

# Clinical trials of prevention for diabetes type 2 in people with impaired glucose tolerance

TrialResults-center [www.trialresultscenter.org](http://www.trialresultscenter.org)

## 1 alpha-glucosidase inhibitor

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>error vs placebo</b>			
<b>Pan , 2003</b> n=261 follow-up: 16 weeks	acarbose 50 mg three times daily versus placebo	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (American Diabetes Association 1997 criteria)	Parallel groups double blind China
<b>STOP-NIDDM (Chiasson) , 2002</b> n=714/715 follow-up: 3.3 years	acarbose 100mg three times daily versus placebo	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1985 criteria)	Parallel groups double blind Canada, Germany, Austria, Nordic countries, Spain, Israel
<b>voglibose vs placebo</b>			
<b>Voglibose Ph-3 , 2009</b> [UMIN 000001109-] n=897/881 follow-up: 4.01 years	voglibose 0.2 mg three times daily versus placebo	patients with impaired fasting glucose	Parallel groups double blind Japan

## References

### Pan, 2003:

Pan CY, Gao Y, Chen JW, Luo BY, Fu ZZ, Lu JM, Guo XH, Cheng H Efficacy of acarbose in Chinese subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2003;61:183-90 [[12965108](#)]

### STOP-NIDDM (Chiasson), 2002:

Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, Hanefeld M, Karasik A, Laakso M Acarbose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the STOP-NIDDM randomised trial. *Lancet* 2002;359:2072-7 [[12086760](#)]

Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, Hanefeld M, Karasik A, Laakso M Acarbose treatment and the risk of cardiovascular disease and hypertension in patients with impaired glucose tolerance: the STOP-NIDDM trial. *JAMA* 2003;290:486-94 [[12876091](#)]

### Voglibose Ph-3, 2009:

Scheen AJ Voglibose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Lancet* 2009;373:1579-80 [[19395080](#)]

Kawamori R, Tajima N, Iwamoto Y, Kashiwagi A, Shimamoto K, Kaku K Voglibose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomised, double-blind trial in Japanese individuals with impaired glucose tolerance. *Lancet* 2009;373:1607-14 [[19395079](#)]

## 2 angiotensin receptor blocker

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>valsartan vs placebo</b>			
<b>NAVIGATOR valsartan , 2010</b> [NCT00097786] n=4631/4675 follow-up: 5 years	valsartan up to 160 mg daily versus placebo	subjects with impaired glucose tolerance and either CV disease or CV risk factors	Factorial plan double-blind 40 countries

## References

### NAVIGATOR valsartan, 2010:

Effect of Valsartan on the Incidence of Diabetes and Cardiovascular Events. N Engl J Med 2010 Mar 16; [20228403] [10.1056/NEJMoa1001121](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1001121)

Krum H, McMurray JJ, Horton E, Gerlock T, Holzhauser B, Zuurman L, Haffner SM, Bethel MA, Holman RR, Califf RM Baseline characteristics of the Nateglinide and Valsartan Impaired Glucose Tolerance Outcomes Research (NAVIGATOR) trial population: comparison with other diabetes prevention trials. Cardiovasc Ther 2010;28:124-32 [20184589] [10.1111/j.1755-5922.2010.00146.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-5922.2010.00146.x)

## 3 angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>ramipril vs placebo</b>			
<b>DREAM ramipril , 2006</b> [NCT00095654] n=2623/2646 follow-up: 3 y (median)	ramipril up to 15 mg daily versus placebo	patients with impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance, or both, and no previous cardiovascular disease	Parallel groups double blind 21 countries

## References

### DREAM ramipril, 2006:

## 4 anti-obesity agents

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>orlistat vs placebo</b>			
<b>Heymsfield , 2000</b> n=359/316 follow-up: 4 weeks	orlistat 120 mg three times/day versus placebo	obese (body mass index, 30-43 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) adults (WHO 1985 criteria)	Parallel groups double blind USA, Europe

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>XENDOS (Chiasson) , 2002</b> n=714/715 follow-up: 3 months	orlistat 120 mg three times/day versus placebo	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1994)	Parallel groups double blind Sweden

