

Clinical trials of double stenting with SES

TrialResults-center www.trialresultscenter.org

1 coronary artery disease

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
double stenting with SES vs single stenting			
CACTUS , 2009 n=177/173 follow-up: 6 months	elective versus stenting of only the main branch, with provisional side-branch T-stenting	patients with true coronary bifurcation lesions	Parallel groups open Europe
Colombo , 2004 n=43/43 follow-up: 6.4 months	stenting of both branches using sirolimus-eluting stent versus stenting of the main branch with provisional stenting of the side branch	patients with coronary bifurcation lesions	Parallel groups open Italy
Ferenc , 2008 n=101/101 follow-up: 12 months	routine T-stenting with sirolimus-eluting stents in both branches versus provisional T-stenting with SES placement in the main branch followed by kissing-balloon angioplasty and provisional SES placement in the side branch only for inadequate results	patients with a coronary bifurcation lesion	Parallel groups open
NORDIC , 2006 n=206/207 follow-up: 14 months	stenting with sirolimus-eluting stents of both main vessel and side branch versus stenting with sirolimus-eluting stent of main vessel and optional stenting of side branch	patients with a coronary bifurcation lesion	Parallel groups open
Pan , 2004 n=44/47 follow-up: 11 months	Double stenting of both main and side branche versus single stenting of the main vessel only	patients with true coronary bifurcation lesions	Parallel groups open

More details and results :

- Drug eluting stent for coronary artery disease in bifurcation lesion at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q214>

References

CACTUS , 2009:

Colombo A, Bramucci E, Sacc S, Violini R, Lettieri C, Zanini R, Sheiban I, Paloscia L, Grube E, Schofer J, Bolognese L, Orlandi M, Niccoli G, Latib A, Airolidi F Randomized study of the crush technique versus provisional side-branch stenting in true coronary bifurcations: the CACTUS (Coronary Bifurcations: Application of the Crushing Technique Using Sirolimus-Eluting Stents) Study. *Circulation* 2009;119:71-8 [[19103990](#)] [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.808402](#)

Colombo, 2004:

Colombo A, Moses JW, Morice MC, Ludwig J, Holmes DR Jr, Spanos V, Louvard Y, Desmedt B, Di Mario C, Leon MB Randomized study to evaluate sirolimus-eluting stents implanted at coronary bifurcation lesions. *Circulation* 2004;109:1244-9 [[14981005](#)] [10.1161/01.CIR.0000118474.71662.E3](#)

Ferenc, 2008:

Ferenc M, Gick M, Kienzle RP, Bestehorn HP, Werner KD, Comberg T, Kuebler P, Bttner HJ, Neumann FJ Randomized trial on routine vs. provisional T-stenting in the treatment of de novo coronary bifurcation lesions. *Eur Heart J* 2008;29:2859-67 [[18845665](#)] [10.1093/eurheartj/ehn455](#)

NORDIC , 2006:

Steigen TK, Maeng M, Wiseth R, Erglis A, Kumsars I, Narbutė I, Gunnes P, Mannsverk J, Meyerdierks O, Rotevatn S, Niemel M, Kervinen K, Jensen JS, Galle A, Nikus K, Vikman S, Ravkilde J, James S, Aare J, Ylitalo A, Helqvist S, Sjgren I, Thayssen P, Vir Randomized study on simple versus complex stenting of coronary artery bifurcation lesions: the Nordic bifurcation study. *Circulation* 2006;114:1955-61 [[17060387](#)] [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.664920](#)

Jensen JS, Galle A, Lassen JF, Erglis A, Kumsars I, Steigen TK, Wiseth R, Narbutė I, Gunnes P, Mannsverk J, Meyerdierks O, Rotevatn S, Niemel M, Kervinen K, Nikus K, Vikman S, Ravkilde J, James S, Aare J, Ylitalo A, Helqvist S, Sjgren I, Thayssen P, V Safety in simple versus complex stenting of coronary artery bifurcation lesions. The nordic bifurcation study 14-month follow-up results. *EuroIntervention* 2008;4:229-33 [[19110788](#)]

Pan, 2004:

Pan M, de Lezo JS, Medina A, Romero M, Segura J, Pavlovic D, Delgado A, Ojeda S, Melin F, Herrador J, Urea I, Burgos L Rapamycin-eluting stents for the treatment of bifurcated coronary lesions: a randomized comparison of a simple versus complex strategy. *Am Heart J* 2004;148:857-64 [[15523318](#)] [10.1016/j.ahj.2004.05.029](#)