Clinical trials of A

TrialResults-center www.trialresultscenter.org

1 acute myocardial infarction

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
diltiazem vs			
Machecourt , 1986 n=38/37 follow-up: 21 days	-	-	Parallel groups single blind
Valsartan+ACE inhibitor vs ACE inhibitor only			
VALIANT (valsartan+capropril) , 2003 n=4885/4909 follow-up: Median, 24.7 mo	Valsartan, 40 mg twice daily, plus captopril, 25 mg three times daily versus Captopril, 25 mg 3 times daily	patients within 10 days of a MI complicated by HF	Parallel groups Double blind 24
paclitaxel eluting stent vs bare-metal stent			
HAAMU-STENT , 2006 *unpublished* n=70/75 follow-up: 12 months	Taxus Express versus Bare-metal-stent	AMI - STEMI patients undergoing PCI	Parallel groups open Finland
HORIZONS-AMI Stent , 2008 n=2257/749 follow-up: 1 year	paclitaxel-eluting stents (Taxus) versus BMS (Express)	ST-elevation myocardial infarction	Factorial plan open
PASSION , 2006 [ISRCTN65027270] n=310/309 follow-up: 12 months (5y)	Taxus Express2 versus Express2 or Libert	Myocardial Infarction with ST-Segment Elevation	Parallel groups open The Netherlands
Losartan vs Captopril			
OPTIMAAL , 2002 n=2744/2733 follow-up: 2.7 y	Losartan, target dose of 50 mg daily versus Captopril, traget dose of 50 mg 3 times daily	patients within 10 days of a confirmed acute myocardial infarction and heart failure during the acute phase or a new Q-wave anterior infarction or reinfarction	Parallel groups Double blind
Valsartan vs Captopril			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
VALIANT (valsartan alone) , 2003 n=4909/4909 follow-up: Median, 24.7 mo	Valsartan, 160 mg twice daily versus Captopril, 50 mg 3 times daily	patients within 10 days of a MI complicated by HF	Parallel groups Double blind 24
invasive strategy vs concervative strategy			
DANAMI , 1997 n=NA follow-up: 2.4y	invasive strategy of PTCA or CABG versus conservative strategy	patients who received thrombolytic treatment for a first acute myocardial infarction and with inducible myocardial ischemia (either symptomatic angina pectoris presenting spontaneously >36 hours after admission or during a predischarge exercise test or ST changes during exercise compatible with ischemia)	
APSAC vs control			
APSIM , 1989 n=112/119 follow-up: 3 weeks	APSAC 30 U over 5 min versus control (conventional heparin therapy, 5,000 IU in a bolus injection)	patients with a first acute myocardial infarction within 5 h after the onset of symptoms	Parallel groups open France
aspirin vs control			
Huddinge , 1988 n=10/10 follow-up: 30d (12m)	aspirin 500mg/d starting 12 h after admissionand and then intermittently every third day for one month versus no aspirin	patients with acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups open
Frankfurt , 1976 n=25/28 follow-up: 14d	-	-	Parallel groups
autologous bone marrow stem cells vs control			
ASTAMi (Lunde) , 2006 n=50/50 follow-up: 6 months	intracoronary injection of autologous mononuclear BMC (stem cells 0.68 10^8) *versus* *control*(*Heparanizedplasma*)	patients with acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction of the anterior wall treated with percutaneous coronary intervention	parallel group open
BOOSt (Meyer) , 2004 n=30/30 follow-up: 6 months	stem cells mean 2.46 10^9 *versus* *control*(*Heparanisedplasma*)	successful percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction	parallel group open
Chen , 2004 n=NA follow-up: 6 months	-	-	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Huang , 2006 n=20/20 follow-up: 6 months	intracoronary transplantation of autologous BM-MNC via a micro-catheter right after PCI (stem cells mean 1.8 10^8) *versus* *placebo*(*Heparanisedsaline*)	patients with first onset of acute inferior-wall myocardial infarction aged <or = 75, treated with emergent percutaneous coronary intervention	parallel group open
Karpov , 2005 n=10/10 follow-up: 6 months	intracoronary injection of bone marrow mononuclear cells (stem cells mean 88.5 10^6) *versus* *control*	patients with acute myocardial infarction.	parallel group NA
Li , 2007 n=35/23 follow-up: 6 months	autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation by intracoronary infusion (stem cells mean 7.25 10^7) *versus* *control*	patients with AMI	parallel group open
MAGIC (cell infusion) , 2004 n=10/7 follow-up:	intracoronary infusion of collected peripheral blood stem-cells versus control	patients with myocardial infarction who underwent coronary stenting for the culprit lesion of infarction	
MAGIC Cell-3-DES (Kang) , 2006 n=25/25 follow-up: 6 months	intracoronary infusion of mobilized peripheral blood stem cells by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (stem cells 1-2 10^9) *versus* *control*	patients with myocardial infarction who underwent coronary revascularization with DES for the culprit lesion	parallel group open
Meluzin HD , 2006 n=22/22 follow-up: 3 months	intracoronar mononuclear bone marrow cells (stem cells 10^8) *versus* *control*(*Cellsuspensionmedia*)	patients with a first acute myocardial infarction	parallel group open
Meluzin LD , 2006 n=22/22 follow-up: 3 months	intracoronar mononuclear bone marrow cells (stem cells 10^7) *versus* *control*(*Cellsuspensionmedia*)	patients with a first acute myocardial infarction	parallel group open
Penicka , 2007 n=14/10 follow-up: 4 months	Intracoronary injection of autologous bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells (stem cells 26.4 10^8) *versus* *control*	patients with large anterior acute myocardial infarction	parallel group open

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Ruan , 2005 n=9/11 follow-up: 6 months	intracoronary injection of bone-marrow cell (stem cells dose NA) versus control (Diluted serum)	with acute myocardial infarction and anterior descending coronary artery occlusion proven by angiography	parallel group open
Suarez de Lezo (cell) , 2007 n=10/10 follow-up: 3 months	intracoronary infusion of autologous mononuclear bone marrow cells (9 10^8) *versus* *control*(*Salinecontaining*0.1%*heparin*)	patients with revascularized anterior wall AMI and depressed left ventricular function (ejection fraction <45%)	parallel group open
TCT-STAMI (Ge) , 2006 n=10/10 follow-up: 6 months	emergent intracoronary autologous bone marrow cell transplantation (4 $10^7 SC$) *versus* *control*	patients admitted within 24 h after the onset of a first AMI	parallel group NA
dazoxiben vs control			
Jones , 1987 n=60/60 follow-up: 1m	-	-	Parallel groups
early implantation of ICD after MI vs control			
IRIS , 2009 [NCT00157768] n=445/453 follow-up: 37 months	prophylactic ICD implantation early after myocardial infarction versus optimal medical therapy alone	patients patients at increased risk 5 to 31 days after AMI	Parallel groups open
hyperbaric oxygen vs control			
Sharifi , 2004 n=NA follow-up:	-	after percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina pectoris	
Swift , 1992 n=NA follow-up:	-	patients within 1 week of acute myocardial infarction	
Thurston , 1973 n=NA follow-up:	-	acute myocardial infarction	
Hot MI , 1997 n=112 follow-up:	-	Patients with an acute myocardial infarction who received recombinant tissue plasminogen activator	
HOT MI pilot , 1997 n=66 follow-up:	-	Patients with an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) who received recombinant tissue plasminogen activator	
IM lidocaine (without infusion) vs control			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Koster and Dunning , 1985 n=2987/3037 follow-up: 1h for VT	lidocaine loading dose IM 400 mg versus no lidocaine	suspected acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Single-blind
IV lidocaine infusion vs control			
Bennett , 1970 n=249/125 follow-up: 48h for VT	lidocaine loading dose IV 60mg, infusion 0.5-1.0 mg/min versus no lidocaine	suspected acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Open
Pitt , 1971 n=108/114 follow-up: 48h for VT	lidocaine loading dose IV 75-100mg, infusion 2.5 mg/min versus no lidocaine	suspected acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Open
Darby , 1972 n=103/100 follow-up: 48h for VT	lidocaine loading dose IM 200 mg, infusion 2.0 mg/min versus no lidocaine	suspected acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Open
magnesium vs control			
ISIS-4 , 1995 n=29011/29030 follow-up:	24 h of intravenous magnesium sulphate (8 mmol initial bonus injection over about 15 minutes followed by 72 mmol in about 50 mLinfused over 24 h)4 versus no magnesium infusion	patients entering 1086 hospitals up to 24 h (median 8 h) after the onset of suspected acute myocardial infarction with no clear contraindications4	Parallel groups open
Wu , 1992 n=125/102 follow-up:	2.5 g MgSO4 once or twice a day for 7-14 dayssce versus usual care	suspected AMI	Parallel groups double blind
Zhu , 2002 n=1691/1488 follow-up:	100 mL (4 g) potassium-magnesium aspartate IV. for the first day, 50 ml for rest 4 datio versus routine AMI treatmentkB	AMI	Parallel groups open
oxygen therapy vs control			
Rawles , 1976 n=NA follow-up:	oxygen administered by MC mask throughout the first 24 hours versus air	myocardial infarction	
Ukholkina , 2005 n=NA follow-up:	-	patients with acute myocardial infarction	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Wilson , 1997 n=NA follow-up:	oxygen therapy versus control	patients presenting within 24 hours of onset of myocardial infarction	
Propranolol vs control			
Aronow , 1997 n=79/79 follow-up: 1 year	Propranolol 30 mg 3 times daily versus no propranolol	patients >=62 years of age with New York Heart Association functional class II or III CHF, prior Qwave myocardial infarction, and a LV ejection fraction <40% after 2 months of treatment with diuretics and ACE inhibitors	Parallel groups USA
sulfinpyrazone vs control			
Dutch sulphinpyrazone , 1986 n=50/50 follow-up: 21d	-	-	Parallel groups
supersaturated oxygen vs control			
AMIHOT II , 2000 [NCT00175058] n=222/79 follow-up:	90-minute intracoronary supersaturated oxygen (SSO(2)) infusion in the left anterior descending artery infarct territory versus control	patients with anterior ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention within 6 hours of symptom onset	
AMIHOT , 2007 n=NA follow-up:	hyperoxemic reperfusion for 90 min using intracoronary aqueous oxygen versus normoxemic blood autoreperfusion	patients with acute anterior or large inferior AMI undergoing primary or rescue PCI (<24 h from symptom onset) and successful PCI	
Tiapamil vs control			
Eichler , 1985 n=16/16 follow-up:	Tiapamil 0.5-1 mg/kg plus 25mg/kg/min IV versus no treatment	-	Parallel groups double blind
urokinase vs control			
USIM , 1991 n=1128/1073 follow-up: in hospital	urokinase bolus dose of 1 million U repeated after 60 minutes plus heparin versus control (heparin alone)	patients with acute myocardial infarction within 4 hours of the onset of pain	Parallel groups open Italy
verapamil vs control			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Bussman , 1984 n=29/25 follow-up: 2 days	Verapamil 5 to 10 mg/h IV versus no treatment	-	Parallel groups open
coumadin vs control (on top of aspirin)			
ASPECT-2 (coumadin+ASA vs ASA) , 2002 n=298/289 follow-up: 1 year	coumadin(INR mean 2.4) +aspirin versus aspirin	Acute MI, unstable angina	Parallel groups open the Netherlands
warfarin vs control (on top of aspirin)			
WARIS , 1999 n=1208/1206 follow-up: 65279;37 months	warfarin 2.84.8 versus placebo	survivors of acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind
APRICOT-2 , 2002 n=135/139 follow-up: 3 months	moderate-intensity coumarin target INR 2-3 (+aspirin) versus aspirin	Acute MI after thrombolytics	Parallel groups open the Netherlands
CARS (warafrin 3mg) , 1997 n=5410/3393 follow-up: 14 months	warfarin fixed dose 3mg/d + 80 mg ASA versus aspirin 160 mg/d	AMI	Parallel groups double blind North America
CARS (warfarin 1mg) , 1997 n=2028/3393 follow-up: 14 months	warfarin 1mg/d + aspirin 80mg/d versus aspirin 160 mg/d	patients who had had myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind North America
CHAMP , 2002 n=2522/2537 follow-up: 2.7 years	warfarin target INR 1.5-2.5 + aspirin 81 mg daily versus aspirin 162 mg/d	AMI (patients enrolled within 14 days of infarction)	Parallel groups open US
LoWASA , 2004 n=1659/1641 follow-up: 5 years	warfarin fixed dose 1.25mg/d + ASA 75mg/d versus aspirin alone	AMI	Parallel groups open Sweden
WARIS II (warfarin+ASA) , 2002 n=4927/4669 follow-up: 4 years	warfarin target INR 2-2.5 +ASA 75mg/d versus ASA 160mg/d	patients hospitalized for acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups open Norway

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Zibaeenezhad , 2004 n=70/70 follow-up: 1 year	Warfarin target INR 65279;23 +aspirin versus aspirin 100 mg/day	Acute MI	Parallel groups open
Angioguard vs conventional PCI			
DIPLOMATE , 2004 n=32/28 follow-up: 1 month	Angioguard versus conventional PCI	patients with acute myocardial infarction	
Wang , 2003 n=20/20 follow-up: hospital stay	Angioguard versus conventional PCI	patients with acute myocardial infarction	open
AngioJet vs conventional PCI			
AiMI , 2006 n=240/240 follow-up: 1 month	AngioJet versus PCI alone	patients presenting within 12 h of symptom onset	open
Florence , 2004 n=50/50 follow-up: 1 month	AngioJet versus placebo	patients with a first acute myocardial infarction	
AnjioJet vs conventional PCI			
JETSTENT , 2010 n=256/245 follow-up: 6 months	AngioJet rheolytic thrombectomy versus direct stenting alone	patients with ST-elevation MI and at least moderate thrombus burden	Parallel groups open Italy
GuardWire vs conventional PCI			
ASPARAGUS , 2008 n=173/168 follow-up: hospital stay, 6 months	Guardwire versus conventional PCI	patients with acute myocardial infarction	open
EMERALD , 2005 n=252/249 follow-up: 1, 6 months	GuardWire versus angioplasty without distal protection	patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction presenting within 6 hours of symptom onset and undergoing primary PCI or rescue intervention after failed thrombolysis	open
MICADO , 2007 n=80/74 follow-up: 1, 6 months	GuardWire versus PCI without distal protection	Patients with AMI within 24 hours from onset	open
Nanasato , 2004 n=34/30 follow-up: hospital stay	Guardwire versus conventional PCI	patients with acute myocardial infarction	open

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Ochala , 2007 n=57/63 follow-up: 6 months	GuardWire versus abciximab	patients with ST elevation acute myocardial infarction referred for primary percutaneous coronary intervention	open
Tahk , 2008 n=50/46 follow-up: 1, 6 months	GuardWire versus primary angioplasty without distal protection	AMI patients presenting within 12 h of onset of symptoms	open
TVAC vs conventional PCI			
VAMPIRE , 2004 n=180/175 follow-up: 8 months	TVAC versus conventional PCI	patients with acute myocardial infarction	
primary angioplasty vs immediate thrombolysis			
MAASTRICHT (Vermeer) , 1999 n=75/75 follow-up:	Transfer for primary PTCA versus immediate thrombolysis with tPA	patients with acute myocardial infarction initially admitted to a hospital without PTCA facilities	open
PRAGUE-1 , 2000 n=101/99 follow-up: 30 days	immediate transportation for primary angioplasty without pre-treatment with thrombolysis versus immediate thrombolysis with streptokinase	patients with acute myocardial infarction, presenting within 6 h of symptom onset at community hospitals without a catheterization laboratory	open
AIR-PAMI , 2002 n=71/66 follow-up:	Transfer for Primary Angioplasty versus immediate thrombolysis (various thrombolytic)	Patients with high-risk AMI (age >70 years, anterior MI, Killip class II/III, heart rate >100 beats/min or systolic BP <100 mm Hg), eligible for thrombolytic therapy	open
CAPTIM , 2002 n=421/419 follow-up:	Transfer for Primary Angioplasty versus prehospital fibrinolysis with accelerated alteplase	patients within 6 h of acute myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation, initially managed by mobile emergency-care units	open
DANAMI-2 , 2003 n=567/562 follow-up: 30 days	Transfer for Primary Angioplasty versus immediate thrombolysis with tPA (accelared infusion)	patients with myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation	Parallel groups open
PRAGUE-2 , 2003 n=429/421 follow-up: 30 days	immediate transport for primary percutaneous coronary intervention versus immediate thrombolysis with streptokinase	patients with acute ST elevation myocardial infarction presenting within <12 h to the nearest community hospital without a catheter laboratory	open

More details and results :

- antithrombotics for acute myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q36
- beta-blockers for acute myocardial infarction in immediate beta-blockers at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q41
- beta-blockers for acute myocardial infarction in long term beta-blockers at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q42
- myocardial revascularization for acute myocardial infarction in facilated PCI at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q90
- myocardial revascularization for acute myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q129
- antiarrythmic drugs for acute myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q130
- Late revascularisation for acute myocardial infarction in late reperfusion at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q134
- myocardial revascularization for acute myocardial infarction in failed fibrinolysis (rescue revascularisation) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q135
- calcium channel blockers for acute myocardial infarction in acute short term and post MI studies at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q141
- calcium channel blockers for acute myocardial infarction in acute (short term) treatment at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q142
- calcium channel blockers for acute myocardial infarction in long term studies at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q143
- nitrates for acute myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q144
- angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors for acute myocardial infarction in systematic early treatment (with or without sign of HF) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q145
- angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors for acute myocardial infarction in patients with or without HF at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q146
- angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors for acute myocardial infarction in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after MI at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q147
- cholesterol lowering intervention for acute myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q162
- anticoagulant for acute myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q172
- PCI for acute myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q246

- myocardial revascularization for acute myocardial infarction in patients in cardiogenic shock at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q248
- myocardial revascularization for acute myocardial infarction in ¿6h from onset of symptoms at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q249

References

Machecourt, 1986:

VALIANT (valsartan+capropril), 2003:

Pfeffer MA, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, Rouleau JL, Kober L, Maggioni AP, Solomon SD, Swedberg K, Van de Werf F, White H, Leimberger JD, Henis M, Edwards S, Zelenkofske S, Sellers MA, Califf RM Valsartan, captopril, or both in myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both. N Engl J Med 2003 Nov 13;349:1893-906 [14610160]

HAAMU-STENT, 2006:

unpublished

Tierala I, Syvaenne M, Kupari M Randomised comparison of apaclitaxel-eluting and a bare metal stent in STEMI-PCI. TheHAAMU-STENT-study Annual Scientifi c Meeting of theTranscatheter Cardiovascular Therapeutics; Washington, DC;Oct 2227, 2006. Abstract 178.

HORIZONS-AMI Stent, 2008:

Stone GW, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, Peruga JZ, Brodie BR, Dudek D, Kornowski R, Hartmann F, Gersh BJ, Pocock SJ, Dangas G, Wong SC, Fahy M, Parise H, Mehran R Heparin plus a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor versus bivalirudin monotherapy and paclitaxel-eluting stents versus bare-metal stents in acute myocardial infarction (HORIZONS-AMI): final 3-year results from a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2011 Jun 25;377:2193-2204 [21665265] 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60764-2

PASSION, 2006:

Laarman GJ, Suttorp MJ, Dirksen MT, van Heerebeek L, Kiemeneij F, Slagboom T, van der Wieken LR, Tijssen JG, Rensing BJ, Patterson M Paclitaxel-eluting versus uncoated stents in primary percutaneous coronary intervention. N Engl J Med 2006;355:1105-13 [16971717]

Dirksen MT, Vink MA, Suttorp MJ, Tijssen JG, Patterson MS, Slagboom T, Kiemeneij F, Laarman GJ EuroIntervention 2008 May;4:64-70 [19112781]

OPTIMAAL, 2002:

Dickstein K, Kjekshus J Effects of losartan and captopril on mortality and morbidity in high-risk patients after acute myocardial infarction: the OPTIMAAL randomised trial. Optimal Trial in Myocardial Infarction with Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan. Lancet 2002 Sep 7;360:752-60 [12241832]

VALIANT (valsartan alone), 2003:

Pfeffer MA, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, Rouleau JL, Kober L, Maggioni AP, Solomon SD, Swedberg K, Van de Werf F, White H, Leimberger JD, Henis M, Edwards S, Zelenkofske S, Sellers MA, Califf RM Valsartan, captopril, or both in myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both. N Engl J Med 2003 Nov 13;349:1893-906 [14610160]

DANAMI, 1997:

Madsen JK, Grande P, Saunamki K, Thayssen P, Kassis E, Eriksen U, Rasmussen K, Hauns S, Nielsen TT, Haghfelt T, Fritz-Hansen P, Hjelms E, Paulsen PK, Alstrup P, Arendrup H, Niebuhr-Jrgensen U, Andersen LI Danish multicenter randomized study of invasive versus conservative treatment in patients with inducible ischemia after thrombolysis in acute myocardial infarction (DANAMI). DANish trial in Acute Myocardial Infarction. Circulation 1997 Aug 5;96:748-55 [9264478]

Madsen JK, Nielsen TT, Grande P, Eriksen UH, Saunamki K, Thayssen P, Kassis E, Rasmussen K, Hauns S, Haghfelt T, Fritz-Hansen P, Hjelms E, Paulsen PK, Alstrup P, Arendrup H, Niebuhr-Jrgensen U, Andersen LI Revascularization compared to medical treatment in patients with silent vs. symptomatic residual ischemia after thrombolyzed myocardial infarction–the DANAMI study. Cardiology 2007;108:243-51 [17114878]

APSIM, 1989:

Bassand JP, Machecourt J, Cassagnes J, Anguenot T, Lusson R, Borel E, Peycelon P, Wolf E, Ducellier D Multicenter trial of intravenous anisoylated plasminogen streptokinase activator complex (APSAC) in acute myocardial infarction: effects on infarct size and left ventricular function. J Am Coll Cardiol 1989 Apr;13:988-97 [2647817]

Huddinge, 1988:

Rasmanis G, Vesterqvist O, Gren K, Edhag O, Henriksson P Effects of intermittent treatment with aspirin on thromboxane and prostacyclin formation in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Lancet 1988;2:245-7 [2899236]

Frankfurt, 1976:

Asasantin DVT nach Myokardinfarktp, imag Boehringer Ingelheim, 1976. (Boehringer Ingelheim internal report.)