## References

Heymsfield, 2000:

XENDOS (Chiasson), 2002:

## 5 antidiabetic drugs

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>glipizide vs placebo</b>			
<b>Eriksson , 2006</b> n=34 follow-up: 18 months	glipizide 2.5 mg daily versus placebo	first-degree relatives of patients with type 2 diabetes fulfilling WHO criteria for IGT (WHO criteria in 2006)	Parallel groups double blind Finland
<b>metformin vs placebo</b>			
<b>EDIT (Holman) , 2003</b> n=631 follow-up:	metformin 500 mg three times/day, versus placebo	(WHO 1985 criteria)	UK
<b>Li , 1999</b> n=33/37 follow-up: 12 months	metformin 250 mg three times/day versus placebo	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1985 criteria)	Parallel groups double blind China
<b>US-DPP (metformin) (Knowler) , 2002</b> n=3234 follow-up: 2.8 years	metformin 850mg twice daily versus placebo	nondiabetic patients with elevated glucose and high risk for diabetes	Parallel groups double blind USA
<b>nateglinide vs placebo</b>			
<b>NAVIGATOR nateglinide , 2010</b> [NCT00097786] n=4645/4661 follow-up: 5 years	nateglinide 60mg 3 times daily versus placebo	subjects with impaired glucose tolerance and either CV disease or CV risk factors	Factorial plan double-blind 40 countries
<b>rosiglitazone vs placebo</b>			
<b>DREAM rosiglitazone , 2006</b> [NCT00095654] n=2365/2634 follow-up: 3 years (median)	rosiglitazone 8 mg daily versus placebo	patients with impaired fasting glucose or impaired glucose tolerance, or both	Parallel groups double blind 21 countries
<b>troglitazone vs placebo</b>			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
TRIPOD (Buchanan) , 2002 n=133/133 follow-up: 30 months (median)	troglitazone 400 mg once daily versus placebo	Hispanic women with previous gestational diabetes	Parallel groups double blind USA
US DDP troglitazone (Knowler) , 2005 n=585/582 follow-up: 0.9 year	troglitazone versus double placebo	nondiabetic patients with elevated glucose and high risk for diabetes	Parallel groups double blind USA

## References

Eriksson, 2006:

EDIT (Holman), 2003:

Li, 1999:

US-DPP (metformin) (Knowler), 2002:

NAVIGATOR nateglinide, 2010:

DREAM rosiglitazone, 2006:

TRIPOD (Buchanan), 2002:

US DDP troglitazone (Knowler), 2005:

4

## 6 drugs + lifestyle modification

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>lifestyle modification + metformin vs control</b>			
IDDP (Ramachandran) , 2006 n=531 follow-up: 2.5 y	advice on lifestyle modification, metformin, or both versus given standard health care advice (control)	native Asian Indians with impaired glucose tolerance	Parallel groups open India
Jarret , 1979 n=204 follow-up: 4.3 y	carbohydrate restriction with phenformin 50 mg daily versus carbohydrate restriction alone	men with impaired glucose toleranc	Parallel groups open

## References

IDDP (Ramachandran), 2006:

Jarret, 1979:

## 7 glitazones

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>rosiglitazone and metformin vs placebo</b>			
CANOE , 2010 [NCT00116932] n=103/104 follow-up: 3.9y (median)	rosiglitazone (2 mg) and metformin (500 mg) twice-daily versus placebo	patients with impaired glucose tolerance	Parallel groups double-blind

## References

CANOE, 2010:

## 8 herbal preparation

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>jiangtang bushen recipe vs control</b>			
Fan , 2004 n=51 follow-up: 4.1 y	jiangtang bushen recipe 2-3 times/week versus placebo	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1999 criteria)	Parallel groups open China

## References

Fan, 2004:

## 9 insulin

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>insulin glargine vs placebo</b>			
GRACE - ORIGIN (glargine) , 2012 n=1184 follow-up:	insulin glargine (with a target fasting blood glucose level of $\leq 95$ mg per deciliter [5.3 mmol per liter]) versus standard glycemic care alone	subject with known CV disease and/or CV risk factors plus impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, or type 2 diabetes	Factorial plan open-label

