

# Clinical trials of cilostazol + aspirin

TrialResults-center [www.trialresultscenter.org](http://www.trialresultscenter.org)

## 1 stent

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>cilostazol + aspirin vs aspirin</b>			
<a href="#">Sekiya , 1998</a> n=63/63	Cilostazol 200 mg qD x6mos Aspirin 81 mg qD versus Coumadin unspecified regimen Aspirin 81 mg qD	-	
<b>cilostazol + aspirin vs ticlopidine + aspirin</b>			
<a href="#">Kozuma , 2001</a> n=62/63	Cilostazol 200 mg qD x6 mos Aspirin 81162 mg qD versus Ticlopidine 200 mg qD x6 mos Aspirin 81162 mg qD	-	
<a href="#">Ochiai , 1999</a> n=25/25	Cilostazol 100 mg BID x6 mos Aspirin 81 mg TID versus Ticlopidine 100 mg BID x1 mo Aspirin 81 mg TID	-	
<a href="#">Park , 1999</a> n=247/243	Cilostazol 100 mg BID x6 mos Aspirin 200 mg qD versus Ticlopidine 250 mg BID x4 wks Aspirin 200 mg qD	-	
<a href="#">Yoon , 1999</a> n=147/149	Cilostazol 100 mg BID x30 days Aspirin 100 mg qD versus Ticlopidine 250 mg BID x30 days Aspirin 100 mg qD	-	
<a href="#">Kamishirado , 2002</a> n=65/65	Cilostazol 200 mg qD x6 mos Aspirin 81 mg qD versus Ticlopidine 200 mg qD x6 mos Aspirin 81 mg qD	-	

More details and results :

- antithrombotics for stent in all type of patients at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q151>

## References

### Sekiya, 1998:

Sekiya M, Funada J, Watanabe K, Miyagawa M, Akutsu H Effects of probucol and cilostazol alone and in combination on frequency of poststenting restenosis. Am J Cardiol 1998;82:144-7 [9678282]

### Kozuma, 2001:

Kozuma K, Hara K, Yamasaki M, Morino Y, Ayabe S, Kuroda Y, Tanabe K, Ikari Y, Tamura T Effects of cilostazol on late lumen loss and repeat revascularization after Palmaz-Schatz coronary stent implantation. Am Heart J 2001;141:124-30 [11136497]

### Ochiai, 1999:

Ochiai M, Eto K, Takeshita S, Yokoyama N, Oshima A, Kondo K, Sato T, Isshiki T Impact of cilostazol on clinical and angiographic outcome after primary stenting for acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:1074-6, A6, A9 [10569666]

### Park, 1999:

Park SW, Lee CW, Kim HS, Lee HJ, Park HK, Hong MK, Kim JJ, Park SJ Comparison of cilostazol versus ticlopidine therapy after stent implantation. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:511-4 [10482146]

### Yoon, 1999:

Yoon Y, Shim WH, Lee DH, Pyun WB, Kim IJ, Jang Y, Cho SY Usefulness of cilostazol versus ticlopidine in coronary artery stenting. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:1375-80 [10606107]

### Kamishirado, 2002:

Kamishirado H, Inoue T, Mizoguchi K, Uchida T, Nakata T, Sakuma M, Takayanagi K, Morooka S Randomized comparison of cilostazol versus ticlopidine hydrochloride for antiplatelet therapy after coronary stent implantation for prevention of late restenosis. Am Heart J 2002;144:303-8 [12177649]

## 2 percutaneous coronary intervention

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
<b>cilostazol + aspirin vs aspirin</b>			
Sekiya , 1998 n=63/63	Cilostazol 200 mg qD x6mos Aspirin 81 mg qD versus Coumadin unspecified regimen Aspirin 81 mg qD	-	
<b>cilostazol + aspirin vs ticlopidine + aspirin</b>			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Kozuma , 2001 n=62/63	Cilostazol 200 mg qD x6 mos Aspirin 81162 mg qD versus Ticlopidine 200 mg qD x6 mos Aspirin 81162 mg qD	-	
Ochiai , 1999 n=25/25	Cilostazol 100 mg BID x6 mos Aspirin 81 mg TID versus Ticlopidine 100 mg BID x1 mo Aspirin 81 mg TID	-	
Park , 1999 n=247/243	Cilostazol 100 mg BID x6 mos Aspirin 200 mg qD versus Ticlopidine 250 mg BID x4 wks Aspirin 200 mg qD	-	
Yoon , 1999 n=147/149	Cilostazol 100 mg BID x30 days Aspirin 100 mg qD versus Ticlopidine 250 mg BID x30 days Aspirin 100 mg qD	-	
Kamishirado , 2002 n=65/65	Cilostazol 200 mg qD x6 mos Aspirin 81 mg qD versus Ticlopidine 200 mg qD x6 mos Aspirin 81 mg qD	-	

More details and results :

- antithrombotics for percutaneous coronary intervention in PCI with stent placement at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q152>
- antiplatelets drug for percutaneous coronary intervention in all type of patients at <http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q389>

## References

### Sekiya, 1998:

Sekiya M, Funada J, Watanabe K, Miyagawa M, Akutsu H Effects of probucol and cilostazol alone and in combination on frequency of poststenting restenosis. Am J Cardiol 1998;82:144-7 [9678282]

### Kozuma, 2001:

Kozuma K, Hara K, Yamasaki M, Morino Y, Ayabe S, Kuroda Y, Tanabe K, Ikari Y, Tamura T Effects of cilostazol on late lumen loss and repeat revascularization after Palmaz-Schatz coronary stent implantation. Am Heart J 2001;141:124-30 [11136497]

**Ochiai, 1999:**

Ochiai M, Eto K, Takeshita S, Yokoyama N, Oshima A, Kondo K, Sato T, Isshiki T Impact of cilostazol on clinical and angiographic outcome after primary stenting for acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:1074-6, A6, A9 [[10569666](#)]

**Park, 1999:**

Park SW, Lee CW, Kim HS, Lee HJ, Park HK, Hong MK, Kim JJ, Park SJ Comparison of cilostazol versus ticlopidine therapy after stent implantation. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:511-4 [[10482146](#)]

**Yoon, 1999:**

Yoon Y, Shim WH, Lee DH, Pyun WB, Kim IJ, Jang Y, Cho SY Usefulness of cilostazol versus ticlopidine in coronary artery stenting. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:1375-80 [[10606107](#)]

**Kamishirado, 2002:**

Kamishirado H, Inoue T, Mizoguchi K, Uchida T, Nakata T, Sakuma M, Takayanagi K, Morooka S Randomized comparison of cilostazol versus ticlopidine hydrochloride for antiplatelet therapy after coronary stent implantation for prevention of late restenosis. Am Heart J 2002;144:303-8 [[12177649](#)]

Entry terms: clopidogrel, Plavix, Iscover, cilostazol