ASTAMi (Lunde), 2006:

Lunde K, Solheim S, Aakhus S, Arnesen H, Abdelnoor M, Egeland T, Endresen K, Ilebekk A, Mangschau A, Fjeld JG, Smith HJ, Taraldsrud E, Grgaard HK, Bjrnerheim R, Brekke M, Mller C, Hopp E, Ragnarsson A, Brinchmann JE, Forfang K Intracoronary injection of mononuclear bone marrow cells in acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2006;355:1199-209 [16990383] 10.1056/NEJMoa055706

Beitnes JO, Hopp E, Lunde K, Solheim S, Arnesen H, Brinchmann JE, Forfang K, Aakhus S Long-term results after intracoronary injection of autologous mononuclear bone marrow cells in acute myocardial infarction: the ASTAMI randomised, controlled study. Heart 2009;95:1983-9 [19833610]

BOOSt (Meyer), 2004:

Meyer GP, Wollert KC, Lotz J, Steffens J, Lippolt P, Fichtner S, Hecker H, Schaefer A, Arseniev L, Hertenstein B, Ganser A, Drexler H Intracoronary bone marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: eighteen months' follow-up data from the randomized, controlled BOOST (BOne marrOw transfer to enhance ST-elevation infarct regeneration) trial. Circulation 2006;113:1287-94 [16520413] 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.575118

Wollert KC, Meyer GP, Lotz J, Ringes-Lichtenberg S, Lippolt P, Breidenbach C, Fichtner S, Korte T, Hornig B, Messinger D, Arseniev L, Hertenstein B, Ganser A, Drexler H Intracoronary autologous bone-marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: the BOOST randomised controlled clinical trial. Lancet 2004;364:141-8 [15246726] 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16626-9

Meyer GP, Wollert KC, Lotz J, Pirr J, Rager U, Lippolt P, Hahn A, Fichtner S, Schaefer A, Arseniev L, Ganser A, Drexler H Intracoronary bone marrow cell transfer after myocardial infarction: 5-year follow-up from the randomized-controlled BOOST trial. Eur Heart J 2009;30:2978-84 [19773226]

Chen, 2004:

Chen SL, Fang WW, Ye F, Liu YH, Qian J, Shan SJ, Zhang JJ, Chunhua RZ, Liao LM, Lin S, Sun JP Effect on left ventricular function of intracoronary transplantation of autologous bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2004;94:92-5 [15219514]

Huang, 2006:

Huang RC, Yao K, Zou YZ, Ge L, Qian JY, Yang J, Yang S, Niu YH, Li YL, Zhang YQ, Zhang F, Xu SK, Zhang SH, Sun AJ, Ge JB [Long term follow-up on emergent intracoronary autologous bone marrow mononuclear cell transplantation for acute inferior-wall myocardial infarction] Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi 2006;86:1107-10 [16796836]

Karpov, 2005:

Karpov RS, Popov SV, Markov VA, Suslova TE, Ryabov VV, Poponina YS, Krylov AL, Sazonova SV Autologous mononuclear bone marrow cells during reparative

regeneratrion after acute myocardial infarction. Bull Exp Biol Med 2005;140:640-3 [16758644]

Li, 2007:

Li ZQ, Zhang M, Jing YZ, Zhang WW, Liu Y, Cui LJ, Yuan L, Liu XZ, Yu X, Hu TS The clinical study of autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation by intracoronary infusion in patients with acute myocardial infarction (AMI). Int J Cardiol 2007;115:52-6 [16822566] 10.1016/j.ijcard.2006.04.005

MAGIC (cell infusion), 2004:

Kang HJ, Kim HS, Zhang SY, Park KW, Cho HJ, Koo BK, Kim YJ, Soo Lee D, Sohn DW, Han KS, Oh BH, Lee MM, Park YB Effects of intracoronary infusion of peripheral blood stem-cells mobilised with granulocyte-colony stimulating factor on left ventricular systolic function and restenosis after coronary stenting in myocardial infarction: the MAGIC cell randomised clinical trial. Lancet 2004;363:751-6 [15016484]

MAGIC Cell-3-DES (Kang), 2006:

Kang HJ, Lee HY, Na SH, Chang SA, Park KW, Kim HK, Kim SY, Chang HJ, Lee W, Kang WJ, Koo BK, Kim YJ, Lee DS, Sohn DW, Han KS, Oh BH, Park YB, Kim HS Differential effect of intracoronary infusion of mobilized peripheral blood stem cells by granulocyte colony-stimulating factor on left ventricular function and remodeling in patients with acute myocardial infarction versus old myocardial infarction: the MAGIC Cell-3-DES randomized, controlled trial. Circulation 2006;114:I145-51 [16820564] 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.001107

Meluzin HD, 2006:

Meluzn J, Mayer J, Groch L, Janousek S, Horncek I, Hlinomaz O, Kala P, Panovsk R, Prsek J, Kamnek M, Stancek J, Klabusay M, Korstek Z, Navrtil M, Dusek L, Vinklrkov J Autologous transplantation of mononuclear bone marrow cells in patients with acute myocardial infarction: the effect of the dose of transplanted cells on myocardial function. Am Heart J 2006;152:975.e9-15 [17070173] 10.1016/j.ahj.2006.08.004

Meluzin LD, 2006:

Meluzn J, Mayer J, Groch L, Janousek S, Horncek I, Hlinomaz O, Kala P, Panovsk R, Prsek J, Kamnek M, Stancek J, Klabusay M, Korstek Z, Navrtil M, Dusek L, Vinklrkov J Autologous transplantation of mononuclear bone marrow cells in patients with acute myocardial infarction: the effect of the dose of transplanted cells on myocardial function. Am Heart J 2006;152:975.e9-15 [17070173] 10.1016/j.ahj.2006.08.004

Meluzn J, Janousek S, Mayer J, Groch L, Horncek I, Hlinomaz O, Kala P, Panovsk R, Prsek J, Kamnek M, Stancek J, Klabusay M, Korstek Z, Navrtil M, Dusek L, Vinklrkov J Three-, 6-, and 12-month results of autologous transplantation of mononuclear bone marrow cells in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol 2008;128:185-92 [17764767]

Penicka, 2007:

Penicka M, Horak J, Kobylka P, Pytlik R, Kozak T, Belohlavek O, Lang O, Skalicka H, Simek S, Palecek T, Linhart A, Aschermann M, Widimsky P Intracoronary injection of autologous bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells in patients with large anterior acute myocardial infarction: a prematurely terminated randomized study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:2373-4 [17572255] 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.04.009

Ruan, 2005:

Ruan W, Pan CZ, Huang GQ, Li YL, Ge JB, Shu XH Assessment of left ventricular segmental function after autologous bone marrow stem cells transplantation in patients with acute myocardial infarction by tissue tracking and strain imaging. Chin Med J (Engl) 2005;118:1175-81 [16117862]

Suarez de Lezo (cell), 2007:

Surez de Lezo J, Herrera C, Pan M, Romero M, Pavlovic D, Segura J, Snchez J, Ojeda S, Torres A Rev Esp Cardiol 2007;60:357-65 [17521544]

TCT-STAMI (Ge), 2006:

Ge J, Li Y, Qian J, Shi J, Wang Q, Niu Y, Fan B, Liu X, Zhang S, Sun A, Zou Y Efficacy of emergent transcatheter transplantation of stem cells for treatment of acute myocardial infarction (TCT-STAMI). Heart 2006;92:1764-7 [16775089] 10.1136/hrt.2005.085431

Jones, 1987:

Jones EW. A study of dazoxiben in the prevention of venous thrombosis after suspected myocardial infarction (MD Thesis).¡ Nottingham: Nottingham University, 1987:111-24

IRIS, 2009:

Steinbeck G, Andresen D, Seidl K, Brachmann J, Hoffmann E, Wojciechowski D, Kornacewicz-Jach Z, Sredniawa B, Lupkovics G, Hofgrtner F, Lubinski A, Rosenqvist M, Habets A, Wegscheider K, Senges J Defibrillator implantation early after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1427-36 [19812399]

Sharifi, 2004:

Sharifi M, Fares W, Abdel-Karim I, Koch JM, Sopko J, Adler D Usefulness of hyperbaric oxygen therapy to inhibit restenosis after percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 2004;93:1533-5 [15194029] 10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.03.009

Sharifi M, Fares W, Abdel-Karim I, Petrea D, Koch JM, Adler D, Sopko J Inhibition of restenosis by hyperbaric oxygen: a novel indication for an old modality. Cardiovasc Radiat Med 2002;3:124-6 [12974361]

Swift, 1992:

Swift PC, Turner JH, Oxer HF, O'Shea JP, Lane GK, Woollard KV Myocardial hibernation identified by hyperbaric oxygen treatment and echocardiography in postinfarction patients: comparison with exercise thallium scintigraphy. Am Heart J 1992;124:1151-8 [1442480]

Thurston, 1973:

Thurston JG, Greenwood TW, Bending MR, Connor H, Curwen MP A controlled investigation into the effects of hyperbaric oxygen on mortality following acute myocardial infarction. Q J Med 1973;42:751-70 [4606106]

Hot MI, 1997:

Shandling AH, Ellestad MH, Hart GB, Crump R, Marlow D, Van Natta B, Messenger JC, Strauss M, Stavitsky Y Hyperbaric oxygen and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction: the "HOT MI" pilot study. Am Heart J 1997;134:544-50 [9327714]

Stavitsky Y, Shandling AH, Ellestad MH, Hart GB, Van Natta B, Messenger JC, Strauss M, Dekleva MN, Alexander JM, Mattice M, Clarke D Hyperbaric oxygen and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction: the 'HOT MI' randomized multicenter study. Cardiology 1998;90:131-6 [9778551]

Laden G. HOT MI pilot study. Hyperbaric oxygen and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction American Heart Journal 1998;136(4 Pt 1):749.

HOT MI pilot, 1997:

Shandling AH, Ellestad MH, Hart GB, Crump R, Marlow D, Van Natta B, Messenger JC, Strauss M, Stavitsky Y Hyperbaric oxygen and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction: the "HOT MI" pilot study. Am Heart J 1997;134:544-50 [9327714]

Koster and Dunning, 1985:

Koster RW, Dunning AJ Intramuscular lidocaine for prevention of lethal arrhythmias in the prehospitalization phase of acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1985;313:1105-10 [3900727]

Bennett, 1970:

Bennett MA, Wilner JM, Pentecost BL Controlled trial of lignocaine in prophylaxis of ventricular arrhythmias complicating myocardial infarction. Lancet 1970;2:909-11 [4097285]

Pitt, 1971:

Pitt A, Lipp H, Anderson ST Lignocaine given prophylactically to patients with acute myocardial infarction. Lancet 1971;1:612-6 [4101228]

Darby, 1972:

Darby S, Cruickshank JC, Bennett MA, Pentecost BL Trial of combined intramuscular and intravenous lignocaine in prophylaxis of ventricular tachyarrhythmias. Lancet 1972;1:817-9 [4111579]

ISIS-4, 1995:

ISIS-4: a randomised factorial trial assessing early oral captopril, oral mononitrate, and intravenous magnesium sulphate in 58,050 patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction. ISIS-4 (Fourth International Study of Infarct Survival) Collaborative Group. Lancet 1995;345:669-85 [7661937]

Wu, 1992:

Zhu, 2002:

Rawles, 1976:

Rawles JM, Kenmure AC Controlled trial of oxygen in uncomplicated myocardial infarction. Br Med J 1976;1:1121-3 [773507]

Ukholkina, 2005:

Ukholkina GB, Kostianov IIu, Kuchkina NV, Grendo EP, Gofman IaB [Effect of oxygenotherapy used in combination with reperfusion in patients with acute myocardial infarction] Kardiologiia 2005;45:59 [16007057]

Wilson, 1997:

Wilson AT, Channer KS Hypoxaemia and supplemental oxygen therapy in the first 24 hours after myocardial infarction: the role of pulse oximetry. J R Coll Physicians Lond 1997;31:657-61 [9409501]

Aronow , 1997:

Aronow WS, Ahn C, Kronzon I Effect of propranolol versus no propranolol on total mortality plus nonfatal myocardial infarction in older patients with prior myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, and left ventricular ejection fraction ¿ or = 40

Dutch sulphinpyrazone, 1986:

Funke Kpper AJ, Verheugt FWA, Jaarsma W, Roos JP.age/pj Funke Kpper AJ, Verheugt FWA, Jaarsma W, Roos JP. Failure of sulphinpyrazone to prevent left ventricular thrombosis in patients with AMI treated with oral anticoagulants Proceedings of X World Congress of Cardiology. Washington: 1986:419 (Abstract 2414)atio

AMIHOT II , 2000:

Haude M. AMIHOT-II: A prospective, randomized evaluation of supersaturated oxygen therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute anterior myocardial infarction Herz 2007;32 (8):669

Stone GW, Martin JL, de Boer MJ, Margheri M, Bramucci E, Blankenship JC, Metzger DC, Gibbons RJ, Lindsay BS, Weiner BH, Lansky AJ, Krucoff MW, Fahy M, Boscardin WJ Effect of supersaturated oxygen delivery on infarct size after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2009;2:366-75 [20031745] 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.108.840066

AMIHOT, 2007:

O'Neill WW, Martin JL, Dixon SR, Bartorelli AL, Trabattoni D, Oemrawsingh PV, Atsma DE, Chang M, Marquardt W, Oh JK, Krucoff MW, Gibbons RJ, Spears JR Acute Myocardial Infarction with Hyperoxemic Therapy (AMIHOT): a prospective, randomized trial of intracoronary hyperoxemic reperfusion after percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:397-405 [17662390] 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.01.099

Stone GW, Martin JL, de Boer MJ, Margheri M, Bramucci E, Blankenship JC, Metzger DC, Gibbons RJ, Lindsay BS, Weiner BH, Lansky AJ, Krucoff MW, Fahy M, Boscardin WJ Effect of supersaturated oxygen delivery on infarct size after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2009;2:366-75 [20031745] 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.108.840066

Eichler, 1985:

Eichler HG, Mabin TA, Commerford PJ, Lloyd EA, Beck W, Opie LH Tiapamil, a new calcium antagonist: hemodynamic effects in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Circulation 1985;71:779-86 [3882269]

USIM, 1991:

Rossi P, Bolognese L Comparison of intravenous urokinase plus heparin versus heparin alone in acute myocardial infarction. Urochinasi per via Sistemica nell'Infarto Miocardico (USIM) Collaborative Group. Am J Cardiol 1991;68:585-92 [1877476]

Bussman, 1984:

Bussmann WD, Seher W, Gruengras M Reduction of creatine kinase and creatine kinase-MB indexes of infarct size by intravenous verapamil Am J Cardiol 1984;54:1224-30 [6391131]

ASPECT-2 (coumadin+ASA vs ASA), 2002:

van Es RF, Jonker JJ, Verheugt FW, Deckers JW, Grobbee DE Aspirin and coumadin after acute coronary syndromes (the ASPECT-2 study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2002;360:109-13 [12126819]

WARIS, 1999:

Smith P, Arnesen H, Holme I The effect of warfarin on mortality and reinfarction after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1990;323:147-52 [2194126]

APRICOT-2, 2002:

Brouwer MA, van den Bergh PJ, Aengevaeren WR, Veen G, Luijten HE, Hertzberger DP, van Boven AJ, Vromans RP, Uijen GJ, Verheugt FW Aspirin plus coumarin versus aspirin alone in the prevention of reocclusion after fibrinolysis for acute myocardial infarction: results of the Antithrombotics in the Prevention of Reocclusion In Coronary Thrombolysis (APRICOT)-2 Trial. Circulation 2002;106:659-65 [12163424]

CARS (warafrin 3mg), 1997:

Randomised double-blind trial of fixed low-dose warfarin with aspirin after myocardial infarction. Coumadin Aspirin Reinfarction Study (CARS) Investigators. Lancet 1997;350:389-96 [9259652]

CARS (warfarin 1mg), 1997:

Randomised double-blind trial of fixed low-dose warfarin with aspirin after myocardial infarction. Coumadin Aspirin Reinfarction Study (CARS) Investigators. Lancet 1997;350:389-96 [9259652]

CHAMP, 2002:

Fiore LD, Ezekowitz MD, Brophy MT, Lu D, Sacco J, Peduzzi P Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program Clinical Trial comparing combined warfarin and aspirin with aspirin alone in survivors of acute myocardial infarction: primary results of the CHAMP study. Circulation 2002;105:557-63 [11827919]

LoWASA, 2004:

Herlitz J, Holm J, Peterson M, Karlson BW, Haglid Evander M, Erhardt L Effect of fixed low-dose warfarin added to aspirin in the long term after acute myocardial infarction; the LoWASA Study. Eur Heart J 2004;25:232-9 [14972424]

WARIS II (warfarin+ASA), 2002:

Hurlen M, Abdelnoor M, Smith P, Erikssen J, Arnesen H Warfarin, aspirin, or both after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2002;347:969-74 [12324552]

Zibaeenezhad, 2004:

Zibaeenezhad MJ, Mowla A, Sorbi MH Warfarin and aspirin versus aspirin alone in patients with acute myocardial infarction: a pilot study. Angiology 2004;55:17-20 [14759085]

DIPLOMATE, 2004:

Lefevere T, Guyon P, Reimers B, Fauvel JM, Pansieri M, Dewez MP Eur Heart J 2004;25(Suppl.):420m

Wang, 2003:

Wang L, Nguyen T, Yang X, Yang C.8L imag Am J Cardiol 2003;92(Suppl. 6A):38L

AiMI, 2006:

Ali A, Cox D, Dib N, Brodie B, Berman D, Gupta N, Browne K, Iwaoka R, Azrin M, Stapleton D, Setum C, Popma J J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:244-52 [16843170] 10.1016/j.jacc.2006.03.044

Florence, 2004:

Antoniucci D, Valenti R, Migliorini A, Parodi G, Memisha G, Santoro GM, Sciagr R Am J Cardiol 2004;93:1033-5 [15081450] 10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.01.011

JETSTENT, 2010:

Migliorini A, Stabile A, Rodriguez AE, Gandolfo C, Rodriguez Granillo AM, Valenti R, Parodi G, Neumann FJ, Colombo A, Antoniucci D Comparison of AngioJet Rheolytic Thrombectomy Before Direct Infarct Artery Stenting With Direct Stenting Alone in Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction The JETSTENT Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010 Oct 12;56:1298-306 [20691553] 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.06.011

ASPARAGUS, 2008:

Muramatsu T http://www.tctmd.com/ Show.aspx?id=58944

EMERALD, 2005:

Stone GW, Webb J, Cox DA, Brodie BR, Qureshi M, Kalynych A, Turco M, Schultheiss HP, Dulas D, Rutherford BD, Antoniucci D, Krucoff MW, Gibbons RJ, Jones D, Lansky AJ, Mehran R JAMA 2005;293:1063-72 [15741528] 10.1001/jama.293.9.1063

MICADO, 2007:

Matsuo A, Inoue N, Suzuki K, Nakamura R, Fujita H, Miki S, Yokoi Y J Invasive Cardiol 2007;19:132-8 [17341781]

Nanasato, 2004:

Nanasato M, Hirayama H, Muramatsu T, Unno K, Shimano M, Matsushita K, J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43(Suppl. 1):246A

Ochala, 2007:

Ochala A, Smolka G, Wojakowski W, Gabrylewicz B, Garbocz P, Tendera M Kardiol Pol 2007;65:672-80; discussion 681-3 [17629829]

Tahk, 2008:

Tahk SJ, Choi BJ, Choi SY, Yoon MH, Gwon HC, Hong GR, Kim YJ, Hur SH, Kim KB, Koo BK, Lee SH, Yoon J Int J Cardiol 2008;123:162-8 [17490759] 10.1016/j.ijcard.2007.03.124

Tahk S-J, Chae IH, Choi S-Y, et al. imag Circulation 2004;110 [Suppl., abstr]mag

VAMPIRE, 2004:

Isshiki T. (1 July 2008) http:// www.tctmd.com/Show.aspx?id=56032

MAASTRICHT (Vermeer), 1999:

Vermeer F, Oude Ophuis AJ, vd Berg EJ, Brunninkhuis LG, Werter CJ, Boehmer AG, Lousberg AH, Dassen WR, Br FW Prospective randomised comparison between thrombolysis, rescue PTCA, and primary PTCA in patients with extensive myocardial infarction admitted to a hospital without PTCA facilities: a safety and feasibility study. Heart 1999;82:426-31 [10490554]

PRAGUE-1, 2000:

Widimsk P, Groch L, Zelzko M, Aschermann M, Bednr F, Suryapranata H Multicentre randomized trial comparing transport to primary angioplasty vs immediate thrombolysis vs combined strategy for patients with acute myocardial infarction presenting to a community hospital without a catheterization laboratory. The PRAGUE study. Eur Heart J 2000;21:823-31 [10781354]

AIR-PAMI, 2002:

Grines CL, Westerhausen DR Jr, Grines LL, Hanlon JT, Logemann TL, Niemela M, Weaver WD, Graham M, Boura J, O'Neill WW, Balestrini C A randomized trial of transfer for primary angioplasty versus on-site thrombolysis in patients with high-risk myocardial infarction: the Air Primary Angioplasty in Myocardial Infarction study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;39:1713-9 [12039480]

CAPTIM, 2002:

Bonnefoy E, Lapostolle F, Leizorovicz A, Steg G, McFadden EP, Dubien PY, Cattan S, Boullenger E, Machecourt J, Lacroute JM, Cassagnes J, Dissait F, Touboul P Primary angioplasty versus prehospital fibrinolysis in acute myocardial infarction: a randomised study. Lancet 2002;360:825-9 [12243916]

DANAMI-2, 2003:

Fosbl EL, Thune JJ, Kelbaek H, Andersen HR, Saunamki K, Nielsen TT, Mortensen LS, Kber L Long-term outcome of primary angioplasty compared with fibrinolysis across age groups: a Danish Multicenter Randomized Study on Fibrinolytic Therapy Versus Acute Coronary Angioplasty in Acute Myocardial Infarction (DANAMI-2) substudy. Am Heart J 2008 Aug;156:391-6 [18657676]

Madsen JK, Grande P, Saunamki K, Thayssen P, Kassis E, Eriksen U, Rasmussen K, Hauns S, Nielsen TT, Haghfelt T, Fritz-Hansen P, Hjelms E, Paulsen PK, Alstrup P, Arendrup H, Niebuhr-Jrgensen U, Andersen LI Danish multicenter randomized study of invasive versus conservative treatment in patients with inducible ischemia after thrombolysis in acute myocardial infarction (DANAMI). DANish trial in Acute Myocardial Infarction. Circulation 1997 Aug 5;96:748-55 [9264478]

Madsen JK, Nielsen TT, Grande P, Eriksen UH, Saunamki K, Thayssen P, Kassis E, Rasmussen K, Hauns S, Haghfelt T, Fritz-Hansen P, Hjelms E, Paulsen PK, Alstrup P, Arendrup H, Niebuhr-Jrgensen U, Andersen LI Revascularization compared to medical treatment in patients with silent vs. symptomatic residual ischemia after thrombolyzed myocardial infarction–the DANAMI study. Cardiology 2007;108:243-51 [17114878]

Andersen HR, Nielsen TT, Rasmussen K, Thuesen L, Kelbaek H, Thayssen P, Abildgaard U, Pedersen F, Madsen JK, Grande P, Villadsen AB, Krusell LR, Haghfelt T, Lomholt P, Husted SE, Vigholt E, Kjaergard HK, Mortensen LS A comparison of coronary angioplasty with fibrinolytic therapy in acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2003 Aug 21;349:733-42 [12930925]

PRAGUE-2, 2003:

Widimsk P, Budesnsk T, Vorc D, Groch L, Zelzko M, Aschermann M, Branny M, St'sek J, Formnek P Long distance transport for primary angioplasty vs immediate thrombolysis in acute myocardial infarction. Final results of the randomized national multicentre trial–PRAGUE-2. Eur Heart J 2003;24:94-104 [12559941]

