61 [7398002]

**PARIS-II, 1986:**

Klimt CR, Knatterud GL, Stamler J, Meier P, Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study. Part II. Secondary coronary prevention with persantine and aspirin. J Am Coll Cardiol 1986; 7:251-69 [2868029]

**PARIS, 1980:**

, Persantine and aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study Research Group. Circulation 1980; 62:449-61 [7398002]

## 4 ticlopidine

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>ticlopidine vs placebo</b>			
Berglund , 1985 n=21/17 follow-up: 2m	ticlopidine 500 mg daily versus placebo	middle-aged men with stable incapacitating angina pectoris	parallel groups double blind

## References

**Berglund, 1985:**

Berglund U, von Schenck H, Wallentin L Effects of ticlopidine of platelet function in men with stable angina pectoris. Thromb Haemost 1985;54:808-12 [3911481]

## 5 About TrialResults-center.org

TrialResults-center is an innovative knowledge database that collects the results of RCTs and provides dynamic interactive systematic reviews and meta-analysis in the field of all major heart and vessels diseases.

The TrialResults-center database provides a unique view of the treatment efficacy based on all data provided directly from clinical trial results, offering a valuable alternative to personal bibliographic search, published meta-analysis, etc. Furthermore, it would allow comparing easily the various concurrent therapeutic for the same clinical condition.

Rigorous meta-analysis method is used to populate TrialResults-center: widespread search of published and non published trials, study selection using pre-specified criteria, data extraction using standard form.

TrialResults-center is continually updated on a weekly basis. We continually search all new results (whatever their publication channel) and these news results are immediately added to the database with a maximum of 1 week.

TrialResults-center is non-profit and self-funded.