## References

GRACE - ORIGIN (glargine), 2012:

## 10 lifestyle modification

<b>Trial</b>	<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Patients</b>	<b>Trials design and methods</b>
<b>AHA 2 diet vs AHA 1 diet</b>			
Liao , 2002 n=70 follow-up: 22 months	American Heart Association (AHA) step 2 diet (<30% of total calories as fat, <7% saturated fat, 55% carbohydrate, and <200 mg cholesterol daily) plus endurance exercise for 1 h three times a week versus AHA step 1 diet (30% of total calories as fat, 10% saturated fat, 50% carbohydrate, and <300 mg cholesterol) plus stretching exercise three times a week	Japanese American subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO criteria 1998)	Parallel groups open USA
<b>lifestyle modification vs control</b>			
DPS (Lindstrm) , 2003 n=522 follow-up: 3.2y	individualized counseling aimed at reducing weight and intake of total and saturated fat, and increasing intake of fiber and physical activity versus control	Patients overweight with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1985 criteria)	Parallel groups open Finnish
Fang , 2004 n=178 follow-up:	-	subject with impaired glucose tolerance	Parallel groups China
JDPP (Sakane) , 2005 n=240 follow-up:	-	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1999 criteria)	Parallel groups Japan
Keen , 1982 n=241 follow-up:	-	subject with impaired glucose tolerance	Parallel groups
Kosaka , 2005 n=356/102 follow-up: 3.64 y	to maintain body mass index (BMI) of <24.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> and of <22.0 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , respectively, by diet and exercise. In the intervention group, detailed instructions on lifestyle were repeated every 3-4 months versus control	men with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO criteria 1980)	Parallel groups open Japan
Pan , 1997 n=530 follow-up: 6 y	three active treatment groups: diet only, exercise only, or diet plus exercise versus control	Patients with impaired glucosetolerance (WHO 1985 criteria)	Parallel groups open China
Tao , 2004 n=60 follow-up: 31 months	-	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1999 criteria)	Parallel groups China
US-DDP (lifestyle) (Knowler) , 2002 n=1079/1082 follow-up: 2.8 years	lifestyle-modification intervention versus placebo	nondiabetic patients with elevated glucose and high risk for diabetes	Parallel groups open
<b>intensive dietary advice vs routine dietary advice</b>			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Wein , 1999 n=200 follow-up: 4.24 y	intensive dietary advice versus routine dietary advice	women with previous gestational diabetes and currently with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1985 criteria)	Parallel groups open USA

## References

Liao, 2002:  
DPS (Lindstrm), 2003:  
Fang, 2004:  
JDPP (Sakane), 2005:  
Keen, 1982:  
Kosaka, 2005:  
Pan, 1997:  
Tao, 2004:  
US-DDP (lifestyle) (Knowler), 2002:  
Wein, 1999:

## 11 n-3 fatty acid supplement

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>n-3 fatty acid supplement vs placebo</b>			
GRACE - ORIGIN (n-3 fatty acid) n=1184 follow-up: 4.9y (median)	n-3 fatty acid supplement versus placebo	subjects with known CV disease and/or CV risk factors plus impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, or type 2 diabetes	Factorial plan double-blind

## References

GRACE - ORIGIN (n-3 fatty acid), :

## 12 About TrialResults-center.org

TrialResults-center is an innovative knowledge database that collects the results of RCTs and provides dynamic interactive systematic reviews and meta-analysis in the field of all major heart and vessels diseases.

The TrialResults-center database provides a unique view of the treatment efficacy based on all data provided directly from clinical trial results, offering a valuable alternative to personal bibliographic search, published meta-analysis, etc. Furthermore, it would allow comparing easily the various concurrent therapeutic for the same clinical condition.

Rigorous meta-analysis method is used to populate TrialResults-center: widespread search of published and non published trials, study selection using pre-specified criteria, data extraction using standard form.

TrialResults-center is continually updated on a weekly basis. We continually search all new results (whatever their publication channel) and these news results are immediately added to the database with a maximum of 1 week.

TrialResults-center is non-profit and self-funded.