2 post stroke

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
warfarin vs aspirin			
SWAT , 1998 n=NA follow-up: 2 years	warfarin (INR 2.0 to 3.0) versus Enteric-coated aspirin 650 mg 12-hourly	-	Parallel groups open USA
anticoagulant vs no anticoagulant			
Baker , 1964 n=NA follow-up:	unnamed anticoagulant (TQ (2 to 2.5 times control in seconds), started in hospital versus no treatment	-	Parallel groups open
Bradshaw , 1975 n=NA follow-up:	warfarin or phenindione for 18 mo; TP time 2x control versus no treatment	-	Parallel groups open UK
LHSPS , 1999 n=NA follow-up: 2 years	unfractionated heparin 12.500 IU/d plus usual therapy versus usual therapy	-	Parallel groups open Italy
VA Study , 1961 n=NA follow-up: 11 months	coumadin or dicumarol, prothrombin activity 20% of normal versus no treatment	-	Parallel groups open USA
Wallace , 1964 n=NA follow-up: 9.75 months	phenindione or warfarin, Quick test 20% to 35% of normal (50% after 10 months) versus no treatment	-	Parallel groups open Australia
anticoagulant vs placebo			
McDevitt , 1959 n=NA follow-up: 34 months	dicumarol or warfarin, Quisk test 2 to 2.5 times control in seconds versus placebo	-	Parallel groups single-blind USA
aspirin vs placebo			
Canadian study (CCSG) , 1978 n=144/139 follow-up: ND	aspirin 325 mg/d versus placebo	-	Factorial plan Double blind
Swedish study , 1987 n=253/252 follow-up: 2 y	aspirin 1,500 mg/d versus placebo	-	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
UK-TIA low dose , 1988 n=806/814 follow-up: 4 y	aspirin 300 mg/d versus placebo	-	
UK-TIA high dose , 1988 n=815/814 follow-up: 2y	aspirin 1,200 mg/d versus placebo	-	
SALT , 1991 n=676/684 follow-up: 32 mo	aspirin 75 mg/d versus placebo	-	
Reuther , 1976 n=30/30 follow-up: 2 y	aspirin 1,500 mg/d versus placebo	-	
AITA , 1975 n=162/157 follow-up: 1 y	aspirin 1,300 mg/d versus placebo	-	
DCS , 1980 n=101/102 follow-up: 25 mo	aspirin 1,000 mg/d versus placebo	-	
AICLA , 1981 n=198/204 follow-up: 3 y	aspirin 990 mg/d versus placebo	-	
Lindblad , 1991 n=117/115 follow-up: 6 mo	aspirin 75 mg/d, during 6 months versus placebo	-	
Danish low-dose , 1986 n=150/151 follow-up: 23 mo	aspirin 50-100 mg/d (mean 54 mg/d) versus placebo	-	
ESPS 2 , 1996 n=1649/1649 follow-up: 2 y	aspirin 50 mg/d versus placebo	-	
atorvastatin vs placebo			
SPARCL , 2006 [NCT00147602] n=2365/2366 follow-up: 4.9y (median)	atorvastatin 80mg daily versus placebo	patients who had had a stroke or TIA within one to six months before study entry, had low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol levels of 2.6 to 4.9 mmol per liter, and had no known coronary heart disease	Parallel groups double blind
dicoumarol vs placebo			
Howard , 1963 n=NA follow-up: 1 year	dicumarol, TP 15 to 25% of normal versus placebo	-	Parallel groups single-blind USA

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Nat-Coop , 1962 n=NA follow-up: 13 months	heparin 50 mg 4-hourly iv then dicumarol, Quick test 15% to 25% of control versus placebo	-	Parallel groups single-blind USA
folic acid, vit B12 and vit B6 vs placebo			
VITATOPS , 2010 [NCT00097669X Parallel groups double-blind 20 countries	] n=4089/4075 follow-up: 3.4 y	folic acid and vitamins B12 and B6 in a single tablet versus placebo	patients with recent stroke or TIA (within the past seven months)
simvastatin vs placebo			
HPS (post troke sub group) , 2004 n=920/900 follow-up:	simvastatin 40mg daily versus placebo	adults with cerebrovascular disease, total cholesterol >=35 mmol/L and without coronaro disease (n=1820)	Parallel groups double blind
telmisartan vs placebo			
PROFESS , 2008 n=NA follow-up:	telmisartan 80 mg/d versus placebo	-	
high dose - folic acid, vit B12 and vit B6 vs low dose - folic acid, vit B12 and vit B6			
VISP (Toole) , 2004 n=1827/1853 follow-up: 2 y	high-dose of folic acid, pyridoxine (vitamin B6), and cobalamin (vitamin B12) versus low-dose of folic acid, pyridoxine (vitamin B6), and cobalamin (vitamin B12)	adults with nondisabling cerebral infarction	Parallel groups double blind United States, Canada, Scotland
Starflex vs medical treatment			
CLOSURE I , 2010 *unpublished* [NCT00201461] n=447/462 follow-up: 2 years	patent foramen ovale closure using the Starflex device versus best medical therapy: aspirin (325 mg daily) and/or warfarin (target INR = 2.5)	patients with a stroke and/or transient ischemic attack due to presumed paradoxical embolism through a patent foramen ovale	Parallel groups open US, Canada

More details and results :

- cholesterol lowering intervention for post stroke in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q153
- anti hypertensive agents for post stroke in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q410
- antiplatelets drug for post stroke in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q411
- anticoagulant for post stroke in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q413
- prevention for post stroke in patients with prior stroke or TIA at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q421
- foramen ovale closure for post stroke in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q430

References

SWAT, 1998:

Stewart B, Shuaib F, Veloso F. Stroke Prevention with Warfarin or Aspirin Trial (SWAT) Stroke 1998;29:304.

Baker, 1964:

Baker RN, Schwartz WS, Rose MD Transient ischemic strokes. A report of a study of anticoagulant therapy. Neurology 1966;16:841-7.

Baker RN, Schwartz WS, Rose MD Transient ischemic strokes. A report of a study of anticoagulant therapy. Neurology 1966;16:841-7.

Baker RN, Schwartz WS, Rose MD Transient ischemic strokes. A report of a study of anticoagulant therapy. Neurology 1966;16:841-7.

Bradshaw, 1975:

Bradshaw P, Brennan S Trial of long-term anticoagulant therapy in the treatment of small stroke associated with a normal carotid angiogram. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry 1975;38:642-7.

Bradshaw P, Brennan S Trial of long-term anticoagulant therapy in the treatment of small stroke associated with a normal carotid angiogram. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry 1975;38:642-7.

Bradshaw P, Brennan S Trial of long-term anticoagulant therapy in the treatment of small stroke associated with a normal carotid angiogram. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry 1975;38:642-7.

LHSPS, 1999:

Fortini A, Bonechi G, Carnovali M, Olivo G, Rinaldi G, Gensini GF Multi-centric study on ischemic cerebral re-infarct prevention with low-dose heparin: the informatic system [Sistema informatico dello studio clinico controllato multicentrico sulla prevenzione del reinfarto cerebrale ischemico con eparina a basso dosaggio]. Rivista di Neurobiologica

Fortini A, Bonechi G, Carnovali M, Olivo G, Rinaldi G, Gensini GF Multi-centric study on ischemic cerebral re-infarct prevention with low-dose heparin: the informatic system [Sistema informatico dello studio clinico controllato multicentrico sulla prevenzione del reinfarto cerebrale ischemico con eparina a basso dosaggio]. Rivista di Neurobiologica

Fortini A, Bonechi G, Carnovali M, Olivo G, Rinaldi G, Gensini GF Multi-centric study on ischemic cerebral re-infarct prevention with low-dose heparin: the informatic system [Sistema informatico dello studio clinico controllato multicentrico sulla prevenzione del reinfarto cerebrale ischemico con eparina a basso dosaggio]. Rivista di Neurobiologica

VA Study, 1961:

Baker RN. An evaluation of anticoagulant therapy in the treatment of cerebrovascular disease. Report of the Veterans Administration Cooperative Study of Atherosclerosis, Neurology Section Neurology 1961;11:1328.

Wallace, 1964:

WALLACE DC CEREBRAL VASCULAR DISEASE IN RELATION TO LONG-TERM ANTICOAGULANT THERAPY. J Chronic Dis 1964;17:527-37 [14168625]

McDevitt, 1959:

McDEVITT E, GROCH SN, WRIGHT IS A cooperative study of cerebrovascular disease; methodology and a preliminary report on the use of anticoagulants. Circulation 1959;20:215-23 [13671708]

McDowell F, McDevitt E, Wright IS. Anticoagulant therapy: five years experience with the patient with an established cerebrovascular accident.-ms Archives of Neurology 1963;8:20914

Canadian study (CCSG), 1978:

A randomized trial of aspirin and sulfinpyrazone in threatened stroke. The Canadian Cooperative Study Group. N Engl J Med 1978;299:53-9 [351394] 10.1056/NEJM19780713299020

Swedish study , 1987:

High-dose acetylsalicylic acid after cerebral infarction. A Swedish Cooperative Study. Stroke 1987;18:325-34 [2882626]

UK-TIA low dose , 1988:

Farrell B, Godwin J, Richards S, Warlow C The United Kingdom transient ischaemic attack (UK-TIA) aspirin trial: final results. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1991;54:1044-54 [1783914]

UK-TIA high dose , 1988:

Farrell B, Godwin J, Richards S, Warlow C The United Kingdom transient ischaemic attack (UK-TIA) aspirin trial: final results. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1991;54:1044-54 [1783914]

SALT , 1991:
Swedish Aspirin Low-Dose Trial (SALT) of 75 mg aspirin as secondary prophylaxis after cerebrovascular ischaemic events. The SALT Collaborative Group. Lancet 1991;338:1345-9 [1682734]
Reuther , 1976:
Stuttgart Schattauer 1978;97-106 [0]
AITA, 1975:
Fields WS, Lemak NA, Frankowski RF, Hardy RJ Controlled trial of aspirin in cerebral ischemia. Part II: surgical group. Stroke 1978;9:309-19 [354098]
DCS, 1980:
Sorensen PS, Pedersen H, Marquardsen J, Petersson H, Heltberg A, Simonsen N, Munck O, Andersen LA Acetylsalicylic acid in the prevention of stroke in patients with reversible cerebral ischemic attacks. A Danish cooperative study. Stroke 1983;14:15-22 [6337425]
AICLA, 1981:
Bousser MG, Eschwege E, Haguenau M, Lefaucconnier JM, Thibult N, Touboul D, Touboul PJ "AICLA" controlled trial of aspirin and dipyridamole in the secondary prevention of athero-thrombotic cerebral ischemia. Stroke 1983;14:5-14 [6401878]
Lindblad , 1991:
Lindblad B, Persson NH, Takolander R, Bergqvist D Does low-dose acetylsalicylic acid prevent stroke after carotid surgery? A double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. Stroke 1993;24:1125-8 [8342184]
Danish low-dose, 1986:
Barnett HJ, Eliasziw M, Meldrum HE Drugs and surgery in the prevention of ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 1995;332:238-48 [7808491] 10.1056/NEJM199501263320408
ESPS 2 , 1996:
Diener HC, Cunha L, Forbes C, Sivenius J, Smets P, Lowenthal A European Stroke Prevention Study. 2. Dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of stroke. J Neurol Sci 1996;143:1-13 [8981292]
SPARCL, 2006:
Amarenco P, Bogousslavsky J, Callahan A 3rd, Goldstein LB, Hennerici M, Rudolph AE, Sillesen H, Simunovic L, Szarek M, Welch KM, Zivin JA High-dose atorvastatin after stroke or transient ischemic attack. N Engl J Med 2006 Aug 10;355:549-59 [16899775]
Amarenco P, Benavente O, Goldstein LB, Callahan A 3rd, Sillesen H, Hennerici MG, Gilbert S, Rudolph AE, Simunovic L, Zivin JA, Welch KM Results of the Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) trial by stroke subtypes. Stroke 2009;40:1405-9 [19228842]
Howard, 1963:
HOWARD FA, COHEN P, HICKLER RB, LOCKES, NEWCOMB T, TYLER HR Survival following stroke JAMA 1963;183:921-5 [13955115]
Nat-Coop, 1962:
FISHER CM Anticoagulant therapy in cerebral thrombosis and cerebral embolism. A national cooperative study, interim report. Neurology 1961;11(4)Pt 2:119-31 [13699939]
VITATOPS, 2010:
Hankey GJ. The Vitamins to Prevent Stroke (VITATOPS) trial: Results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial of B-vitamin therapy in 8164 patients with recent transient ischaemic attack or stroke. 2010 European Stroke Conference: May 26, 2010; Barcelona, Spain
B vitamins in patients with recent transient ischaemic attack or stroke in the VITAmins TO Prevent Stroke (VITATOPS) trial: a randomised, double-blind, parallel, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Neurol 2010 Aug 3;: [20688574] 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70187-3
HPS (post troke sub group), 2004:
Collins R, Armitage J, Parish S, Sleight P, Peto R Effects of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin on stroke and other major vascular events in 20536 people with cerebrovascular disease or other high-risk conditions. Lancet 2004;363:757-67 [15016485]
PROFESS, 2008:
VISP (Toole), 2004:
Spence JD, Bang H, Chambless LE, Stampfer MJ Vitamin Intervention For Stroke Prevention trial: an efficacy analysis. Stroke 2005 Nov;36:2404-9 [16239629]
Toole JF, Malinow MR, Chambless LE, Spence JD, Pettigrew LC, Howard VJ, Sides EG, Wang CH, Stampfer M Lowering homocysteine in patients with ischemic stroke to prevent recurrent stroke, myocardial infarction, and death: the Vitamin Intervention for Stroke Prevention (VISP) randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004 Feb 4;291:565-75 [14762035]

CLOSURE I, 2010:

unpublished

Furlan AJ, Reisman M, Massaro J, Mauri L, Adams H, Albers GW, Felberg R, Herrmann H, Kar S, Landzberg M, Raizner A, Wechsler L Study design of the CLOSURE I Trial: a prospective, multicenter, randomized, controlled trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of the STARFlex septal closure system versus best medical therapy in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack due to presumed paradoxical embolism through a patent foramen ovale. Stroke 2010;41:2872-83 [21051670] 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.593376

Furlan AJ, Reisman M, Massaro J, Mauri L, Adams H, Albers GW, Felberg R, Herrmann H, Kar S, Landzberg M, Raizner A, Wechsler L Closure or medical therapy for cryptogenic stroke with patent foramen ovale. N Engl J Med 2012 Mar 15;366:991-9 [22417252] 10.1056/NEJMoa1009639

3 post myocardial infarction

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
class I drugs vs control			
BASIS , 1990 n=100/114 follow-up: 1 year	individualized antiarrhythmic treatment versus no antiarrhythmic therapy	patients with persisting asymptomatic complex arrhythmias after myocardial infarction	Parallel groups open
early amiodarone vs control			
BASIS , 1990 n=98/14 follow-up: 65279;12 mo	amiodarone 1 g for 5 d; then 200 mg/d started within 4 weeks of AMI versus no amiodarone (usual care)	patients with persisting asymptomatic complex arrhythmias after myocardial infarction (Lown class 3 or 4b in >2 of 24 h)	Parallel groups open
Navarro-Lopez , 1993 n=115/123 follow-up: 24 mo	amiodarone 600 mg/d for 1 week, 400 mg/d for 1 week then 200 mg/d started 10-30 d after AMI versus no amiodarone	patients who have had MI with a left ventricular ejection fraction of 20 to 45% and >or = 3 ventricular premature complexes per hour (pairs or runs) - 3 VPOs/h, pairs or runs of VT	Parallel groups open Spain
omega-3 Fatty acids vs control			
OMEGA , 2009 [NCT00251134] n=1940/1911 follow-up: 1 year	omega-3 fatty acids 1g daily (and standard medical therapy) versus standard medical therapy alone	Patients within 3-14 days after a non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) or ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI)	Parallel groups open Germany
coumadin vs control (on top of aspirin)			
ASPECT-2 (coumadin+ASA vs ASA) , 2002 n=298/289 follow-up: 1 year	coumadin(INR mean 2.4) +aspirin versus aspirin	Acute MI, unstable angina	Parallel groups open the Netherlands
warfarin vs control (on top of aspirin)			
WARIS , 1999 n=1208/1206 follow-up: 65279;37 months	warfarin 2.84.8 versus placebo	survivors of acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
APRICOT-2 , 2002 n=135/139 follow-up: 3 months	moderate-intensity coumarin target INR 2-3 (+aspirin) versus aspirin	Acute MI after thrombolytics	Parallel groups open the Netherlands
CARS (warafrin 3mg) , 1997 n=5410/3393 follow-up: 14 months	warfarin fixed dose 3mg/d + 80 mg ASA versus aspirin 160 mg/d	AMI	Parallel groups double blind North America
CARS (warfarin 1mg) , 1997 n=2028/3393 follow-up: 14 months	warfarin 1mg/d + aspirin 80mg/d versus aspirin 160 mg/d	patients who had had myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind North America
CHAMP , 2002 n=2522/2537 follow-up: 2.7 years	warfarin target INR 1.5-2.5 + aspirin 81 mg daily versus aspirin 162 mg/d	AMI (patients enrolled within 14 days of infarction)	Parallel groups open US
LoWASA , 2004 n=1659/1641 follow-up: 5 years	warfarin fixed dose 1.25mg/d + ASA 75mg/d versus aspirin alone	AMI	Parallel groups open Sweden
WARIS II (warfarin+ASA) , 2002 n=4927/4669 follow-up: 4 years	warfarin target INR 2-2.5 +ASA 75mg/d versus ASA 160mg/d	patients hospitalized for acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups open Norway
Zibaeenezhad , 2004 n=70/70 follow-up: 1 year	Warfarin target INR 65279;23 +aspirin versus aspirin 100 mg/day	Acute MI	Parallel groups open
any anticoagulant vs placebo			
Sixty Plus reinfarction Study , 1980 n=NA follow-up: 2 years	anticoagulant versus placebo	over 60 years of age	Parallel groups double blind
aspirin vs placebo			
CDPA , 1976 n=758/771 follow-up: 1.83 y	Aspirin (324 mg) 3x/d versus Placebo	MI survivors	Parallel groups Double blind USA
Cardiff I , 1974 n=615/624 follow-up: 2 years	Aspirin (300 mg) 1x/d versus Placebo	MI survivors	Parallel groups Double blind UK

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Cardiff II , 1979 n=832/850 follow-up: 1 y	Aspirin (300 mg) 3x/d for one year versus Placebo	patients with myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind South Wales
Vogel , 1979 n=672/668 follow-up: 1.75 y (mean)	Aspirin (1.5 g daily) on an average period of 22 months versus Placebo	-	Parallel groups Double blind Germany
AMIS , 1980 [NCT00000491] n=2267/2257 follow-up: >3 y	Aspirin (500 mg) 2x/d for at least 3 years versus Placebo	men and women who had had a documented myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind USA
GAMIS , 1980 n=317/309 follow-up: 2 y	Aspirin (500 mg) 3x/d for 2 years versus Placebo	patients who had survived a myocardial infarction for 30-42 days	Parallel groups Double blind Germany, Austria,
PARIS , 1980 n=810/406 follow-up: 41 mo	Aspirin (324 mg) 3x/d versus Placebo	patients who had recovered from myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind USA, UK
JAMIS , 1999 n=250/230 follow-up: 1.3 y (mean)	Aspirin (81 mg) 1x/d versus No antiplatelets	patients with AMI within 1 month from the onset of symptoms	Parallel groups Open Japan
azimilide vs placebo			
ALIVE , 2004 n=NA follow-up: 1y	azimilide 100 mg versus placebo	post-MI patients with depressed LVF	Parallel groups double blind
bezafibrate vs placebo			
BECAIT , 1996 n=47/45 follow-up: 5.0 years	bezafibrate 200 mg three times daily versus placebo	dyslipidaemic male survivors of myocardial infarction who were younger than 45 years at the time of the event	Parallel groups double blind Sweden
BIP , 2000 n=1548/1542 follow-up: 6.2 y	bezafibrate 400 mg/d versus placebo	patients with a previous myocardial infarction or stable angina, total cholesterol of 180 to 250 mg/dL, HDL-C <or =45 mg/dL, triglycerides <or =300 mg/dL, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol <or =180 mg/dL	Parallel groups double blind Israel
canakinumab vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
CANTOS , 2017 [NCT01327846] n=10066 follow-up: 36 months	Quarterly Subcutaneous Canakinumab 50mg, 150mg, 300mg for 36 months versus placebo	Stable Post-myocardial Infarction Patients With Elevated hsCRP	double-blind
clofibtate+niacin vs placebo			
Carlson (Stockholm) , 1977 n=279/276 follow-up: 5 years	clofibrate, 1 g twice daily, and nicotinic acid 1 g three times daily versus control	survivors of a myocardial infarction below 70 years of age	Parallel groups open Sweden
coumadin vs placebo			
ASPECT , 1994 n=1700/1704 follow-up: 37 months (range 6-76)	nicoumalone or phenprocoumon, target INR 2.84.8 versus placebo	hospital survivors of myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind
d,l sotalol vs placebo			
Julian , 1982 n=1456 follow-up: 1 year	sotalol 320 mg once daily versus placebo	surviving an acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind
dabigatran vs placebo			
REDEEM , 2009 *unpublished* [NCT00621855] n=1501/373 follow-up: 6 months	dabigatran 4 dosages (50mg twice daily, 75mg twice daily, 110mg twice daily, 150mg twice daily) versus placebo	patients with recent acute coronary syndromes (ST- or non-ST-elevation myocardical infarction)	Parallel groups double blind
diltiazem vs placebo			
MDPIT , 1988 n=1234/1232 follow-up: 25 months (at least 12 months)	Diltiazem 60mgx4 versus placebo	Patient aged 25 to 75 years, admitted to coronary care units with a documented acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind US, Canada
dipyridamol + aspirin vs placebo			
PARIS , 1980 n=810/406 follow-up: 41 months (mean)	Aspirin (324 mg) + dipyridamole (75 mg) 3x/d versus Placebo	patients who had recovered from myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind USA and UK
PARIS-II , 1986 n=1563/1565 follow-up: 23.4 months	Aspirin (330 mg) + dipyridamole (75 mg) 3x/d versus Placebo	patients who had recovered from myocardial infarction, suffered from 4 weeks to 4 months previously	Parallel groups Double blind USA and UK
early amiodarone vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
CAMIAT , 1991 n=NA follow-up: 24 mo	amiodarone 10 mg/kg per d for 3 weeks then 300-400 mg/d started 6-45 d after AMI versus placebo	patients with acute myocardial infarction within the previous 6-30 days and >10 VPDs/h for 18 h or a run of VT	Parallel groups double blind
Ceremuzynski , 1992 n=305/308 follow-up: 12 mo	amiodarone 800 mg/d for 1 week then 200-400 mg/d started 5-7 d after AMI versus placebo	No need for antiarrhythmic therapy	Parallel groups double blind
Hockings , 1987 n=59/70 follow-up: 642 mo	amiodarone 200 mg 3 times daily for 1 wk; then 200 mg/d started <8-10 d after AMI versus placebo	patients with AMI - Absence of VF or VT >3 beats	Parallel groups double blind
pravastatin vs placebo			
CARE , 1996 n=2081/2078 follow-up: 5 years	pravastatin 40 mg/d versus placebo	men and women with myocardial infarction who had plasma totalcholesterol levels below 240 mg per deciliter (mean,209) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterollevels of 115 to 174 mg per deciliter	Parallel groups double blind USA, Canada
LIPID , 1998 n=4512/4502 follow-up: 6.1 years	pravastatin 40 mg/d versus placebo	patients with previous myocardial infarction or unstable angina and a baseline plasma cholesterol concentration of 4.0-7.0 mmol/L	Parallel groups double blind Australie et Nouvelle Zlande
PACT , 2004 n=1710/1689 follow-up: 30 days	pravastatin initiated within 24 hours of onset of synmptoms and for 4 weeks versus placebo	patients with unstable angina, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, or ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction <24 hours	Parallel groups double blind
simvastatin vs placebo			
4S , 1994 n=2221/2223 follow-up: 5.4 years	simvastatin 20 or 40 mg/d, target CT between 3 et 5.2 mmol/l versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris or previous myocardial infarction and serum cholesterol 5.5-8.0 mmol/L on a lipid-lowering diet	Parallel groups double blind Scandinavia
verapamil vs placebo			
DAVIT I , 1984 n=1751/1747 follow-up: 6 months	verapamil 120mgx3 versus placebo	-	Parallel groups Double blind Danish
DAVIT II , 1990 n=878/897 follow-up: 16 months	verapamil 120mgx3 for 18 months versus placebo	patients <76years with diagnosis of acute MI	Parallel groups Double blind Danish

