

Clinical trials of suloctidil

TrialResults-center www.trialresultscenter.org

1 cardiovascular prevention

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
suloctidil vs placebo			
Adriaensen , 1976 n=15/15 follow-up: 2 months	Suloctidil 200 mg / j versus Placebo	patients suffering from intermittent claudication (stade II)	Parallel groups double blind
Verhaeghe , 1981 n=NA follow-up: 6 months	Suloctidil 200 mg / j versus Placebo	patients with intermittent claudication (stade II)	Parallel groups double blind
Jones , 1982 n=18/22 follow-up: 6 months	Suloctidil 300 mg / j versus Placebo	patients suffering from intermittent claudication (stade II)	Parallel groups double blind
Holm , 1984 n=20/20 follow-up: 2.75 y	Suloctidil 300 mg / j versus Placebo	AOMI stade II	Parallel groups double blind

More details and results :

- antiplatelets drug for cardiovascular prevention in secondary prevention in patients with intermittent claudication at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q275>

References

Adriaensen, 1976:

Medical treatment of intermittent claudication: a comparative double-blind study of suloctidil, dihydroergotoxine and placebo. Adriaensen H Curr Med Res Opin 1976;4:395-401 [793778]

Verhaeghe, 1981:

Controlled trial of suloctidil in intermittent claudication. Verhaeghe R, Van Hoof A, Beyens G J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1981 Mar-Apr;3:279-86 [6166799]

Jones, 1982:

A double-blind trial of suloctidil v. placebo in intermittent claudication. Jones NA, De Haas H, Zahavi J, Kakkar VV Br J Surg 1982 Jan;69:38-40 [6274471]

Holm, 1984:

Intermittent claudication: Suloctidil v.s. placebo treatment. Holm J, Lindblad L, Schersten T, Suurkula M Vasa 1984;13:175-8 [6331019]

2 thrombosis prevention

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Suloctidil vs placebo			
Turpie , 1985 n=68/68	Suloctidil versus Placebo	-	double-blind

More details and results :

- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in general surgery at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q92>
- antiplatelets drug for thrombosis prevention in general surgery at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q461>
- antiplatelets drug for thrombosis prevention in all type of patients at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q462>

References

Turpie, 1985:

Turpie AG, Gent M, Doyle DJ, Saerens E, de Boer AC, Talbot C, McNamee J, Hirsh J An evaluation of suloctidil in the prevention of deep vein thrombosis in neurosurgical patients. *Thromb Res* 1985;39:173-81 [[2992116](#)]

3 peripheral vascular diseases

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
suloctidil vs placebo			
Adriaensen , 1976 n=15/15 follow-up: 2 months	Suloctidil 200 mg / j versus Placebo	patients suffering from intermittent claudication (stade II)	Parallel groups double blind
Verhaeghe , 1981 n=NA follow-up: 6 months	Suloctidil 200 mg / j versus Placebo	patients with intermittent claudication (stade II)	Parallel groups double blind
Jones , 1982 n=18/22 follow-up: 6 months	Suloctidil 300 mg / j versus Placebo	patients suffering from intermittent claudication (stade II)	Parallel groups double blind
Holm , 1984 n=20/20 follow-up: 2.75 y	Suloctidil 300 mg / j versus Placebo	AOMI stade II	Parallel groups double blind

More details and results :

- antiplatelets drug for peripheral vascular diseases in all type of patient at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q278>

References

Adriaensen, 1976:

Medical treatment of intermittent claudication: a comparative double-blind study of suloctidil, dihydroergotoxine and placebo. Adriaensen H Curr Med Res Opin 1976;4:395-401 [793778]

Verhaeghe, 1981:

Controlled trial of suloctidil in intermittent claudication. Verhaeghe R, Van Hoof A, Beyens G J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1981 Mar-Apr;3:279-86 [6166799]

Jones, 1982:

A double-blind trial of suloctidil v. placebo in intermittent claudication. Jones NA, De Haas H, Zahavi J, Kakkar VV Br J Surg 1982 Jan;69:38-40 [6274471]

Holm, 1984:

Intermittent claudication: Suloctidil v.s. placebo treatment. Holm J, Lindblad L, Schersten T, Suurkula M Vasa 1984;13:175-8 [6331019]