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
CRIS , 1996 n=531/542 follow-up: 23.5 months	verapamil retard 360 mg daily versus placebo	patients admitted for acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind Italy
Danish study , 1984 n=1729/1718 follow-up: 12 months	verapamil 0.1mg/kg IV plus 3x120mg orally versus placebo	patients under 75 years of age admitted to the CCU with a suspicion of acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind Danish
ticagrelor vs placebo (on top aspirin)			
PEGASUS 60mg , 2015 [NCT01225562] n=7045/7067 follow-up: 2.75 y (median)	ticagrelor at a dose of 60 mg twice daily versus placebo	patients who had had a myocardial infarction 1 to 3 years earlier	Parallel groups double-blind
PEGASUS 90mg , 2015 [NCT01225562] n=7050/7067 follow-up: 2.75 y (median)	-	patients who had had a myocardial infarction 1 to 3 years earlier	double-blind
vorapaxar vs placebo (on top aspirin)			
TRA-2P TIMI 50 , 2012 [NCT00526474] n=13225/13244 follow-up: 2.5 y (median)	vorapaxar (SCH 530348) 2.5-mg daily versus placebo (added to the existing standard of care for preventing heart attack and stroke (eg, aspirin, clopidogrel)	patients with a known history of atherosclerosis (MI, ischemic stroke, or peripheral vascular disease)	Parallel groups double-blind
warfarin vs placebo (on top of aspirin)			
Williams , 1997 n=6/5 follow-up: 2.5 months	warfarin target INR 65279;22.5 +aspirin versus placebo +aspirin	Acute MI, unstable angina	Parallel groups double blind
any anticoagulant vs aspirin			
EPSIM , 1982 n=652/651 follow-up: 29 months (range 6-59)	anticoagulant versus aspirin 500mg three times daily	patients surviving myocardial infarction	Parallel groups open
coumadin vs aspirin			
ASPECT-2 (coumadin alone) , 2002 n=325/336 follow-up: 1 year (range 0-26 months)	coumadin (phenprocoumon or acenocoumarol) target INR 3-4 versus aspirin 80mg daily	Acute MI, unstable angina	Parallel groups open the Netherlands
dipyridamol + aspirin vs aspirin			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
PARIS , 1980 n=810/810 follow-up: 41 months	Aspirin (324 mg) + dipyridamole (75 mg) 3x/d versus Aspirin (324 mg) 3x/d	patuents who had recovered from myocardial infarction	Parallel groups Double blind USA and GB
warfarin vs aspirin			
WARIS II (warfarin alone) , 2002 n=1216/1206 follow-up: 48 months	warfarin target INR 2.8-4.2 versus ASA 160mg/d	patients hospitalized for acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups NA Norway
atorvastatin high dose vs atorvastatin			
TNT , 2005 [NCT00327691] n=4995/5006 follow-up: 4.9 years	80 mg of atorvastatin daily versus 10 mg of atorvastatin daily	Chronic coronary artery disease LDL cholesterol <3.4 mmol/L	Parallel groups double blind 14 countries
atorvastatin high dose vs lovastatin			
Vascular basis , 2005 n=197/103 follow-up: 1 year	atorvastatin (80 mg) with or without vitamin C and E versus low dose lovastatin (5 mg)	Chronic coronary artery disease	Parallel groups double blind
low fat diet vs mediterranean-style diet			
Tuttle , 2008 n=NA follow-up: 24 months	low-fat versus Mediterranean-style diets	First MI survivors	Parallel groups open

More details and results :

- cholesterol lowering intervention for post myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q45
- anticoagulant for post myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q173
- antiarrythmic drugs for post myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q251
- calcium channel blockers for post myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q252
- antiplatelets drug for post myocardial infarction in all type of patient at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q277
- omega-3 fatty acids for post myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q283
- antithrombotics for post myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q364
- secondary prevention for post myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q449
- anti inflammatory drugs for post myocardial infarction in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q684

References

BASIS, 1990:

Burkart F, Pfisterer M, Kiowski W, Follath F, Burckhardt D Effect of antiarrhythmic therapy on mortality in survivors of myocardial infarction with asymptomatic complex ventricular arrhythmias: Basel Antiarrhythmic Study of Infarct Survival (BASIS) J Am Coll Cardiol 1990 Dec;16:1711-8 [2254558]

BASIS, 1990:

Burkart F, Pfisterer M, Kiowski W, Follath F, Burckhardt D Effect of antiarrhythmic therapy on mortality in survivors of myocardial infarction with asymptomatic complex ventricular arrhythmias: Basel Antiarrhythmic Study of Infarct Survival (BASIS) J Am Coll Cardiol 1990;16:1711-8 [2254558]

Navarro-Lopez, 1993:

Navarro-Lpez F, Cosin J, Marrugat J, Guindo J, Bayes de Luna A Comparison of the effects of amiodarone versus metoprolol on the frequency of ventricular arrhythmias and on mortality after acute myocardial infarction. SSSD Investigators. Spanish Study on Sudden Death. Am J Cardiol 1993;72:1243-8 [7504880]

OMEGA, 2009:

Senges Randomized Trial of Omega-3 Fatty Acids on Top of Modern Therapy After Acute Myocardial Infarction: The OMEGA Trial ACC.09/i2, Orlando, FL, March 2009 [0]

Rauch B, Schiele R, Schneider S, Diller F, Victor N, Gohlke H, Gottwik M, Steinbeck G, Del Castillo U, Sack R, Worth H, Katus H, Spitzer W, Sabin G, Senges J OMEGA, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial to test the effect of highly purified omega-3 fatty acids on top of modern guideline-adjusted therapy after myocardial infarction. Circulation 2010;122:2152-9 [21060071] 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.948562

ASPECT-2 (coumadin+ASA vs ASA), 2002:

van Es RF, Jonker JJ, Verheugt FW, Deckers JW, Grobbee DE Aspirin and coumadin after acute coronary syndromes (the ASPECT-2 study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2002;360:109-13 [12126819]

WARIS, 1999:

Smith P, Arnesen H, Holme I The effect of warfarin on mortality and reinfarction after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1990;323:147-52 [2194126]

APRICOT-2, 2002:

Brouwer MA, van den Bergh PJ, Aengevaeren WR, Veen G, Luijten HE, Hertzberger DP, van Boven AJ, Vromans RP, Uijen GJ, Verheugt FW Aspirin plus coumarin versus aspirin alone in the prevention of reocclusion after fibrinolysis for acute myocardial infarction: results of the Antithrombotics in the Prevention of Reocclusion In Coronary Thrombolysis (APRICOT)-2 Trial. Circulation 2002;106:659-65 [12163424]

CARS (warafrin 3mg), 1997:

Randomised double-blind trial of fixed low-dose warfarin with aspirin after myocardial infarction. Coumadin Aspirin Reinfarction Study (CARS) Investigators. Lancet 1997;350:389-96 [9259652]

CARS (warfarin 1mg), 1997:

Randomised double-blind trial of fixed low-dose warfarin with aspirin after myocardial infarction. Coumadin Aspirin Reinfarction Study (CARS) Investigators. Lancet 1997;350:389-96 [9259652]

CHAMP, 2002:

Fiore LD, Ezekowitz MD, Brophy MT, Lu D, Sacco J, Peduzzi P Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program Clinical Trial comparing combined warfarin and aspirin with aspirin alone in survivors of acute myocardial infarction: primary results of the CHAMP study. Circulation 2002;105:557-63 [11827919]

LoWASA, 2004:

Herlitz J, Holm J, Peterson M, Karlson BW, Haglid Evander M, Erhardt L Effect of fixed low-dose warfarin added to aspirin in the long term after acute myocardial infarction; the LoWASA Study. Eur Heart J 2004;25:232-9 [14972424]

WARIS II (warfarin+ASA), 2002:

Hurlen M, Abdelnoor M, Smith P, Erikssen J, Arnesen H Warfarin, aspirin, or both after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2002;347:969-74 [12324552]

Zibaeenezhad, 2004:

Zibaeenezhad MJ, Mowla A, Sorbi MH Warfarin and aspirin versus aspirin alone in patients with acute myocardial infarction: a pilot study. Angiology 2004;55:17-20 [14759085]

Sixty Plus reinfarction Study, 1980:

A double-blind trial to assess long-term oral anticoagulant therapy in elderly patients after myocardial infarction. Report of the Sixty Plus Reinfarction Study Research Group. Lancet 1980;2:989-94 [6107674]

CDPA, 1976:

, Aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Coronary Drug Project Research Group. J Chronic Dis 1976; 29:625-42 [789390]

Cardiff I, 1974:

Elwood P, Trial of acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of mortality from myocardial infarction. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1981; 282:481 [6780093]

Cardiff II, 1979:

Elwood PC, Sweetnam PM, Aspirin and secondary mortality after myocardial infarction. Lancet 1979; 2:1313-5 [92668]

Vogel, 1979:

Folia Haematol 1979; 106:797-803 [0]

AMIS, 1980:

, The aspirin myocardial infarction study: final results. The Aspirin Myocardial Infarction Study research group. Circulation 1980; 62:V79-84 [7438383]

, A randomized, controlled trial of aspirin in persons recovered from myocardial infarction. JAMA 1980; 243:661-9 [6985998]

GAMIS, 1980:

Breddin K, Loew D, Lechner K, Oberla K, Walter E, The German-Austrian aspirin trial: a comparison of acetylsalicylic acid, placebo and phenprocoumon in secondary prevention of myocardial infarction. On behalf of the German-Austrian Study Group. Circulation 1980; 62:V63-72 [6777073]

PARIS, 1980:

, Persantine and aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study Research Group. Circulation 1980; 62:449-61 [7398002]

JAMIS, 1999:

Yasue H, Ogawa H, Tanaka H, Miyazaki S, Hattori R, Saito M, Ishikawa K, Masuda Y, Yamaguchi T, Motomiya T, Tamura Y, Effects of aspirin and trapidil on cardiovascular events after acute myocardial infarction. Japanese Antiplatelets Myocardial Infarction Study (JAMIS) Investigators. Am J Cardiol 1999; 83:1308-13 [10235086]

ALIVE, 2004:

Pratt CM, Singh SN, Al-Khalidi HR, Brum JM, Holroyde MJ, Marcello SR, Schwartz PJ, Camm AJ The efficacy of azimilide in the treatment of atrial fibrillation in the presence of left ventricular systolic dysfunction: results from the Azimilide Postinfarct Survival Evaluation (ALIVE) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004 Apr 7;43:1211-6 [15063432]

Camm AJ, Pratt CM, Schwartz PJ, Al-Khalidi HR, Spyt MJ, Holroyde MJ, Karam R, Sonnenblick EH, Brum JM Mortality in patients after a recent myocardial infarction: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of azimilide using heart rate variability for risk stratification. Circulation 2004 Mar 2;109:990-6 [14967728]

Camm AJ, Karam R, Pratt CM The azimilide post-infarct survival evaluation (ALIVE) trial. Am J Cardiol 1998 Mar 19;81:35D-39D [9537221]

BECAIT, 1996:

Ruotolo G, Ericsson CG, Tettamanti C, Karpe F, Grip L, Svane B, Nilsson J, de Faire U, Hamsten A Treatment effects on serum lipoprotein lipids, apolipoproteins and low density lipoprotein particle size and relationships of lipoprotein variables to progression of coronary artery disease in the Bezafibrate Coronary Atherosclerosis Intervention Trial (BECAIT). J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;32:1648-56 [9822092]

Ericsson CG Results of the Bezafibrate Coronary Atherosclerosis Intervention Trial (BECAIT) and an update on trials now in progress. Eur Heart J 1998;19 Suppl H:H37-41 [9717064]

Ericsson CG, Hamsten A, Nilsson J, Grip L, Svane B, de Faire U Angiographic assessment of effects of bezafibrate on progression of coronary artery disease in young male postinfarction patients. Lancet 1996;347:849-53 [8622389]

de Faire U, Ericsson CG, Hamsten A, Nilsson J Design features of a five-year Bezafibrate Coronary Atherosclerosis Intervention Trial (BECAIT). Drugs Exp Clin Res 1995;21:105-24 [7555614]

BIP, 2000:

, Secondary prevention by raising HDL cholesterol and reducing triglycerides in patients with coronary artery disease: the Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention (BIP) study. Circulation 2000; 102:21-7 [10880410]

Goldenberg I, Boyko V, Tennenbaum A, Tanne D, Behar S, Guetta V Long-term benefit of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol-raising therapy with bezafibrate: 16-year mortality follow-up of the bezafibrate infarction prevention trial. Arch Intern Med 2009;169:508-14 [19273782]

CANTOS, 2017:

Ridker PM, Thuren T, Zalewski A, Libby P Interleukin-1? inhibition and the prevention of recurrent cardiovascular events: rationale and design of the Canakinumab Anti-inflammatory Thrombosis Outcomes Study (CANTOS). Am Heart J 2011;162:597-605 [21982649]

Carlson (Stockholm), 1977:

Carlson LA, Danielson M, Ekberg I, Klintemar B, Rosenhamer G, Reduction of myocardial reinfarction by the combined treatment with clofibrate and nicotinic acid. Atherosclerosis 1977; 28:81-6 [911371]

Carlson LA, Rosenhamer G, Reduction of mortality in the Stockholm Ischaemic Heart Disease Secondary Prevention Study by combined treatment with clofibrate and nicotinic acid. Acta Med Scand 1988; 223:405-18 [3287837]

ASPECT, 1994:

Effect of long-term oral anticoagulant treatment on mortality and cardiovascular morbidity after myocardial infarction. Anticoagulants in the Secondary Prevention of Events in Coronary Thrombosis (ASPECT) Research Group. Lancet 1994;343:499-503 [7906757]

Julian, 1982:

Julian DG, Camm AJ, Frangin G, Janse MJ, Munoz A, Schwartz PJ, Simon P Randomised trial of effect of amiodarone on mortality in patients with left-ventricular dysfunction after recent myocardial infarction: EMIAT. European Myocardial Infarct Amiodarone Trial Investigators. Lancet 1997 Mar 8;349:667-74 [9078197]

Julian DG, Prescott RJ, Jackson FS, Szekely P Controlled trial of sotalol for one year after myocardial infarction. Lancet 1982 May 22;1:1142-7 [6122937]

REDEEM, 2009:

unpublished

Oldgren J, Budaj A, Granger CB, Khder Y, Roberts J, Siegbahn A, Tijssen JG, Van de Werf F, Wallentin L Dabigatran vs. placebo in patients with acute coronary syndromes on dual antiplatelet therapy: a randomized, double-blind, phase II trial. Eur Heart J 2011 Nov;32:2781-9 [21551462]

MDPIT, 1988:

The effect of diltiazem on mortality and reinfarction after myocardial infarction. The Multicenter Diltiazem Postinfarction Trial Research Group N Engl J Med 1988;319:385-92 [2899840]

Bigger JT Jr, Coromilas J, Rolnitzky LM, Fleiss JL, Kleiger RE Effect of diltiazem on cardiac rate and rhythm after myocardial infarction. Multicenter Diltiazem Postinfarction Trial Investigators Am J Cardiol 1990;65:539-46 [2178379]

Moss AJ, Oakes D, Rubison M, McDermott M, Carleen E, Eberly S, Brown M Effects of diltiazem on long-term outcome after acute myocardial infarction in patients with and without a history of systemic hypertension. The Multicenter Diltiazem Postinfarction Trial Research Group Am J Cardiol 1991;68:429-33 [1872266]

Gibson RS, Hansen JF, Messerli F, Schechtman KB, Boden WE Long-term effects of diltiazem and verapamil on mortality and cardiac events in non-Q-wave acute myocardial infarction without pulmonary congestion: post hoc subset analysis of the multicenter diltiazem postinfarction trial and the second danish verapamil infarction trial studies Am J Cardiol 2000;86:275-9 [10922432]

PARIS, 1980:

, Persantine and aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study Research Group. Circulation 1980; 62:449-61 [7398002]

, Persantine and aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study Research Group. Circulation 1980; 62:449-61 [7398002]

PARIS-II, 1986:

Klimt CR, Knatterud GL, Stamler J, Meier P, Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study. Part II. Secondary coronary prevention with persantine and aspirin. J Am Coll Cardiol 1986; 7:251-69 [2868029]

CAMIAT , 1991:

Cairns JA, Connolly SJ, Gent M, Roberts R Post-myocardial infarction mortality in patients with ventricular premature depolarizations. Canadian Amiodarone Myocardial Infarction Arrhythmia Trial Pilot Study. Circulation 1991;84:550-7 [1860199]

Ceremuzynski, 1992:

Ceremuzynski L, Kleczar E, Krzeminska-Pakula M, Kuch J, Nartowicz E, Smielak-Korombel J, Dyduszynski A, Maciejewicz J, Zaleska T, Lazarczyk-Kedzia E Effect of amiodarone on mortality after myocardial infarction: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, pilot study. J Am Coll Cardiol 1992;20:1056-62 [1401602]

Hockings, 1987:

Hockings BE, George T, Mahrous F, Taylor RR, Hajar HA Effectiveness of amiodarone on ventricular arrhythmias during and after acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 1987;60:967-70 [3673913]

CARE, 1996:

Sacks FM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, Rouleau JL, Rutherford JD, Cole TG, Brown L, Warnica JW, Arnold JM, Wun CC, Davis BR, Braunwald E, The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. Cholesterol and Recurrent Events Trial investigators. N Engl J Med 1996; 335:1001-9 [8801446]

Plehn JF, Davis BR, Sacks FM, Rouleau JL, Pfeffer MA, Bernstein V, Cuddy TE, Moy LA, Piller LB, Rutherford J, Simpson LM, Braunwald E Reduction of stroke incidence after myocardial infarction with pravastatin: the Cholesterol and Recurrent Events (CARE) study. The Care Investigators. Circulation 1999;99:216-23 [9892586]

LIPID, 1998:

, Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. The Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease (LIPID) Study Group. N Engl J Med 1998; 339:1349-57 [9841303]

, Long-term effectiveness and safety of pravastatin in 9014 patients with coronary heart disease and average cholesterol concentrations: the LIPID trial follow-up. Lancet 2002; 359:1379-87 [11978335]

Design features and baseline characteristics of the LIPID (Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischemic Disease) Study: a randomized trial in patients with previous acute myocardial infarction and/or unstable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 1995;76:474-9 [7653447]

PACT, 2004:

Thompson PL, Meredith I, Amerena J, Campbell TJ, Sloman JG, Harris PJ Effect of pravastatin compared with placebo initiated within 24 hours of onset of acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina: the Pravastatin in Acute Coronary Treatment (PACT) trial. Am Heart J 2004;148:e2 [15215811]

4S, 1994:

, Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S) Lancet 1994; 344:1383-9 [7968073]

DAVIT I, 1984:

Verapamil in acute myocardial infarction. The Danish Study Group on Verapamil in Myocardial Infarction Eur Heart J 1984;5:516-28 [6383832]

Hansen JF Treatment with verapamil after an acute myocardial infarction. Review of the Danish studies on verapamil in myocardial infarction (DAVIT I and II) Drugs 1991;42 Suppl 2:43-53 [1718701]

DAVIT II, 1990:

Effect of verapamil on mortality and major events after acute myocardial infarction (the Danish Verapamil Infarction Trial II–DAVIT II) Am J Cardiol 1990;66:779-85 [2220572]

Vaage-Nilsen M, Rasmussen V Effect of verapamil on heart rate variability after an acute myocardial infarction. Danish Verapamil Infarction Trial II Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 1998;12:285-90 [9784908]

Vaage-Nilsen M, Rasmussen V, Hansen JF, Hagerup L, Sorensen MB, Pedersen-Bjergaard O, Mellemgaard K, Hollander NH, Nielsen I, Sigurd BM Effect of verapamil on arrhythmias and heart rate during 16 months following an acute myocardial infarction. The Danish Study Group on Verapamil in Myocardial Infarction Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 1994;8:147-51 [8086325]

CRIS, 1996:

Rengo F, Carbonin P, Pahor M, DeCaprio L, Bernabei R, Ferrara N, Carosella L, Acanfora D, Parlati S, Vitale D A controlled trial of verapamil in patients after acute myocardial infarction: results of the calcium antagonist reinfarction Italian study (CRIS) Am J Cardiol 1996;77:365-9 [8602564]

Ferrara N Giorn geront 1996;44:577-583

Danish study, 1984:

Verapamil in acute myocardial infarction. The Danish Study Group on Verapamil in Myocardial Infarction. Eur Heart J 1984;5:516-28 [6383832]

PEGASUS 60mg, 2015:

Bonaca MP, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, Cohen M, Steg PG, Storey RF, Held P, Jensen EC, Sabatine MS Design and rationale for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Prior Heart Attack Using Ticagrelor Compared to Placebo on a Background of Aspirin-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 54 (PEGASUS-TIMI 54) trial. Am Heart J 2014;167:437-444.e5 [24655690]

Bonaca MP, Bhatt DL, Cohen M, Steg PG, Storey RF, Jensen EC, Magnani G, Bansilal S, Fish MP, Im K, Bengtsson O, Ophuis TO, Budaj A, Theroux P, Ruda M, Hamm C, Goto S, Spinar J, Nicolau JC, Kiss RG, Murphy SA, Wiviott SD, Held P, Braunwald E, Sabatine MS Long-Term Use of Ticagrelor in Patients with Prior Myocardial Infarction. N Engl J Med 2015 Mar 14;: [25773268] 10.1056/NEJMoa1500857

PEGASUS 90mg, 2015:

Bonaca MP, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, Cohen M, Steg PG, Storey RF, Held P, Jensen EC, Sabatine MS Design and rationale for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Events in Patients With Prior Heart Attack Using Ticagrelor Compared to Placebo on a Background of Aspirin-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 54 (PEGASUS-TIMI 54) trial. Am Heart J 2014;167:437-444.e5 [24655690]

Bonaca MP, Bhatt DL, Cohen M, Steg PG, Storey RF, Jensen EC, Magnani G, Bansilal S, Fish MP, Im K, Bengtsson O, Ophuis TO, Budaj A, Theroux P, Ruda M, Hamm C, Goto S, Spinar J, Nicolau JC, Kiss RG, Murphy SA, Wiviott SD, Held P, Braunwald E, Sabatine MS Long-Term Use of Ticagrelor in Patients with Prior Myocardial Infarction. N Engl J Med 2015 Mar 14;: [25773268] 10.1056/NEJMoa1500857

TRA-2P TIMI 50, 2012:

Morrow DA, Scirica BM, Fox KA, Berman G, Strony J, Veltri E, Bonaca MP, Fish P, McCabe CH, Braunwald E Evaluation of a novel antiplatelet agent for secondary prevention in patients with a history of atherosclerotic disease: design and rationale for the Thrombin-Receptor Antagonist in Secondary Prevention of Atherothrombotic Ischemic Events (TRA 2 degrees P)-TIMI 50 trial. Am Heart J 2009 Sep;158:335-341.e3 [19699854]

Morrow DA, Braunwald E, Bonaca MP, Ameriso SF, Dalby AJ, Fish MP, Fox KA, Lipka LJ, Liu X, Nicolau JC, Oude Ophuis AJ, Paolasso E, Scirica BM, Spinar J, Theroux P, Wiviott SD, Strony J, Murphy SA Vorapaxar in the Secondary Prevention of Atherothrombotic Events. N Engl J Med 2012 Mar 24;: [22443427] 10.1056/NEJMoa1200933

Scirica BM, Bonaca MP, Braunwald E, De Ferrari GM, Isaza D, Lewis BS, Mehrhof F, Merlini PA, Murphy SA, Sabatine MS, Tendera M, Van de Werf F, Wilcox R, Morrow DA Vorapaxar for secondary prevention of thrombotic events for patients with previous myocardial infarction: a prespecified subgroup analysis of the TRA 2P-TIMI 50 trial. Lancet 2012;380:1317-24 [22932716]

Williams, 1997:

Williams MJ, Morison IM, Parker JH, Stewart RA Progression of the culprit lesion in unstable coronary artery disease with warfarin and aspirin versus aspirin alone: preliminary study. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;30:364-9 [9247506]

EPSIM, 1982:

A controlled comparison of aspirin and oral anticoagulants in prevention of death after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1982;307:701-8 [7050710]

ASPECT-2 (coumadin alone), 2002:

van Es RF, Jonker JJ, Verheugt FW, Deckers JW, Grobbee DE Aspirin and coumadin after acute coronary syndromes (the ASPECT-2 study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2002;360:109-13 [12126819]

PARIS, 1980:

, Persantine and aspirin in coronary heart disease. The Persantine-Aspirin Reinfarction Study Research Group. Circulation 1980; 62:449-61 [7398002]

WARIS II (warfarin alone), 2002:

Hurlen M, Abdelnoor M, Smith P, Erikssen J, Arnesen H Warfarin, aspirin, or both after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2002;347:969-74 [12324552]

TNT, 2005:

LaRosa JC, Grundy SM, Waters DD, Shear C, Barter P, Fruchart JC, Gotto AM, Greten H, Kastelein JJ, Shepherd J, Wenger NK Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2005 Apr 7;352:1425-35 [15755765]

Wenger NK, Lewis SJ, Welty FK, Herrington DM, Bittner V Beneficial effects of aggressive low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering in women with stable coronary heart disease in the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study. Heart 2008;94:434-9 [18070940]

Johnson C, Waters DD, DeMicco DA, Breazna A, Bittner V, Greten H, Grundy SM, LaRosa JC Comparison of effectiveness of atorvastatin 10 mg versus 80 mg in reducing major cardiovascular events and repeat revascularization in patients with previous percutaneous coronary intervention (post hoc analysis of the Treating to New Targets [TNT] Study). Am J Cardiol 2008;102:1312-7 [18993147]

Waters DD, Guyton JR, Herrington DM, McGowan MP, Wenger NK, Shear C Treating to New Targets (TNT) Study: does lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels below currently recommended guidelines yield incremental clinical benefit? Am J Cardiol 2004;93:154-8 [14715339]

Vascular basis, 2005:

Stone PH, Lloyd-Jones DM, Kinlay S, Frei B, Carlson W, Rubenstein J, Andrews TC, Johnstone M, Sopko G, Cole H, Orav J, Selwyn AP, Creager MA Effect of intensive lipid lowering, with or without antioxidant vitamins, compared with moderate lipid lowering on myocardial ischemia in patients with stable coronary artery disease: the Vascular Basis for the Treatment of Myocardial Ischemia Study. Circulation 2005;111:1747-55 [15809368]

Tuttle, 2008:

Tuttle KR, Shuler LA, Packard DP, Milton JE, Daratha KB, Bibus DM, Short RA Comparison of low-fat versus Mediterranean-style dietary intervention after first myocardial infarction (from The Heart Institute of Spokane Diet Intervention and Evaluation Trial). Am J Cardiol 2008;101:1523-30 [18489927]

4 cardiovascular prevention

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
evolocumab vs			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Mendel 1 , 2012 [NCT01375777] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
MENDEl 2 [NCT01763827] n=NA	-	-	
YUKAWA-1 , 2014 n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
rivaroxaban vs aspirin			
COMPASS (rivaroxaban alone) , 2017 [NCT01776424] n=27400 follow-up:	Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily alone versus aspirin 100 mg once daily	Patients With Coronary or Peripheral Artery Disease	
rivaroxaban + aspirin vs aspirin			
COMPASS (rivaroxaban + aspirin) , 2017 [NCT01776424] n=9152/9126 follow-up: 23 months	rivaroxaban (2.5 mg twice daily) plus aspirin (100 mg once daily) versus aspirin 100 mg once daily	Patients With Coronary or Peripheral Artery Disease	Parallel groups double-blind
ticagrelor vs clopidogrel			
EUCLID , 2016 [NCT01732822] n=NA follow-up: 30 months (median)	ticagrelor (90 mg twice daily) versus clopidogrel (75 mg once daily)	patients with symptomatic peripheral artery disease	
cholestyramine vs control			
STARS (cholestyramine) , 1992 n=30/30 follow-up: 3 years	cholestyramine versus diet	patients with angina or past myocardial infarction	
dipyridamol vs control			
Atlanta (Sbar) , 1967 n=30/30 follow-up: 6 months	dipyridamole 150mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double-blind
Wirecki , 1967 n=28/28 follow-up: 7 months	dipyridamole 150mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double blind
Becker , 1967 n=14/13 follow-up: 5 months	dipyridamole 225mg daily versus placebo	-	parallel groups double-blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
folic acid vs control			
FOLARDA (Liem) , 2004 n=140/143 follow-up: 1 year	folic acid 5 mg per day for 1 year versus usual care	patients with acute MI and total cholesterol >6.5 mmol/l	Parallel groups open The Netherlands
GOES (Liem) , 2003 n=300/293 follow-up: 24 months	folic acid 0.5 mg per day versus usual care	patients with stable coronary artery disease	Parallel groups open The Netherlands
folic acid, B12 vs control			
NORVIT (folic acid + B12) (Bonaa) , 2006 [NCT00266487] n=1872/1877 follow-up: 36 months	folic acid 0.8mg and B12 0.4 mg daily versus no folic acid and B12	men and women who had had an acute myocardial infarction within seven days before	Factorial plan double-blind Norway
folic acid, vit B12 and vit B6 vs control			
NORVIT (folic acid, B12 and vit B6) (Bonaa) , 2006 [NCT00266487] n=937/943 follow-up: 36 months	0.8 mg of folic acid, 0.4 mg of vitamin B12, and 40 mg of vitamin B6 versus placebo	men and women who had had an acute myocardial infarction within seven days	Factorial plan double-blind Norway
MaxEPA vs control			
Bellamy , 1992 n=60/60 follow-up: 7 months	MaxEPA capsules (3g/d EPA + DHA) versus no treatment	people referred for coronary angioplasty	Parallel groups NA UK
Dehmer , 1998 n=46/44 follow-up: 6 months	MaxEPA capsules, 18/d (5.4g EPA + DHA daily) versus no treatment	men undergoing coronary angioplasty imag	open US
Kaul , 1992 n=58/49 follow-up: 6 months	MaxEPA capsules, 10/d (3g/d EPA + DHA) versus no treatment	people undergoing angioplasty	Parallel groups open India
Mediterranean diet vs control			
Lyon n=302/303 follow-up:	-	-	
Mediterranean diet with EOVV vs control			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
PREDIMED (olive oil) , 2013 [ISRCTN35739639] n=2543/2450 follow-up: 4.8 years	Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil versus control diet (advice to reduce dietary fat)	participants who were at high cardiovascular risk, but with no cardiovascular disease	Parallel groups open Spain
Mediterranean diet with nuts vs control			
PREDIMED (nuts) , 2013 [ISRCTN35739639] n=2454/2450 follow-up: 4.8 years	Mediterranean diet supplemented with mixed nuts versus control diet (advice to reduce dietary fat)	participants who were at high cardiovascular risk, but with no cardiovascular disease	open Spain
Multiple risk factor interventions vs control			
CELL , 1995 n=339/320 follow-up: 18 months	intensive" health care advice through six group sessions versus usual care	subjects aged 30-59 years, with at least two cardiovascular risk factors in addition to moderately high lipid concentrations: total cholesterol >or = 6.5 mmol/l on three occasions, triglycerides <4.0 mmol/l, and ratio of low density lipoprotein cholesterol to high density lipoprotein cholesterol >4.0	Factorial plan open
Family Heart , 1994 n=3436/5912 follow-up: 1 y	Nurse led programme using a family centred approach with follow up according to degree of risk. Counselling on diet, weight, smoking, exercise, alcohol versus control	men aged 40-59 and their partners	Parallel groups double-blind UK
Gteborg Study , 1986 n=10004/20018 follow-up: 11.8 yr	multifactorial intervention programme on coronary heart disease versus no intervention	random sample of men age 47-55 y	open Sweden
HDFP , 1979 [NCT00000498] n=5485/5455 follow-up: 5 yr	Stepped care: Antihypertensive drugs, diet, smoking advice, weight control, exercise versus usual primary care	persons with high blood pressure	Parallel groups open USA
Helsinki Businessmen Study , 1985 n=612/610 follow-up: 5 yr	Multifactorial prevention of cardiovascular diseases versus no intervention	healthy men 40-58 y at high risk	Parallel groups open Finland
Johns Hopkins , 1983 n=350/50 follow-up: 5 yr	health education interventions versus control	hypertensives men and women	Factorial plan open USA

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Meland , 1997 n=69/58 follow-up: 1 y	patient-centred, self-directive intervention of lifestyle changes in general practice versus conventional care	men with high coronary heart disease risk	Parallel groups open
MRFIT , 1982 [NCT00000487?acronym=] n=6428/6438 follow-up: 6 yr	special intervention (SI) program consisting of stepped-care treatment for hypertension, counseling for cigarette smoking, and dietary advice for lowering blood cholesterol levels versus no intervention	high-risk men aged 35 to 57 years	Parallel groups open
Oslo , 1981 n=612/610 follow-up: 5 yr	recommendation to lower their blood lipids by change of diet and to stop smoking versus no intervention	healthy, normotensive men at high risk of coronary heart disease	Parallel groups open Oslo, Norway
OXCHECK , 1994 n=8307/2783 follow-up: 3 yr	health checks by nurses versus no intervention	patients from general practice aged 35-64 years	Parallel groups open UK
WHO Factories , 1982 n=30489/26971 follow-up: 6 years	multifactorial prevention of coronary heart disease versus no intervention	men employed in 80 factories in Belgium, Italy, Poland, and the UK	Parallel groups open Belgium, Italy, Poland, and the UK
niacin vs control			
VA drugs , 1968 n=77/143 follow-up: 3.2 years	-	-	Parallel groups double blind
niacin+colestipol vs control			
UCSF SCOR , 1990 n=72 follow-up: 26 months	Niacin 07.5 g colestipol 1520 g versus Conventional therapy	patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia	
Omacor vs control			
Eritsland , 1996 n=317/293 follow-up: 12 months	Omacor capsules, 4/d (3.3g EPA + DHA daily) versus no treatment	people admitted for coronary bypass grafting	Parallel groups open Norway
GISSI-P , 1999 n=5665/5668 follow-up: median 40 months	Omacor gelatine capsules, 1/d (0.9g/d EPA + DHA daily) versus no treatment	people with recent myocardial infarction	Parallel groups open Italy
omega-3 Fatty acids vs control			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
OMEGA , 2009 [NCT00251134] n=1940/1911 follow-up: 1 year	omega-3 fatty acids 1g daily (and standard medical therapy) versus standard medical therapy alone	Patients within 3-14 days after a non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) or ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI)	Parallel groups open Germany
policosanol vs control			
Batista , 1996 n=15/14 follow-up: 1.7 years	-	-	Parallel groups
Castano , 2001 n=27/29 follow-up: 2 years	policosanol 10 mg twice daily versus placebo	intermittent claudication	Parallel groups double-blind
Ms , 1999 n=219/218 follow-up: 24 weeks	policosanol 5mg titrted up for 10mg daily versus placebo	patients with type II hypercholesterolemia and additional coronary risk factors	Parallel groups double-blind
pravastatin vs control			
FAST Fukuoka pravastatin , 2002 n=83/81 follow-up: 2 years	pravastatin 10 mg/day versus control group (diet alone)	asymptomatic hypercholesterolemic patients	open Japan
MEGA , 2006 [NCT00211705] n=3866/3966 follow-up: 5.3 y	pravastatin 10 mg daily (20 mg per day if the total cholesterolconcentration did not decrease to 569 mmol/L or less) versus control	patients with hypercholesterolaemia (total cholesterol 569698 mmol/L) and no history of coronary heart disease or stroke	Parallel groups open, blind assessment Japan
Promega vs control			
Milner , 1989 n=100/100 follow-up: 6 months	Promega 9 capsules/d (4.5g EPA + DHA) versus no treatment	people about to undergo angioplasty	Parallel groups open with blind assessment US
simvastatin vs control			
Hong , 2005 n=106/96 follow-up: 1 year	simvastatin versus no treatment	patients with ischemic heart failure who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for acute myocardial infarction (left ventricular [LV] ejection fraction <40%)	Parallel groups open
vitamin E vs control			
GISSI , 1999 n=5660/5664 follow-up: 3.5y	vitamin E 300mg/d versus no vitamine E	patients with recent (3 months) myocardial infarction	Factorial plan open Italy

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
PPP , 2001 n=2231/2264 follow-up: 3.6y	vitamin E (300 mg/day) versus no vitamin E	men and women aged 50 years or greater, with at least one of the major recognised cardiovascular risk factors	Factorial plan open Italy
intensive lipid-lowering therapy vs diet			
FATS , 1990 [NCT00000512] n=94/52 follow-up: 2.5 years	intensive lipid-lowering therapy with various drugs versus placebo	men no more than 62 years of age who had apolipoprotein B levels greater than or equal to 125 mg per deciliter, documented coronary artery disease, and a family history of vascular disease	Parallel groups open Japan
alirocumab vs ezetimibe (on top statin)			
ODYSSEY OPTIONS I n=NA follow-up: 24 wk	Alirocumab 75 mg with potential up-titration to 150 mg Q2W versus Ezetimibe 10 mg	high-cardiovascular-risk patients with hypercholesterolemia not adequately controlled with atorvastatin (20 or 40 mg) or rosuvastatin (10 or 20 mg)	
ODYSSEY OPTIONS II n=NA follow-up: 24 wk	Alirocumab 75 mg with potential up-titration to 150 mg Q2W versus Ezetimibe 10 mg	high-cardiovascular-risk patients with hypercholesterolemia not adequately controlled with atorvastatin (20 or 40 mg) or rosuvastatin (10 or 20 mg)	
alirocumab vs ezetimibe alone			
ODYSSEY MONO [NCT01644474] n=NA follow-up: 24 wk	Alirocumab 75 mg Q2W versus Ezetimibe 10 mg	hypercholesterolemic patients at moderate cardiovascular risk not receiving statins or other lipid-lowering therapy	double-blind
evolocumab vs ezetimibe alone			
GAUSS 2 [NCT01763905] n=102/205 follow-up:	evolocumab 140 mg every two weeks (Q2W) or evolocumab 420 mg once monthly (QM) versus ezetimibe 10 mg	patients with statin intolerance	
anticoagulant vs no anticoagulant			
MacMillan , 1960 n=NA	-	-	
Borchegrevink , 1960 n=NA	-	-	
Clausen , 1961 n=NA	-	-	
Harvald , 1961 n=NA	-	-	
Conrad , 1964 n=NA	-	-	
Wasserman , 1966 n=NA	-	-	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Loeliger , 1967 n=NA	-	-	
Lovell , 1967 n=NA	-	-	
Seaman , 1969 n=NA	-	-	
Sorensen , 1969 n=NA	-	-	
Meuwisse, , 1969 n=NA	-	-	
Drapkin and Merskey , 1972 n=NA	-	-	
dicoumarol vs no anticoagulant			
Apenstrom and Korsan-Bengtsen , 1964 n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
any statin vs no statin			
Sakamoto , 2006 n=241/245 follow-up: up to 24 months	any available statin versus no statin	Japanese patients with AMI within 96 hours of AMI onset	Parallel groups open Japan

More details and results :

- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in patients with LDL elevation and without CHD at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q5
- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in diabetic patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q6
- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in elderly at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q7
- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in high risk patients with or without LDL cholesterol elevation at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q11
- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in patients with prior MI or with CHD at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q12
- omega-3 fatty acids for cardiovascular prevention in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q121
- omega-3 fatty acids for cardiovascular prevention in patients at high risk at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q123
- omega-3 fatty acids for cardiovascular prevention in pateints at low risk at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q124
- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in patients with other atherosclerotic localisation at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q126
- plasma homocysteine lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q127
- antioxydants for cardiovascular prevention in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q131
- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in patient with related disease at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q137
- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in all chronical situations at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q154

- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in post stroke (or TIA) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q155
- antiplatelets drug for cardiovascular prevention in diabetic patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q220
- antiplatelets drug for cardiovascular prevention in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q226
- antioxydants for cardiovascular prevention in diabetic patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q232
- cholesterol lowering intervention for cardiovascular prevention in primary prevention at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q241
- antiplatelets drug for cardiovascular prevention in secondary prevention in patients with intermittent claudication at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q275
- antiplatelets drug for cardiovascular prevention in secondary prevention in patients with CAD at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q276

References

Mendel 1, 2012:

Koren MJ, Scott R, Kim JB, Knusel B, Liu T, Lei L, Bolognese M, Wasserman SM Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of a monoclonal antibody to proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 as monotherapy in patients with hypercholesterolaemia (MENDEL): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 study. Lancet 2012 Dec 8;380:1995-2006 [23141812] 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61771-1

MENDEl 2, :

YUKAWA-1, 2014:

Hirayama A, Honarpour N, Yoshida M, Yamashita S, Huang F, Wasserman SM, Teramoto T Effects of evolocumab (AMG 145), a monoclonal antibody to PCSK9, in hypercholesterolemic, statin-treated Japanese patients at high cardiovascular risk–primary results from the phase 2 YUKAWA study. Circ J 2014;78:1073-82 [24662398]

COMPASS (rivaroxaban alone), 2017:

COMPASS (rivaroxaban + aspirin), 2017:

Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, Hart RG, Shestakovska O, Diaz R, Alings M, Lonn EM, Anand SS, Widimsky P, Hori M, Avezum A, Piegas LS, Branch KRH, Probstfield J, Bhatt DL, Zhu J, Liang Y, Maggioni AP, Lopez-Jaramillo P, O'Donnell M, Kakka Rivaroxaban with or without Aspirin in Stable Cardiovascular Disease. N Engl J Med 2017;377:1319-1330 [28844192]

EUCLID, 2016:

Jones WS, Baumgartner I, Hiatt WR, Heizer G, Conte MS, White CJ, Berger JS, Held P, Katona BG, Mahaffey KW, Norgren L, Blomster J, Millegrd M, Reist C, Patel MR, Fowkes GR Ticagrelor Compared With Clopidogrel in Patients with Prior Lower Extremity Revascularization for Peripheral Artery Disease. Circulation 2016 Nov 13;: [27840336] 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.025880

Hiatt WR, Fowkes FG, Heizer G, Berger JS, Baumgartner I, Held P, Katona BG, Mahaffey KW, Norgren L, Jones WS, Blomster J, Millegrd M, Reist C, Patel MR Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in Symptomatic Peripheral Artery Disease. N Engl J Med 2017;376:32-40 [27959717]

STARS (cholestyramine), 1992:

Watts GF, Lewis B, Brunt JN, Lewis ES, Coltart DJ, Smith LD, Mann JI, Swan AV Effects on coronary artery disease of lipid-lowering diet, or diet plus cholestyramine, in the St Thomas' Atherosclerosis Regression Study (STARS) Lancet 1992;339:563-9 [1347091]

Atlanta (Sbar), 1967:

Sbar S, Schlant RC Dipyridamole in the treatment of angina pectoris. A double-blind evaluation. JAMA 1967;201:865-7 [5340622]

Wirecki, 1967:

Wirecki M Treatment of angina pectoris with dipyridamole: a long-term double blind study. J Chronic Dis 1967;20:139-45 [5336520]

Becker, 1967:

Becker MC. Angina pectoris: A double blind study with dipyridamole Journal of the Newark Beth Israel Hospital 1967;18:88-94

FOLARDA (Liem), 2004:

Liem AH, van Boven AJ, Veeger NJ, Withagen AJ, Robles de Medina RM, Tijssen JG, van Veldhuisen DJ Efficacy of folic acid when added to statin therapy in patients with hypercholesterolemia following acute myocardial infarction: a randomised pilot trial. Int J Cardiol 2004;93:175-9 [14975544] 10.1016/j.ijcard.2003.02.001

GOES (Liem), 2003:

Liem A, Reynierse-Buitenwerf GH, Zwinderman AH, Jukema JW, van Veldhuisen DJ Secondary prevention with folic acid: effects on clinical outcomes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:2105-13 [12821232]

Liem A, Reynierse-Buitenwerf GH, Zwinderman AH, Jukema JW, van Veldhuisen DJ Secondary prevention with folic acid: results of the Goes extension study. Heart 2005;91:1213-4 [16103563] 10.1136/hrt.2004.035030

NORVIT (folic acid + B12) (Bonaa), 2006:

Bnaa KH, Njlstad I, Ueland PM, Schirmer H, Tverdal A, Steigen T, Wang H, Nordrehaug JE, Arnesen E, Rasmussen K Homocysteine lowering and cardiovascular events after acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1578-88 [16531614] 10.1056/NEJMoa055227

NORVIT (folic acid, B12 and vit B6) (Bonaa), 2006:

Bnaa KH, Njlstad I, Ueland PM, Schirmer H, Tverdal A, Steigen T, Wang H, Nordrehaug JE, Arnesen E, Rasmussen K Homocysteine lowering and cardiovascular events after acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1578-88 [16531614] 10.1056/NEJMoa055227

Bellamy, 1992:

Bellamy CM, Schofield PM, Faragher EB, Ramsdale DR Can supplementation of diet with omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids reduce coronary angioplasty restenosis rate? Eur Heart J 1992 Dec;13:1626-31 [1289091]

Dehmer, 1998:

Dehmer GJ, Popma JJ, van den Berg EK, Eichhorn EJ, Prewitt JB, Campbell WB, Jennings L, Willerson JT, Schmitz JM Reduction in the rate of early restenosis after coronary angioplasty by a diet supplemented with n-3 fatty acids. N Engl J Med 1988 Sep 22;319:733-40 [2842680]

Kaul, 1992:

Kaul U, Sanghvi S, Bahl VK, Dev V, Wasir HS Fish oil supplements for prevention of restenosis after coronary angioplasty. Int J Cardiol 1992 Apr;35:87-93 [1563884]

Lyon, :

de Lorgeril M, Renaud S, Mamelle N, Salen P, Martin JL, Monjaud I, Guidollet J, Touboul P, Delaye J Mediterranean alpha-linolenic acid-rich diet in secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. Lancet 1994;343:1454-9 [7911176]

De Lorgeril M, Salen P, Martin JL, Mamelle N, Monjaud I, Touboul P, Delaye J Effect of a mediterranean type of diet on the rate of cardiovascular complications in patients with coronary artery disease. Insights into the cardioprotective effect of certain nutriments. J Am Coll Cardiol 1996;28:1103-8 [8890801] 10.1016/S0735-1097(96)00280-X

de Lorgeril M, Renaud S, Mamelle N, Salen P, Martin JL, Monjaud I, Guidollet J, Touboul P, Delaye J Mediterranean alpha-linolenic acid-rich diet in secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. Lancet 1994;343:1454-9 [7911176]

De Lorgeril M, Salen P, Martin JL, Mamelle N, Monjaud I, Touboul P, Delaye J Effect of a mediterranean type of diet on the rate of cardiovascular complications in patients with coronary artery disease. Insights into the cardioprotective effect of certain nutriments. J Am Coll Cardiol 1996;28:1103-8 [8890801] 10.1016/S0735-1097(96)00280-X

PREDIMED (olive oil), 2013:

Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvad J, Covas MI, D Pharm, Corella D, Ars F, Gmez-Gracia E, Ruiz-Gutirrez V, Fiol M, Lapetra J, Lamuela-Raventos RM, Serra-Majem L, Pint X, Basora J, Muoz MA, Sorl JV, Martnez JA, Martnez-Gonzlez MA Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet. N Engl J Med 2013 Feb 25;: [23432189] 10.1056/NEJMoa1200303

PREDIMED (nuts), 2013:

Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvad J, Covas MI, D Pharm, Corella D, Ars F, Gmez-Gracia E, Ruiz-Gutirrez V, Fiol M, Lapetra J, Lamuela-Raventos RM, Serra-Majem L, Pint X, Basora J, Muoz MA, Sorl JV, Martnez JA, Martnez-Gonzlez MA Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet. N Engl J Med 2013 Feb 25;: [23432189] 10.1056/NEJMoa1200303

CELL, 1995:

Lindholm LH, Ekbom T, Dash C, Eriksson M, Tibblin G, Scherstn B The impact of health care advice given in primary care on cardiovascular risk. CELL Study Group. BMJ 1995;310:1105-9 [7742677]

Lindholm LH, Ekbom T, Dash C, Isacsson A, Scherstn B Changes in cardiovascular risk factors by combined pharmacological and nonpharmacological strategies: the main results of the CELL Study. J Intern Med 1996;240:13-22 [8708586]

Family Heart, 1994:

Randomised controlled trial evaluating cardiovascular screening and intervention in general practice: principal results of British family heart study. Family Heart Study Group. BMJ 1994;308:313-20 [8124121]

Gteborg Study, 1986:

Wilhelmsen L, Berglund G, Elmfeldt D, Tibblin G, Wedel H, Pennert K, Vedin A, Wilhelmsson C, Werk L The multifactor primary prevention trial in Gteborg, Sweden. Eur Heart J 1986;7:279-88 [3720755]

HDFP, 1979:

Five-year findings of the hypertension detection and follow-up program. I. Reduction in mortality of persons with high blood pressure, including mild hypertension. Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program Cooperative Group. JAMA 1979;242:2562-71 [490882]

Helsinki Businessmen Study, 1985:

Miettinen TA, Huttunen JK, Naukkarinen V, Strandberg T, Mattila S, Kumlin T, Sarna S Multifactorial primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases in middle-aged men. Risk factor changes, incidence, and mortality. JAMA 1985;254:2097-102 [4046137]

Strandberg TE, Salomaa VV, Naukkarinen VA, Vanhanen HT, Sarna SJ, Miettinen TA Long-term mortality after 5-year multifactorial primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases in middle-aged men. JAMA 1991;266:1225-9 [1870247]

Strandberg TE, Salomaa VV, Vanhanen HT, Naukkarinen VA, Sarna SJ, Miettinen TA Mortality in participants and non-participants of a multifactorial prevention study of cardiovascular diseases: a 28 year follow up of the Helsinki Businessmen Study. Br Heart J 1995;74:449-54 [7488463]

Johns Hopkins, 1983:

Morisky DE, Levine DM, Green LW, Shapiro S, Russell RP, Smith CR Five-year blood pressure control and mortality following health education for hypertensive patients. Am J Public Health 1983;73:153-62 [6849473]

Meland, 1997:

Meland E, Laerum E, Ulvik RJ Effectiveness of two preventive interventions for coronary heart disease in primary care. Scand J Prim Health Care 1997;15:57-64 [9101627]

Meland E, Maeland JG, Laerum E The importance of self-efficacy in cardiovascular risk factor change. Scand J Public Health 1999;27:11-7 [10847665]

MRFIT, 1982:

Mortality rates after 10.5 years for participants in the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Findings related to a priori hypotheses of the trial. The Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. JAMA 1990;263:1795-801 [2179590]

Multiple risk factor intervention trial. Risk factor changes and mortality results. Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial Research Group. JAMA 1982;248:1465-77 [7050440]

Oslo, 1981:

Anderssen S, Holme I, Urdal P, Hjermann I Diet and exercise intervention have favourable effects on blood pressure in mild hypertensives: the Oslo Diet and Exercise Study (ODES). Blood Press 1995;4:343-9 [8746601]

Hjermann I, Holme I, Leren P Oslo Study Diet and Antismoking Trial. Results after 102 months. Am J Med 1986;80:7-11 [3511692]

Hjermann I, Velve Byre K, Holme I, Leren P Effect of diet and smoking intervention on the incidence of coronary heart disease. Report from the Oslo Study Group of a randomised trial in healthy men. Lancet 1981;2:1303-10 [6118715]

Holme I, Hjermann I, Helgeland A, Leren P The Oslo Study: diet and antismoking advice. Additional results from a 5-year primary preventive trial in middle-aged men. Prev Med 1985;14:279-92 [3903733]

OXCHECK, 1994:

Effectiveness of health checks conducted by nurses in primary care: results of the OXCHECK study after one year. Imperial Cancer Research Fund OXCHECK Study Group. BMJ 1994;308:308-12 [8124120]

WHO Factories, 1982:

European collaborative trial of multifactorial prevention of coronary heart disease: final report on the 6-year results. World Health Organisation European Collaborative Group. Lancet 1986;1:869-72 [2870351]

Multifactorial trial in the prevention of coronary heart disease: 2. Risk factor changes at two and four years. Eur Heart J 1982;3:184-90 [7084265]

VA drugs, 1968:

Schoch HK. The US Veterans Administration Cardiology drug lipid study: an interim report Adv Exp Med Biol. 1968;4:405-420

UCSF SCOR, 1990:

Kane JP, Malloy MJ, Ports TA, Phillips NR, Diehl JC, Havel RJ Regression of coronary atherosclerosis during treatment of familial hypercholesterolemia with combined drug regimens. JAMA 1990;264:3007-12 [2243428]

Eritsland, 1996:

Eritsland J, Arnesen H, Gronseth K, Fjeld NB, Abdelnoor M Effect of dietary supplementation with n-3 fatty acids on coronary artery bypass graft patency. Am J Cardiol 1996 Jan 1;77:31-6 [8540453]

Eritsland J, Arnesen H, Seljeflot I, Hostmark AT Long-term metabolic effects of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in patients with coronary artery disease. Am J Clin Nutr 1995 Apr;61:831-6 [7702027]

GISSI-P, 1999:

Dietary supplementation with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E after myocardial infarction: results of the GISSI-Prevenzione trial. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto miocardico. Lancet 1999 Aug 7;354:447-55 [10465168]

Marchioli R, Barzi F, Bomba E, Chieffo C, Di Gregorio D, Di Mascio R, Franzosi MG, Geraci E, Levantesi G, Maggioni AP, Mantini L, Marfisi RM, Mastrogiuseppe G, Mininni N, Nicolosi GL, Santini M, Schweiger C, Tavazzi L, Tognoni G, Tucci C, Valagussa F Early protection against sudden death by n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids after myocardial infarction: time-course analysis of the results of the Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto Miocardico (GISSI)-Prevenzione. Circulation 2002 Apr 23;105:1897-903 [11997274]

OMEGA, 2009:

Senges Randomized Trial of Omega-3 Fatty Acids on Top of Modern Therapy After Acute Myocardial Infarction: The OMEGA Trial ACC.09/i2, Orlando, FL, March 2009 [0]

Rauch B, Schiele R, Schneider S, Diller F, Victor N, Gohlke H, Gottwik M, Steinbeck G, Del Castillo U, Sack R, Worth H, Katus H, Spitzer W, Sabin G, Senges J OMEGA, a randomized, placebo-controlled trial to test the effect of highly purified omega-3 fatty acids on top of modern guideline-adjusted therapy after myocardial infarction. Circulation 2010;122:2152-9 [21060071] 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.948562

Batista, 1996:

Batista JF, Stsser RJ, Padrn R, Sosa F, Pereztol O, Prez B.P Functional Improvement in Coronary Artery Disease After 20 months of Lipid-Lowering Therapy with Policosanoly

Advances in Therapy. 1996;13:137-148imag

Castano, 2001:

Castao G, Ms Ferreiro R, Fernndez L, Gmez R, Illnait J, Fernndez C A long-term study of policosanol in the treatment of intermittent claudication. Angiology 2001;52:115-25 [11228084]

Ms, 1999:

Ms R, Castao G, Illnait J, Fernndez L, Fernndez J, Alemn C, Pontigas V, Lescay M Effects of policosanol in patients with type II hypercholesterolemia and additional coronary risk factors. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1999;65:439-47 [10223782]

FAST Fukuoka pravastatin, 2002:

Sawayama Y, Shimizu C, Maeda N, Tatsukawa M, Kinukawa N, Koyanagi S, Kashiwagi S, Hayashi J Effects of probucol and pravastatin on common carotid atherosclerosis in patients with asymptomatic hypercholesterolemia. Fukuoka Atherosclerosis Trial (FAST). J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;39:610-6 [11849859]

MEGA, 2006:

Nakamura H, Arakawa K, Itakura H, Kitabatake A, Goto Y, Toyota T, Nakaya N, Nishimoto S, Muranaka M, Yamamoto A, Mizuno K, Ohashi Y Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with pravastatin in Japan (MEGA Study): a prospective randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2006 Sep 30;368:1155-63 [17011942]

Nakamura H [Primary prevention trial by lowering hyperlipidemia on the cardiovascular disease (MEGA Study)] Nippon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi 2009;46:18-21 [19246826]

Milner, 1989:

Milner MR, Gallino RA, Leffingwell A, Pichard AD, Brooks-Robinson S, Rosenberg J, Little T, Lindsay J Jr Usefulness of fish oil supplements in preventing clinical evidence of restenosis after percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty. Am J Cardiol 1989 Aug 1;64:294-9 [2526993]

Hong, 2005:

Hong YJ, Jeong MH, Hyun DW, Hur SH, Kim KB, Kim W, Lim SY, Lee SH, Hong SN, Kang DG, Yun KH, Kim KH, Lee YS, Park HW, Kim JH, Ahn YK, Cho JG, Park JC, Kang JC Prognostic significance of simvastatin therapy in patients with ischemic heart failure who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2005;95:619-22 [15721103]

GISSI, 1999:

Dietary supplementation with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E after myocardial infarction: results of the GISSI-Prevenzione trial. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto miocardico. Lancet 1999 Aug 7;354:447-55 [10465168]

PPP, 2001:

de Gaetano G Low-dose aspirin and vitamin E in people at cardiovascular risk: a randomised trial in general practice. Collaborative Group of the Primary Prevention Project. Lancet 2001 Jan 13;357:89-95 [11197445]

FATS, 1990:

Brown G, Albers JJ, Fisher LD, Schaefer SM, Lin JT, Kaplan C, Zhao XQ, Bisson BD, Fitzpatrick VF, Dodge HT Regression of coronary artery disease as a result of intensive lipid-lowering therapy in men with high levels of apolipoprotein B. N Engl J Med 1990 Nov 8;323:1289-98 [2215615]

ODYSSEY OPTIONS I, :

Robinson JG, Colhoun HM, Bays HE, Jones PH, Du Y, Hanotin C, Donahue S Efficacy and safety of alirocumab as add-on therapy in high-cardiovascular-risk patients with hypercholesterolemia not adequately controlled with atorvastatin (20 or 40 mg) or rosuvastatin (10 or 20 mg): design and rationale of the ODYSSEY OPTIONS Studies. Clin Cardiol 2014 Oct;37:597-604 [25269777]

Bays H, Gaudet D, Weiss R, Ruiz JL, Watts GF, Gouni-Berthold I, Robinson J, Zhao J, Hanotin C, Donahue S Alirocumab as Add-on To Atorvastatin Versus Other Lipid Treatment Strategies: ODYSSEY OPTIONS I Randomized Trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015 Jun 1;:jc20151520 [26030325]

ODYSSEY OPTIONS II, :

Robinson JG, Colhoun HM, Bays HE, Jones PH, Du Y, Hanotin C, Donahue S Efficacy and safety of alirocumab as add-on therapy in high-cardiovascular-risk patients with hypercholesterolemia not adequately controlled with atorvastatin (20 or 40 mg) or rosuvastatin (10 or 20 mg): design and rationale of the ODYSSEY OPTIONS Studies. Clin Cardiol 2014 Oct;37:597-604 [25269777]

ODYSSEY MONO, :

Roth EM, Taskinen MR, Ginsberg HN, Kastelein JJ, Colhoun HM, Robinson JG, Merlet L, Pordy R, Baccara-Dinet MT Monotherapy with the PCSK9 inhibitor alirocumab versus ezetimibe in patients with hypercholesterolemia: results of a 24 week, double-blind, randomized Phase 3 trial. Int J Cardiol 2014;176:55-61 [25037695]

GAUSS 2, :

Stroes E, Colquhoun D, Sullivan D, Civeira F, Rosenson RS, Watts GF, Bruckert E, Cho L, Dent R, Knusel B, Xue A, Scott R, Wasserman SM, Rocco M Anti-PCSK9 antibody effectively lowers cholesterol in patients with statin intolerance: the GAUSS-2 randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical trial of evolocumab. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014 Jun 17;63:2541-8 [24694531]

MacMillan, 1960:

MACMILLAN RL, BROWN K, WATT DL Long-term anticoagulant therapy after myocardial infarction. Can Med Assoc J 1960;83:567-70 [14419608]

MACMILLAN RL, BROWN K, WATT DL Long-term anticoagulant therapy after myocardial infarction. Can Med Assoc J 1960;83:567-70 [14419608]

Borchegrevink, 1960:

Clausen, 1961:

CLAUSEN J, ANDERSEN PE, ANDRESEN P, GRUELUND S, HARSLOF E, ANDERSEN UH, JORGENSEN J, MOSE C [Long-term anticoagulant treatment after acute coronary occulusion. Material with complete comparison with control material]. Ugeskr Laeger 1961;123:987-94 [13693953]

Harvald, 1961:

Conrad, 1964:

CONRAD LL, KYRIACOPOULOS JD, WIGGINS CW, HONICK GL PREVENTION OF RECURRENCES OF MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION; A DOUBLE-BLIND STUDY OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LONG-TERM ORAL ANTICOAGULANT THERAPY. Arch Intern Med 1964;114:348-58 [14171625]

Wasserman, 1966:

Wasserman AJ, Gutterman LA, Yoe KB, Kemp VE Jr, Richardson DW Anticoagulants in acute myocardial infarction. The failure of anticoagulants to alter mortality in a randomized series. Am Heart J 1966;71:43-9 [5900864]

Loeliger, 1967:

Loeliger EA, Hensen A, Kroes F, van Dijk LM, Fekkes N, de Jonge H, Hemker HC A double-blind trial of long-term anticoagulant treatment after myocardial infarction. Acta Med Scand 1967;182:549-66 [4862156]

Lovell, 1967:

Seaman, 1969:

Seaman AJ, Griswold HE, Reaume RB, Ritzmann L Long-term anticoagulant prophylaxis after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 1969;281:115-9 [4307139] 10.1056/NEJM196907172810301

Sorensen, 1969:

Sorensen OH, Friis T, Jorgensen AW, Jorgensen MB, Nissen NI Anticoagulant treatment of acute coronary thrombosis. Acta Med Scand 1969;185:65-72 [4185116]

Meuwisse,, 1969:

Meuwissen OJ, Vervoorn AC, Cohen O, Jordan FL, Nelemans FA Double blind trial of long-term anticoagulant treatment after myocardial infarction. Acta Med Scand 1969;186:361-8 [4985108]

Drapkin and Merskey, 1972:

Drapkin A, Merskey C Anticoagulant therapy after acute myocardial infarction. Relation of therapeutic benefit to patient's age, sex, and severity of infarction. JAMA 1972;222:541-8 [4117261]

Apenstrom and Korsan-Bengtsen, 1964:

ASPENSTROEM G, KORSAN-BENGTSEN K A DOUBLE BLIND STUDY OF DICUMAROL PROPHYLAXIS IN CORONARY HEART DISEASE. Acta Med Scand 1964;176:563-75 [14223532]

Sakamoto, 2006:

Sakamoto T, Kojima S, Ogawa H, Shimomura H, Kimura K, Ogata Y, Sakaino N, Kitagawa A Effects of early statin treatment on symptomatic heart failure and ischemic events after acute myocardial infarction in Japanese. Am J Cardiol 2006;97:1165-71 [16616020] 10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.11.031

5 stable angina

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
dactinomycin eluting stent vs bare-metal stent			
ACTION , 2004 n=241/119 follow-up: 6 months	Multilink Tetra stent versus uncoated Multilink Tetra stent	Patients with stable angina pectoris orsilent ischemia and a single de novo lesion in a nativecoronary artery >=3.0 mm and <=4.0 mm in diameter thatcould be covered by an 18-mm stent	Parallel groups single-blind worldwide
paclitaxel eluting stent vs bare-metal stent			
SCORE , 2004 n=126/140 follow-up: 12 months	QuaDDS stents (paclitaxel) versus uncoated control stents	patients with focal, de novo coronary lesions	Parallel groups open Worldwide
TAXUS I , 2003 n=31/30 follow-up: 12 months	TAXUS NIR versus NIR stent	Stable or unstable AP, silent ischaemia; single de novo or restenotic coronary lesions	Parallel groups double-blind Germany
TAXUS II , 2003 [NCT00299026] n=266/270 follow-up: 12 months	TAXUS versus NIR stent	Stable or unstable AP, silent ischaemia; single de novo target lesion with estimatedstenosis >50% and <99% ,	Parallel groups double-blind Global
TAXUS IV , 2004 [NCT00292474] n=662/652 follow-up: 9 months	TAXUS versus EXPRESS	Stable or unstable AP, provokable ischaemia with a single, previously untreated coronary-artery stenosis (vessel diameter, 2.5 to 3.75 mm; lesion length, 10 to 28 mm)	Parallel groups double-blind United States

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
TAXUS V (all patients) , 2005 [NCT00301522] n=577/579 follow-up: 9 months	TAXUS versus bare metal EXPRESS-2	Stable or unstable AP, silent ischaemia with single coronary artery stenosis including complex or previously unstudied lesions (requiring 2.25-mm, 4.0-mm, and/or multiple stents)	Parallel groups double-blind United States
TAXUS VI , 2005 [NCT00297804] n=219/227 follow-up: 9 months (2y)	TAXUS versus Express2 stent	Stable or unstable AP, silent ischaemia with long, complex coronary artery lesions	Parallel groups double-blind Europe
BASKET-SAVAGE *ongoing* [NCT00595647] n=NA follow-up:	Taxus versus Libert	percutaneous coronary interventions of saphenous vein grafts	open
paclitaxel, non-polymeric eluting stent vs bare-metal stent			
ASPECT , 2003 [NCT00196079] n=117/58 follow-up: 6 months	coated Supra-G stent versus Supra-G stent	patientswith discrete coronary lesions (<15 mm in length, 2.25 to 3.5 mm in diameter)	Parallel groups double-blind
DELIVER , 2004 n=524/519 follow-up: 9 months	non-polymer-based paclitaxel-coated ACHIEVE stent versus stainless steel Multi-Link (ML) PENTA stent	patients with focal de novo coronary lesions, <25 mm in length, in 2.5- to 4.0-mm vessels	Parallel groups single-blind US
ELUTES , 2004 n=152/38 follow-up: 12 months	coated V-Flex Plus versus V-Flex Plus	single de novo type A or type B1 lesions 15 mm length in a nativecoronary artery	Parallel groups open Europe
PATENCY , 2002 *unpublished* n=24/26 follow-up: 9 months	Logic PTX paclitaxel Eluting CoronaryStents versus uncoated control stents	Patients with de novo lesions of 2.7- to 4.0-mm diameter and 25-mm length received 3.0, 3.5, or 4.0 mm 10- or 15-mm	Parallel groups double blind
zotarolimus eluting stent vs bare-metal stent			
ENDEAVOR II , 2006 n=598/599 follow-up: 12 months	AVE Zotarolimus-Eluting Driver versus Driver	single de novo native coronary artery stenosis	Parallel groups double-blind worldwide
TMR+CABG vs CABG			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Allen , 2000 n=132/131 follow-up:	coronary bypass of suitable vessels plus transmyocardial revascularization to areas not graftable versus coronary bypass alone with nongraftable areas left unrevascularized	patients whose standard of care was coronary artery bypass grafting and who had one or more ischemic areas not amenable to bypass grafting	single blind
Loubani , 2003 n=10/10 follow-up: 36 months	coronary artery bypass grafting plus transmyocardial laser revascularization with a holmium:YAG (yttrium-aluminum-garnet) laser to nongraftable areas versus coronary artery bypass grafting	Patients who had elective coronary artery bypass with one or more nongraftable coronary arteries	Parallel groups open UK
Zhao , 2006 n=40/40 follow-up: 3.4y	transmyocardial laser revascularization (holmium: YAG) combined with off-pump coronary artery bypass versus off-pump coronary artery bypass	patients with diffusely diseased target vessels	Parallel groups open China
dipyridamol vs control			
Atlanta (Sbar) , 1967 n=30/30 follow-up: 6 months	dipyridamole 150mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double-blind
Wirecki , 1967 n=28/28 follow-up: 7 months	dipyridamole 150mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double blind
Becker , 1967 n=14/13 follow-up: 5 months	dipyridamole 225mg daily versus placebo	-	parallel groups double-blind
bioabsorbable polymer EES vs everolimus eluting stent			
EVOLVE , 2012 [NCT01135225] n=NA follow-up: 30 days	bioabsorbable polymer everolimus-eluting stent versus polymer EES	patients with a de novo lesion 28 mm in length, in a coronary artery of 2.25 to 3.5 mm diameter	Parallel groups single blind
balloon angioplasty vs medical treatment			
RITA 2 , 1997 n=504/514 follow-up: 7y	PTCA within 3 mo of the randomisation versus medical treatment	Angina leading to admission within 90days, previous Q wave MI, no previousPTCA, no left main stem disease	Parallel groups open UK
ACME , 1992 n=105/107 follow-up: 5y	PTCA within 3 days of randomization versus medical treatment (nitrates, beta-blockers, calcium blockers)	Stable angina, history of angina, MIwithin 3 months, exercise test with STdepression >3 mm, no previous PTCA; Single or serial stenosis within sameartery 70% to 99% proximal twothirds	Parallel groups open US

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
ACME 2 (Folland) , 1997 n=51/50 follow-up: 5y	PTCA versus medical therapy	Stable angina, history of angina, MIwithin 3 months, exercise test with STdepression >3 mm, no previous PTCA; Stenosis >70% proximal two thirds,no main artery stenosis >50% , no 3vessel disease	Parallel groups open
ACIP , 1997 n=192/366 follow-up: 24 months	revascularization by angioplasty or bypass surgery versus angina-guided drug therapy or angina plus ischemia-guided drug therapy	clinically stable patients with angiographically documented coronary disease (50% stenosis in 1 major vessel or branch) suitable for revascularization	Parallel groups open
INSPIRE , 2006 n=104/101 follow-up: 60 months	coronary revascularization for suppressing scintigraphic ischemia versus intensive medical therapy strategy	Stable survivors of MI, total perfusion defect size 20% , ischemic defect size 10% (by adenosine SPECT), EF 35% t	Parallel groups open
SWISSI II , 2007 [NCT00387231] n=96/105 follow-up: 10.2y	Percutaneous coronary intervention aimed at full revascularization versus intensive anti-ischemic drug therapy	patients with a recent MI, silent myocardial ischemia verified by stress imaging, and 1- or 2-vessel coronary artery disease	Parallel groups open Switzerland
MASS , 1995 n=72/72 follow-up: 5y	PTCA versus medical treatment (aspirin, nitrates, beta-blockers and calcium channel blocking	Stable angina, no Q wave MI, no leftventricular dysfunction	Parallel groups open Brazil
Sievers , 1993 n=44/44 follow-up: 2y	PTCA versus medical treatment	Previous nonQ wave MI, no angina indaily life, no previous Q wave MI	Parallel groups open Germany
spinal cord stimulation vs no spinal cord stimulation			
de Jongste , 1994 n=8/9 follow-up: 8 weeks	spinal cord stimulation versus control	patients with intractable angina pectoris	Parallel groups open
Lanza , 2005 n=10/10 follow-up: 8 mo (median)	spinal cord stimulation versus no spinal cord stimulation	patients with cardiac syndrome X	Cross over open
aspirin vs placebo			
SAPAT , 1992 n=1009/1026 follow-up: 50 months	aspirin 75 mg daily versus placebo	patients with stable chronic angina pectoris	Parallel groups double blind Sweden
Azithromycin vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Gupta et al , 1997 n=43/41 follow-up: 65279;18mo	65279;Azithromycin 500 mg/d for 3 d (28 received 1 course, 12 received 2 courses 3 mo apart) versus placebo	Male patients at least 6 mo from documented MI and with titers to Chlamydia pneumoniae >=1:64	Parallel groups double blind
ACADEMIC , 1999 n=150/152 follow-up: 2y	Azithromycin 500 mg/d for 3 d then 500 mg/wk for 3 mo versus placebo	Patients with CAD and C pneumoniae titers of >=1:16. Patients were at least 5 d from an MI	Parallel groups double blind
STAMINA (Azithromycin) , 2002 n=111/107 follow-up: 1y	Azithromycin 500 mg/d for 3 d plus omeprazole 20 mg 2/d for 1 wk plus metronidazole 400 mg 2/d for 1 wk versus placebo	Patients with ACS	Parallel groups double blind England
AZACS , 2003 n=2004/2008 follow-up: 6mo	Azithromycin 500 mg on day 1 followed by 250 mg/d for 4d versus placebo	Patients with ACS	Parallel groups double blind
WIZARD , 2003 n=3879/3868 follow-up: 14mo	Azithromycin 600 mg/d for 3 d then 1/wk for 11 wk versus placebo	Patients with a history of MI of more than 6 weeks before and with C pneumoniae titers of >=1:16	Parallel groups double blind North America, Europe, Argentina, India
ACES , 2005 [NCT00000617] n=2004/2008 follow-up: 4y	Azithromycin 600 mg/wk for 1 y versus placebo	Patients with stable CAD	Parallel groups double blind US
clarithromycin vs placebo			
CLARIFY , 2001 n=74/74 follow-up: 1y	Clarithromycin 500 mg/d for 85 d versus placebo	Patients with ACS	Parallel groups double blind
CLARICOR , 2006 [NCT00121550] n=2172/2201 follow-up: 3 years	clarithromycin 500 mg/day versus placebo	patients with adischarge diagnosis of myocardial infarction or angina pectoris	Parallel groups double blind Denmark
dipyridamol vs placebo			
Kinsella , 1962 n=13/13 follow-up: 0.5 months	dipyridamole 37.5 mg and 100mg daily versus placebo	-	parallel groups double-blind
Leiberman , 1964 n=19/19 follow-up: >3 months	dipyridamole 100mg daily versus placebo	-	parallel groups double blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Zion , 1961 n=14/14 follow-up: 0.5 months	Dipyridamole 37.5mg versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	cross-over double-blind
Dewar , 1961 n=17/17 follow-up: 0.5 months	Dipyridamole 100mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double-blind
Neumann , 1964 n=20/16 follow-up: 1.5 months	dipyridamole 150mg daily versus placebo	elderly with precordial pain	parallel groups double-blind
Foulds , 1960 n=24/24 follow-up: 1 months	Dipyridamole 200mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double-blind
Igloe , 1970 n=26/22 follow-up: 2-7 months	Dipyridamole 200mg daily versus placebo	patients with angina pectoris	parallel groups double blind
Gatifloxacin vs placebo			
PROVE-IT , 2005 n=2076/2086 follow-up: 24mo	Gatifloxacin 400 mg/d for 10 d/mo for 2y versus placebo	Patients hospitalized with ACS in the preceding 10 d	Parallel groups double blind
ivabradine 10mg vs placebo			
Borer (CL2-009) 10mg , 2003 n=91/91 follow-up: 2 weeks	Ivabradine 5 mg twice daily (10mg/d) versus placebo		double blind
ivabradine 15mg vs placebo			
BEAUTIFUL , 2008 [NCT00143507] n=5479/5438 follow-up: 19 months (range 16-24)	ivabradine target dose of 75 mg twice a day versus placebo	patients with coronary artery disease and left-ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVEF <=40%)	double blind 33 countries
ivabradine 20mg vs placebo			
SIGNIFY , 2014 [ISRCTN61576291] n=9550/9552 follow-up: 27.8 mo (median)	ivabradine, at a dose of up to 10 mg twice daily, with the dose adjusted to achieve a target heart rate of 55 to 60 beats per minute. versus placebo	patients who had both stable coronary artery disease without clinical heart failure and a heart rate of 70 beats per minute or more	Parallel groups double-blind
Borer (CL2-009) 20mg , 2003 n=88/91 follow-up: 2 weeks	ivabradine 10mg twice daily (20mg/d) versus placebo		double blind
ivabradine 5mg vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Borer (CL2-009) 5mg , 2003 n=90/91 follow-up: 2 weeks	-	-	Parallel groups double blind
ranolazine 1000mg vs placebo			
MARIZA , 2004 n=191/191 follow-up: 1 week	ranolazine 500 mg twice daily (sustained-release ranolazine 500, 1,000, or 1,500 mg) versus placebo	Patients with angina-limited exercise	Cross over double blind US, Czech Republic, Poland, Canada
RAN080 , 2005 n=158/158 follow-up: 1 week	ranolazine IR 400mg TID versus placebo	patients who had symptom-limited exercise	Cross over double blind Europe, canada
roxifiban vs placebo			
Murphy , 2003 n=120 follow-up: 30 days	roxifiban 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.25, 1.5, 2, or 2.5 mg/day for up to 30 days versus placebo	patients with stable coronary artery disease	Parallel groups double blind
spinal cord stimulation vs placebo			
Eddicks , 2007 n=12/12 follow-up: 4 weeks	Spinal cord stimulation versus placebo	patients with refractory angina	Cross over double blind
ivabradine 15mg vs placebo (on top standard treatment)			
BEAUTIFUL (angina subgroup) n=734/773 follow-up: 19 months (range 16-24)	ivabradine target dose of 75 mg twice a day versus placebo	patients with stable coronary artery disease and left ventricular systolic dysfunction with limiting angina	double blind 33 countries
ranolazine 1000mg vs placebo (on top standard treatment)			
CARISA 1000mg , 2004 n=261/258 follow-up: 12 weeks	ranozaline 1000mg (in combination with beta-blockers or calcium antagonists) versus placebo	patients with severe chronic angina who have symptoms of chronic angina and who experience angina and ischemia at low workloads despite taking standard doses of atenolol, amlodipine, or diltiazem	Parallel groups double blind
ranolazine 1000mg + amlodipine vs placebo + amlodipine			
ERICA , 2006 [NCT00091429] n=281/284 follow-up: 6 weeks	ranolazine 1000 mg twice a day for 6 weeks + amlodipine (10 mg/d) versus placebo + amlodipine (10 mg/d)	patients with stable chronic angina already treated with the maximal dose of amlodipine (10mg/d)	Parallel groups double blind Europe, USA, Canada
ivabradine 10mg vs placebo on top of amlodipine			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
CL3-018 10mg , 3000 *unpublished* n=232/252 follow-up: 12 weeks	ivabradine 5mg twice daily (10mg/d) versus placebo	-	Parallel groups
ivabradine 15mg vs placebo on top of amlodipine			
CL3-018 15mg , 3000 *unpublished* n=244/252	ivabradine 7.5mg twice daily (15mg/d) versus placebo	-	
ivabradine 15mg vs placebo on top of atenolol			
ASSOCIATE (Tardif) , 2009 [NCT00202566] n=449/440 follow-up: 4 months	ivabradine 5 mg b.i.d. for 2 months, increased to 7.5 mg b.i.d. for a further 2 months (on top atenolol 50 mg/day) versus placebo on top atenolol 50 mg/day	patients with stable angina receiving atenolol 50 mg/day or another beta-blocker at equivalent doses for at least 3 months	Parallel groups double blind 20 countries
A vs B			
Nordic Bifurcation Study *ongoing* [NCT00376571] n=NA follow-up:	Strategy of Routine Stenting Both Main Vessel and Side Branch versus Strategy of Routine Main Vessel Stenting and Optional Treatment of Side Branch	bifurcation lesions	
dexamethasone eluting stent vs bare-metal stent			
FEMH-93005 *ongoing* [NCT00190099] n=NA	-	-	
ivabradine vs amlodipine			
CL3-023 (15mg) *unpublished* n=381/398 follow-up: 3 months	ivabradine 7.5mg twice daily versus amlodipine		Parallel groups double-blind
CL3-023 (20mg) *unpublished* n=376/398 follow-up: 3 months	ivabradine 10mg twice daily versus amlodipine		double-blind

More details and results :

- myocardial revascularization for stable angina in all type of patient at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q25
- myocardial revascularization for stable angina in single vessel disease at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q27
- myocardial revascularization for stable angina in multivessels disease at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q28
- myocardial revascularization for stable angina in diabetic patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q29
- antithrombotics for stable angina in all type of patient at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q33
- antibiotics for stable angina in all type of patient at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q34

- HR-slowing agents for stable angina in patients with left ventricular dysfunction at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q118
- HR-slowing agents for stable angina in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q262
- anti-anginal drugs for stable angina in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q263
- spinal cord stimulation for stable angina in patients with severe/refractory angina pectoris at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q358
- myocardial revascularization for stable angina in patients with Left Ventricular Dysfunction at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q501

References

ACTION, 2004:

Serruys PW, Veldhof S, Stuteville M, et al Actinomycin-elutingstent improves outcome by reducing neointimal hyperplasia Transcatheter Cardiovascular Therapeutics Annual Meeting,September, 2002

Serruys PW, Ormiston JA, Sianos G, Sousa JE, Grube E, den Heijer P, de Feyter P, Buszman P, Schmig A, Marco J, Polonski L, Thuesen L, Zeiher AM, Bett JH, Suttorp MJ, Glogar HD, Pitney M, Wilkins GT, Whitbourn R, Veldhof S, Miquel K, Johnson R, Coleman L, Actinomycin-eluting stent for coronary revascularization: a randomized feasibility and safety study: the ACTION trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004 Oct 6;44:1363-7 [15464314]

SCORE, 2004:

Stone GW. Adverse outcomes from a taxane-loaded polymericsleeved stent: final results from the SCORE Tria AmericanCollege of Cardiology Scientific Session, March, 2002

Grube E, Lansky A, Hauptmann KE, Di Mario C, Di Sciascio G, Colombo A, Silber S, Stumpf J, Reifart N, Fajadet J, Marzocchi A, Schofer J, Dumas P, Hoffmann R, Guagliumi G, Pitney M, Russell ME High-dose 7-hexanoyltaxol-eluting stent with polymer sleeves for coronary revascularization: one-year results from the SCORE randomized trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004 Oct 6;44:1368-72 [15464315]

TAXUS I, 2003:

Grube E, Silber S, Hauptmann KE, Mueller R, Buellesfeld L, Gerckens U, Russell ME TAXUS I: six- and twelve-month results from a randomized, double-blind trial on a slow-release paclitaxel-eluting stent for de novo coronary lesions. Circulation 2003;107:38-42 [12515740]

Grube E, Silber S, Hauptmann KE, Mueller R, Buellesfeld L, Gerckens U, Russell ME TAXUS I: six- and twelve-month results from a randomized, double-blind trial on a slow-release paclitaxel-eluting stent for de novo coronary lesions. Circulation 2003 Jan 7;107:38-42 [12515740]

TAXUS II, 2003:

Colombo A, Drzewiecki J, Banning A, Grube E, Hauptmann K, Silber S, Dudek D, Fort S, Schiele F, Zmudka K, Guagliumi G, Russell ME Randomized study to assess the effectiveness of slow- and moderate-release polymer-based paclitaxel-eluting stents for coronary artery lesions. Circulation 2003;108:788-94 [12900339]

Silber S, Colombo A, Banning AP, Hauptmann K, Drzewiecki J, Grube E, Dudek D, Baim DS Final 5-year results of the TAXUS II trial: a randomized study to assess the effectiveness of slow- and moderate-release polymer-based paclitaxel-eluting stents for de novo coronary artery lesions. Circulation 2009 Oct 13;120:1498-504 [19786634]

TAXUS IV, 2004:

Stone GW, Ellis SG, Cox DA, Hermiller J, O'Shaughnessy C, Mann JT, Turco M, Caputo R, Bergin P, Greenberg J, Popma JJ, Russell ME A polymer-based, paclitaxel-eluting stent in patients with coronary artery disease. N Engl J Med 2004;350:221-31 [14724301]

Ellis SG, Stone GW, Cox DA, Hermiller J, O'Shaughnessy C, Mann T, Turco M, Caputo R, Bergin PJ, Bowman TS, Baim DS Long-Term Safety and Efficacy With Paclitaxel-Eluting Stents 5-Year Final Results of the TAXUS IV Clinical Trial (TAXUS IV-SR: Treatment of De Novo Coronary Disease Using a Single Paclitaxel-Eluting Stent). JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2009 Dec;2:1248-59 [20129552] 10.1016/j.jcin.2009.10.003

Ellis SG, Stone GW, Cox DA, Hermiller J, O'Shaughnessy C, Mann T, Turco M, Caputo R, Bergin PJ, Bowman TS, Baim DS Long-term safety and efficacy with paclitaxel-eluting stents: 5-year final results of the TAXUS IV clinical trial (TAXUS IV-SR: Treatment of De Novo Coronary Disease Using a Single Paclitaxel-Eluting Stent). JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2009;2:1248-59 [20129552] 10.1016/j.jcin.2009.10.003

TAXUS V (all patients), 2005:

Stone GW, Ellis SG, Cannon L, Mann JT, Greenberg JD, Spriggs D, O'Shaughnessy CD, DeMaio S, Hall P, Popma JJ, Koglin J, Russell ME Comparison of a polymer-based paclitaxel-eluting stent with a bare metal stent in patients with complex coronary artery disease: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2005;294:1215-23 [16160130]

TAXUS VI, 2005:

Dawkins KD, Grube E, Guagliumi G, Banning AP, Zmudka K, Colombo A, Thuesen L, Hauptman K, Marco J, Wijns W, Popma JJ, Koglin J, Russell ME Clinical efficacy of polymer-based paclitaxel-eluting stents in the treatment of complex, long coronary artery lesions from a multicenter, randomized trial: support for the use of drug-eluting stents in contemporary clinical practice. Circulation 2005;112:3306-13 [16286586]

Grube E, Dawkins KD, Guagliumi G, Banning AP, Zmudka K, Colombo A, Thuesen L, Hauptman K, Marco J, Wijns W, Popma JJ, Buellesfeld L, Koglin J, Russell ME TAXUS VI 2-year follow-up: randomized comparison of polymer-based paclitaxel-eluting with bare metal stents for treatment of long, complex lesions. Eur Heart J 2007;28:2578-82 [17938126]

Grube E, Dawkins K, Guagliumi G, Banning A, Zmudka K, Colombo A, Thuesen L, Hauptman K, Marco J, Wijns W, Joshi A, Mascioli S TAXUS VI final 5-year results: a multicentre, randomised trial comparing polymer-based moderate-release paclitaxel-eluting stent with a bare metal stent for treatment of long, complex coronary artery lesions. EuroIntervention 2009;4:572-7 [19378676]

BASKET-SAVAGE, 0:

ongoing trial NCT00595647

ASPECT, 2003:

Park SJ, Shim WH, Ho DS, Raizner AE, Park SW, Hong MK, Lee CW, Choi D, Jang Y, Lam R, Weissman NJ, Mintz GS A paclitaxel-eluting stent for the prevention of coronary restenosis. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1537-45 [12700373]

DELIVER, 2004:

ONeill WW, Knopf W, Lansky A, Fitzgerald P, Mahaffey K. Randomized comparison of paclitaxel-coated versus metallic stentsfor treatment of coronary lesions American College of CardiologyScientific Session, March, 2003

Knopf W, ONeill WW, Lansky A, Fitzgerald P, Mahaffey KE Randomized comparison of paclitaxel-coated versus metallicstents for treatment of coronary lesions TranscatheterCardiovascular Therapeutics Annual Meeting, September, 2003

Lansky AJ, Costa RA, Mintz GS, Tsuchiya Y, Midei M, Cox DA, O'Shaughnessy C, Applegate RA, Cannon LA, Mooney M, Farah A, Tannenbaum MA, Yakubov S, Kereiakes DJ, Wong SC, Kaplan B, Cristea E, Stone GW, Leon MB, Knopf WD, O'Neill WW Non-polymer-based paclitaxel-coated coronary stents for the treatment of patients with de novo coronary lesions: angiographic follow-up of the DELIVER clinical trial. Circulation 2004 Apr 27;109:1948-54 [15078794]

ELUTES, 2004:

Gershlick A, De Scheerder I, Chevalier B, Stephens-Lloyd A, Camenzind E, Vrints C, Reifart N, Missault L, Goy JJ, Brinker JA, Raizner AE, Urban P, Heldman AW Inhibition of restenosis with a paclitaxel-eluting, polymer-free coronary stent: the European evaLUation of pacliTaxel Eluting Stent (ELUTES) trial. Circulation 2004;109:487-93 [14744971]

PATENCY, 2002:

unpublished

Heldman A, Farhat N, Fry E, et al.b Paclitaxel-eluting stent for cytostatic prevention of restenosis: the PATENCY Study Transcatheter Cardiovascular Therapeutics Annual Meeting,September, 2002

ENDEAVOR II, 2006:

Gruberg L. ENDEAVOR II. A randomized comparison of the Endeavor ABT-578 drug-eluting stent with a bare metal stent for coronary revascularization,powerpo http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/501475

Fajadet J, Wijns W, Laarman GJ, Kuck KH, Ormiston J, Mnzel T, Popma JJ, Fitzgerald PJ, Bonan R, Kuntz RE Randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of the Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting phosphorylcholine-encapsulated stent for treatment of native coronary artery lesions: clinical and angiographic results of the ENDEAVOR II trial. Circulation 2006 Aug 22;114:798-806 [16908773]

Fajadet J, Wijns W, Laarman GJ, Kuck KH, Ormiston J, Mnzel T, Popma JJ, Fitzgerald PJ, Bonan R, Kuntz RE Randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of the Endeavor zotarolimus-eluting phosphorylcholine-encapsulated stent for treatment of native coronary artery lesions. Clinical and angiographic results of the ENDEAVOR II Trial. Minerva Cardioangiol 2007 Feb;55:1-18 [17287679]

Sakurai R, Hongo Y, Yamasaki M, Honda Y, Bonneau HN, Yock PG, Cutlip D, Popma JJ, Zimetbaum P, Fajadet J, Kuntz RE, Wijns W, Fitzgerald PJ Detailed intravascular ultrasound analysis of Zotarolimus-eluting phosphorylcholine-coated cobalt-chromium alloy stent in de novo coronary lesions (results from the ENDEAVOR II trial). Am J Cardiol 2007 Sep 1;100:818-23 [17719326]

Eisenstein EL, Wijns W, Fajadet J, Mauri L, Edwards R, Cowper PA, Kong DF, Anstrom KJ Long-Term Clinical and Economic Analysis of the Endeavor Drug-Eluting Stent Versus the Driver Bare-Metal Stent 4-Year Results From the ENDEAVOR II Trial (Randomized Controlled Trial to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of

the Medtronic AVE ABT-578 Eluting Driver Coronary Stent in De Novo Native Coronary Artery Lesions). JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2009 Dec;2:1178-87 [20129543] 10.1016/j.jcin.2009.10.011

Allen, 2000:

Allen KB, Dowling RD, DelRossi AJ, Realyvasques F, Lefrak EA, Pfeffer TA, Fudge TL, Mostovych M, Schuch D, Szentpetery S, Shaar CJ Transmyocardial laser revascularization combined with coronary artery bypass grafting: a multicenter, blinded, prospective, randomized, controlled trial. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2000;119:540-9 [10694615]

Loubani, 2003:

Loubani M, Chin D, Leverment JN, Galianes M Mid-term results of combined transmyocardial laser revascularization and coronary artery bypass. Ann Thorac Surg 2003;76:1163-6 [14530005]

Zhao, 2006:

Zhao H, Wan F, Guo JX, Chen Y, Xie JY, Yang W, Zhang P [Chronic effects of transmyocardial laser revascularization combined with off-pump coronary artery by pass (OPCAB) compared with OPCAB alone in patients with ischemic heart disease: a prospective multicenter follow-up study] Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi 2006;34:710-3 [17081396]

Atlanta (Sbar), 1967:

Sbar S, Schlant RC Dipyridamole in the treatment of angina pectoris. A double-blind evaluation. JAMA 1967;201:865-7 [5340622]

Wirecki, 1967:

Wirecki M Treatment of angina pectoris with dipyridamole: a long-term double blind study. J Chronic Dis 1967;20:139-45 [5336520]

Becker, 1967:

Becker MC. Angina pectoris: A double blind study with dipyridamole Journal of the Newark Beth Israel Hospital 1967;18:88-94

EVOLVE, 2012:

Meredith IT, Verheye S, Dubois CL, Dens J, Fajadet J, Carri D, Walsh S, Oldroyd KG, Varenne O, El-Jack S, Moreno R, Joshi AA, Allocco DJ, Dawkins KD Primary Endpoint Results of the EVOLVE Trial A Randomized Evaluation of a Novel Bioabsorbable Polymer-Coated, Everolimus-Eluting Coronary Stent. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012 Feb 3;: [22341736] 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.12.016

RITA 2, 1997:

Coronary angioplasty versus medical therapy for angina: the second Randomised Intervention Treatment of Angina (RITA-2) trial. RITA-2 trial participants Lancet 1997;350:461-8 [9274581]

Henderson RA, Pocock SJ, Clayton TC, Knight R, Fox KA, Julian DG, Chamberlain DA Seven-year outcome in the RITA-2 trial: coronary angioplasty versus medical therapy J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:1161-70 [14522473]

ACME, 1992:

Parisi AF, Folland ED, Hartigan P A comparison of angioplasty with medical therapy in the treatment of single-vessel coronary artery disease. Veterans Affairs ACME Investigators N Engl J Med 1992;326:10-6 [1345754] 10.1056/NEJM199201023260102

Hartigan PM, Giacomini JC, Folland ED, Parisi AF Two- to three-year follow-up of patients with single-vessel coronary artery disease randomized to PTCA or medical therapy (results of a VA cooperative study). Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program ACME Investigators. Angioplasty Compared to Medicine Am J Cardiol 1998;82:1445-50 [9874045]

ACME 2 (Folland), 1997:

Folland ED, Hartigan PM, Parisi AF Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty versus medical therapy for stable angina pectoris: outcomes for patients with double-vessel versus single-vessel coronary artery disease in a Veterans Affairs Cooperative randomized trial. Veterans Affairs ACME InvestigatorS J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;29:1505-11 [9180111]

Henderson RA, Pocock SJ, Clayton TC, Knight R, Fox KA, Julian DG, Chamberlain DA Seven-year outcome in the RITA-2 trial: coronary angioplasty versus medical therapy J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:1161-70 [14522473]

ACIP, 1997:

Davies RF, Goldberg AD, Forman S, Pepine CJ, Knatterud GL, Geller N, Sopko G, Pratt C, Deanfield J, Conti CR Asymptomatic Cardiac Ischemia Pilot (ACIP) study two-year follow-up: outcomes of patients randomized to initial strategies of medical therapy versus revascularization. Circulation 1997;95:2037-43 [9133513]

INSPIRE, 2006:

Mahmarian JJ, Dakik HA, Filipchuk NG, Shaw LJ, Iskander SS, Ruddy TD, Keng F, Henzlova MJ, Allam A, Moy LA, Pratt CM An initial strategy of intensive medical therapy is comparable to that of coronary revascularization for suppression of scintigraphic ischemia in high-risk but stable survivors of acute myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;48:2458-67 [17174182]

SWISSI II, 2007:

Erne P, Schoenenberger AW, Burckhardt D, Zuber M, Kiowski W, Buser PT, Dubach P, Resink TJ, Pfisterer M Effects of percutaneous coronary interventions in silent ischemia after myocardial infarction: the SWISSI II randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2007;297:1985-91 [17488963]

MASS, 1995:

Hueb WA, Bellotti G, de Oliveira SA, Arie S, de Albuquerque CP, Jatene AD, Pileggi F The Medicine, Angioplasty or Surgery Study (MASS): a prospective, randomized trial of medical therapy, balloon angioplasty or bypass surgery for single proximal left anterior descending artery stenoses J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;26:1600-5 [7594092] 10.1016/0735-1097(95)00384-3

Sievers, 1993:

Sievers N, Hamm CW, Herzner A, Kuck KH Medical therapy versus PTCA: a prospective, randomized trial in patients with asymptomatic coronary single-vessel disease. Abstract. Circulation. 1993;88(suppl I):I-297 [0]

de Jongste , 1994:

de Jongste MJ, Hautvast RW, Hillege HL, Lie KI Efficacy of spinal cord stimulation as adjuvant therapy for intractable angina pectoris: a prospective, randomized clinical study. Working Group on Neurocardiology. J Am Coll Cardiol 1994;23:1592-7 [8195519]

Jessurun GA, DeJongste MJ, Hautvast RW, Tio RA, Brouwer J, van Lelieveld S, Crijns HJ Clinical follow-up after cessation of chronic electrical neuromodulation in patients with severe coronary artery disease: a prospective randomized controlled study on putative involvement of sympathetic activity. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 1999;22:1432-9 [10588144]

Lanza, 2005:

Lanza GA, Sestito A, Sgueglia GA, Infusino F, Papacci F, Visocchi M, Ierardi C, Meglio M, Bellocci F, Crea F Effect of spinal cord stimulation on spontaneous and stress-induced angina and 'ischemia-like' ST-segment depression in patients with cardiac syndrome X. Eur Heart J 2005;26:983-9 [15642701]

SAPAT, 1992:

Juul-Mller S, Edvardsson N, Jahnmatz B, Rosn A, Srensen S, Omblus R Double-blind trial of aspirin in primary prevention of myocardial infarction in patients with stable chronic angina pectoris. The Swedish Angina Pectoris Aspirin Trial (SAPAT) Group. Lancet 1992;340:1421-5 [1360557]

Gupta et al, 1997:

Gupta S, Leatham EW, Carrington D, Mendall MA, Kaski JC, Camm AJ Elevated Chlamydia pneumoniae antibodies, cardiovascular events, and azithromycin in male survivors of myocardial infarction. Circulation 1997 Jul 15;96:404-7 [9244203]

ACADEMIC, 1999:

Muhlestein JB, Anderson JL, Carlquist JF, Salunkhe K, Horne BD, Pearson RR, Bunch TJ, Allen A, Trehan S, Nielson C Randomized secondary prevention trial of azithromycin in patients with coronary artery disease: primary clinical results of the ACADEMIC study. Circulation 2000 Oct 10;102:1755-60 [11023928]

STAMINA (Azithromycin), 2002:

Stone AF, Mendall MA, Kaski JC, Edger TM, Risley P, Poloniecki J, Camm AJ, Northfield TC Effect of treatment for Chlamydia pneumoniae and Helicobacter pylori on markers of inflammation and cardiac events in patients with acute coronary syndromes: South Thames Trial of Antibiotics in Myocardial Infarction and Unstable Angina (STAMINA). Circulation 2002 Sep 3;106:1219-23 [12208796]

AZACS, 2003:

Cercek B, Shah PK, Noc M, Zahger D, Zeymer U, Matetzky S, Maurer G, Mahrer P Effect of short-term treatment with azithromycin on recurrent ischaemic events in patients with acute coronary syndrome in the Azithromycin in Acute Coronary Syndrome (AZACS) trial: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2003 Mar 8;361:809-13 [12642046]

WIZARD, 2003:

O'Connor CM, Dunne MW, Pfeffer MA, Muhlestein JB, Yao L, Gupta S, Benner RJ, Fisher MR, Cook TD Azithromycin for the secondary prevention of coronary heart disease events: the WIZARD study: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2003 Sep 17;290:1459-66 [13129985]

ACES, 2005:

Grayston JT, Kronmal RA, Jackson LA, Parisi AF, Muhlestein JB, Cohen JD, Rogers WJ, Crouse JR, Borrowdale SL, Schron E, Knirsch C Azithromycin for the secondary prevention of coronary events. N Engl J Med 2005 Apr 21;352:1637-45 [15843666]

CLARIFY, 2001:
Sinisalo J, Mattila K, Valtonen V, Anttonen O, Juvonen J, Melin J, Vuorinen-Markkola H, Nieminen MS Effect of 3 months of antimicrobial treatment with clarithromycin in acute non-q-wave coronary syndrome. Circulation 2002 Apr 2;105:1555-60 [11927522]
CLARICOR, 2006:
Jespersen CM, Als-Nielsen B, Damgaard M, Hansen JF, Hansen S, Hel OH, Hildebrandt P, Hilden J, Jensen GB, Kastrup J, Kolmos HJ, Kjller E, Lind I, Nielsen H, Petersen L, Gluud C Randomised placebo controlled multicentre trial to assess short term clarithromycin for patients with stable coronary heart disease: CLARICOR trial. BMJ 2006 Jan 7;332:22-7 [16339220]
Kinsella, 1962:
KINSELLA D, TROUP W, McGREGOR M Studies with a new coronary vasodilator drug: persantin. Am Heart J 1962;63:146-51 [14456202]
Leiberman, 1964:
LEIBERMAN A, GUGLIELMELLI S PERSANTIN– A DOUBLE BLIND STUDY. Angiology 1964;15:290-2 [14170587]
Zion, 1961:
ZION MM, BRADLOW BA A controlled clinical trial of 'persantin' (R A 8) in angina pectoris. S Afr Med J 1961;35:11-3 [13788617]
Dewar, 1961:
DEWAR HA, HORLER AR A clinical trial of Persantin and Crodimyl in the treatment of angina of effort. Scott Med J 1961;6:149-52 [13722387]
Neumann, 1964:
NEUMANN M, LUISADA AA EFFECT OF RAPID AND SLOW-ACTING "CORONARY" DRUGS ON PRECORDIAL PAIN OF THE AGED. Am J Med Sci 1964;247:156-63 [14124704]
Foulds, 1960:
FOULDS T, MACKINNON J Controlled double-blind trial of "persantin" in treatment of angina pectoris. Br Med J 1960;2:835 [13824151]
Igloe, 1970:
Igloe MC Treatment of angina pectoris with dipyridamole: a double-blind study. J Am Geriatr Soc 1970;18:233-41 [4984849]
PROVE-IT, 2005:
Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, Grayston JT, Muhlestein B, Giugliano RP, Cairns R, Skene AM Antibiotic treatment of Chlamydia pneumoniae after acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med 2005 Apr 21;352:1646-54 [15843667]
Borer (CL2-009) 10mg, 2003:
Borer JS, Fox K, Jaillon P, Lerebours G Antianginal and antiischemic effects of ivabradine, an I(f) inhibitor, in stable angina: a randomized, double-blind, multicentered, placebo-controlled trial. Circulation 2003 Feb 18;107:817-23 [12591750]
BEAUTIFUL, 2008:
Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG, Tendera M, Ferrari R Ivabradine for patients with stable coronary artery disease and left-ventricular systolic dysfunction (BEAUTIFUL): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2008 Sep 6;372:807-16 [18757088]
SIGNIFY, 2014:
Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG, Tardif JC, Tendera M, Ferrari R Ivabradine in Stable Coronary Artery Disease without Clinical Heart Failure. N Engl J Med 2014 Aug 31;: [25176136] 10.1056/NEJMoa1406430
Borer (CL2-009) 20mg, 2003:
Borer (CL2-009) 5mg, 2003:
Borer JS, Fox K, Jaillon P, Lerebours G Circulation 2003 Feb 18;107:817-23 [12591750]
MARIZA, 2004:
Chaitman BR, Skettino SL, Parker JO, Hanley P, Meluzin J, Kuch J, Pepine CJ, Wang W, Nelson JJ, Hebert DA, Wolff AA Anti-ischemic effects and long-term survival during ranolazine monotherapy in patients with chronic severe angina. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:1375-82 [15093870]
RAN080, 2005:
Rousseau MF, Pouleur H, Cocco G, Wolff AA Comparative efficacy of ranolazine versus atenolol for chronic angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 2005;95:311-6 [15670536]
Murphy, 2003:
Murphy J, Wright RS, Gussak I, Williams B, Daly RN, Cain VA, Pieniaszek HJ, Sy SK, Ebling W, Simonson K, Wilcox RA, Kopecky SL The use of roxifiban (DMP754), a novel oral platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitor, in patients with stable coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiovasc Drugs 2003;3:101-12 [14727937]

Eddicks, 2007:

Eddicks S, Maier-Hauff K, Schenk M, Mller A, Baumann G, Theres H Thoracic spinal cord stimulation improves functional status and relieves symptoms in patients with refractory angina pectoris: the first placebo-controlled randomised study. Heart 2007;93:585-90 [17237126]

BEAUTIFUL (angina subgroup), :

Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG, Tendera M, Robertson M, Ferrari R Relationship between ivabradine treatment and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with stable coronary artery disease and left ventricular systolic dysfunction with limiting angina: a subgroup analysis of the randomized, controlled BEAUTIFUL trial. Eur Heart J 2009 Oct;30:2337-45 [19720635]

CARISA 1000mg, 2004:

Chaitman BR, Pepine CJ, Parker JO, Skopal J, Chumakova G, Kuch J, Wang W, Skettino SL, Wolff AA Effects of ranolazine with atenolol, amlodipine, or diltiazem on exercise tolerance and angina frequency in patients with severe chronic angina: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2004 Jan 21;291:309-16 [14734593]

ERICA, 2006:

Stone PH, Gratsiansky NA, Blokhin A, Huang IZ, Meng L Antianginal efficacy of ranolazine when added to treatment with amlodipine: the ERICA (Efficacy of Ranolazine in Chronic Angina) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006 Aug 1;48:566-75 [16875985]

CL3-018 10mg, 3000:

unpublished

CL3-018 15mg, 3000:

unpublished

ASSOCIATE (Tardif), 2009:

Tardif JC, Ponikowski P, Kahan T Efficacy of the I(f) current inhibitor ivabradine in patients with chronic stable angina receiving beta-blocker therapy: a 4-month, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Eur Heart J 2009 Mar;30:540-8 [19136486]

Nordic Bifurcation Study, 0:

ongoing trial NCT00376571

FEMH-93005, 0:

ongoing trial NCT00190099

CL3-023 (15mg), 0:

unpublished

CL3-023 (20mg), 0:

unpublished

6 hypertension

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
aliskiren vs amlodipine			
ACCELERATE , 2011 [NCT00797862] n=NA follow-up:	-	essential hypertension, were aged 18 years or older, and had systolic blood pressure between 150 and 180 mm8200;Hg	
ARBs vs control			
Suzuki , 2008 n=183/183 follow-up:	ARBs (valsartan, candesartan, and losartan) versus no ARBs	patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease on dialysis	Parallel groups open

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
atenolol vs control			
Coope , 1986 n=419/465 follow-up: 44y	atenolol and bendrofluazide , Atenolol versus Open control	patients aged 60 to 79 years	Parallel groups open
Coope (subgroup) , 1986 n=3/4 follow-up: 38y	atenolol and bendrofluazide versus control	patients aged 60 to 79 years	double-blind
candesartan vs control			
Takahashi , 2006 n=43/37 follow-up: 19.4 months	candesartan versus control	patients on chronic haemodialysis in stable condition and with no clinical evidence of cardiac disorders	Parallel groups open
captopril or atenolol vs control			
UKPDS 38 , 1998 n=758/390 follow-up: 8.4y (median)	tight control of blood pressure aiming at a BP <150/85 (with the use of captopril or atenolol as main treatment, other treatment were added if the control criteria were not met) versus less tight control aiming at a blood pressure of <180/105 (avoiding treatment with ACE inhibitors or beta-blockers)	hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups open UK
thiazide diuretics vs control			
Carter , 1970 n=50/49 follow-up: 3.6 y	thiazide versus ?	-	NA Open
Oslo (Hegeland) , 1980 n=406/379 follow-up: 5.5 y	step 1: hydrochlorothiazide 50mg/d, step 2: alpha methyldopa 250-500mg x2/d or propranolol 40-160mg x2/d, versus no treatment	men, aged 40 to 49 years, without target organ damage, with systolic blood pressures between 150 and 179 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure below 110 mm Hg	parallel group Open Oslo
ANBPS (Australian) , 1980 n=1721/1706 follow-up: 4 y	step 1:chlorothiazide 500 mg/d, step 2: chlorothoazide 500mg x2/d or methyldopa, propranolol, pindolol added, step 3: hydralazine or clonidine added versus placebo (without adjustement according to the BP!)	-	parallel group Double blind Australia
candesartan vs conventional treatment			
E-COST , 2005 n=1053/995 follow-up:	candesartan, 2 to 12 mg daily versus conventional antihypertensive drugs other than angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or ARBs	Japanese essential hypertensive subjects (sitting blood pressure 140-180/90-110 mmHg) aged 35-79 years	Parallel groups single-blind Japan

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
E-COST-R , 2005 n=69/72 follow-up:	candesartan versus conventional treatment	hypertensive subjects 60 to 75 years old with non-diabetic chronic renal insufficiency	Parallel groups open
HIJ-CREATE , 2009 n=1024/1025 follow-up: 4.2 y (median)	angiotensin II receptor blocker-based therapy versus non-angiotensin II receptor blocker-based therapy	patients with angiographically documented coronary artery disease and hypertension	Parallel groups open Japan
angioplasty vs medical therapy			
EMMA , 1998 n=23/26 follow-up: 6 months	angioplasty versus antihypertensive drug treatment	hypertensive patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis.	Parallel groups open France
SNRASCG , 1998 n=25/30 follow-up: 12 months	percutaneous transluminal angioplasty versus medical therapy	hypertensive patients with unilateral or bilateral disease	Parallel groups United Kingdom
DRASTIC , 2000 n=56/50 follow-up: 12 months	percutaneous transluminal renal angioplasty versus drug therapy	patients with hypertension who had atherosclerotic renal-artery stenosis (defined as a decrease in luminal diameter of 50 percent or more) and a serum creatinine concentration of 2.3 mg per deciliter (200 micromol per liter) or less	Parallel groups open Netherlands
ASTRAL , 2009 n=403/403 follow-up: 33.6 months	revascularization in addition to medical therapy versus medical therapy alone	patients with atherosclerotic renovascular disease	Parallel groups open United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand
STAR , 2009 n=64/76 follow-up: 24 months	stent placement and medical treatment versus medical treatment alone (antihypertensive treatment, statin, aspirin)	patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis and impaired renal function	Parallel groups open Netherlands, France
NITER , 2009 *unpublished* n=28/24 follow-up: 43 months	-	-	Italy
ACE inhibitors vs placebo			
HOPE (diabetic subgroup) , 2000 n=1808/1759 follow-up: 4.5 years	ramipril 10 mg once per day orally versus placebo	patients with diabetes (sub group), aged 55 years or older, who had a previous cardiovascular event or at least one other cardiovascular risk factor, no clinical proteinuria, heart failure, or low ejection fraction	Factorial plan double-blind North, South america, Europe
aliskiren vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
AVOID , 2008 [NCT00097955] n=301/298 follow-up: 6 months	aliskiren (150 mg daily for 3 months, followed by an increase in dosage to 300 mg daily for another 3 months versus placebo	patients with hypertension and type 2 diabetes with nephropathy	Parallel groups double blind 15 countries
amlodipine vs placebo			
IDNT (amlodipine vs pbo) , 2001 n=567/569 follow-up: 26	Amlodipine 10mg/d versus placebo	hypertensive patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups Double blind
IDNT (amlodipine vs PBO) , 2001 n=567/569 follow-up: 2.6 years	Amlodipine 10 mg daily versus placebo	hypertensive patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups double-blind Worldwide
Tepel et al , 2008 [NCT00124969] n=123/128 follow-up: 19 montsh median (8-30)	Amlodipine 10 mg/day versus matched placebo	hypertensive haemodialysis patients	double blind
aspirin vs placebo			
HOT , 1998 n=9399/9391 follow-up: mean 3.8 y (range 3.3-4.9y)	aspirin 75 mg daily versus placebo	patients aged 50-80 with hypertension and diastolic blood pressure between 100 mmHG and 115 mmHG	Factorial plan Double blind Europe, North and South America, and Asia
atenolol vs placebo			
MRC I (vs placebo) , 1985 n=4403/8654 follow-up: 5.5y	Propranolol versus Placebo	men and women aged 35-64 yearswith mild hypertension (diastolic pressure 90-109 mm Hg	Parallel groups double blind
MRC old (vs placebo) , 1992 n=1102/2213 follow-up: 5.8y	Atenolol versus Placebo	patients aged 65-74	double blind UK
Dutch TIA , 1993 n=732/741 follow-up: 26y	Atenolol 50mg/d versus Placebo	aspirin-treated patients with transient ischemic attack or nondisabling ischemic stroke	double blind
TEST , 1995 n=372/348 follow-up: 26y	Atenolol versus Placebo	post stroke	
atorvastatin vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
ASCOT , 2003 n=5168/5137 follow-up: 3.3 years	atorvastatin 10mg/d versus placebo	hypertensive patients aged 40-79 years with at least three other cardiovascular risk factors	Parallel groups double blind UK et Scandinavie
beta-blockers + diuretics vs placebo			
CASTEL (subgroup) , 1994 n=47/50 follow-up: 68y	active antihypertensive therapy (thiazide or beta-blockers) versus control	-	open
beta-blockers or diuretics vs placebo			
STOP (subgroup) , 1991 n=122/113 follow-up: 21 y	active antihypertensive therapy (three beta-blockers and one diuretic) versus placebo	hypertensive Swedish men and women aged 70-84 years	double-blind Sweden
candesartan vs placebo			
TROPHY , 2006 [NCT00227318] n=409/400 follow-up: 4y	candesartan during 2y followed by 2y of placebo versus placebo	subjects with repeated measurements of systolic pressure of 130 to 139 mm Hg and diastolic pressure of 89 mm Hg or lower, or systolic pressure of 139 mm Hg or lower and diastolic pressure of 85 to 89 mm Hg	Parallel groups double-blind USA
SCOPE , 2003 n=2477/2460 follow-up: 3.7 y (mean)	candesartan, 816 mg once daily (target 160/90) versus placebo	patients aged 7089 years, with systolic blood pressure 160 179 mmHg, and/or diastolic blood pressure 9099 mmHg, and a Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) test score >24	Parallel groups double-blind 15 countries
carvedilol vs placebo			
Cice et al , 2003 n=58/56 follow-up: 12 months	65279;Carvedilol 50 mg/day versus matched placebo	dialysis patients with dilated cardiomyopathy	
Nakao et al , 2007 n=57/51	Carvedilol 20 mg/day versus matched placebo	-	
chlorthalidone vs placebo			
SHEP-pilot , 1989 n=443/108 follow-up: 2.8y	chlorthalidone versus placebo	elderly participants with untreated blood pressures of greater than 160/less than 90 mm Hg	double blind
VA-NHLBI , 1977 n=508/504 follow-up: 1.4 y	chlorthalidone 50mg/d versus placebo	patients aged 21 to 50 years with diastolic BP between 85 to 105 mm Hg	Double aveugle USA

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
SHEP , 1991 [NCT00000514] n=2365/2371 follow-up: 4.4 y	chlorthalidone, 12.5 mg/d , chlorthalidone, 12.5 mg/d , chlorthalidone, 12.5 mg/d versus placebo	patients aged 60 years and above with Systolic BP between 160 and 219 mm Hg and diastolic BP less than 90 mm Hg	Double blind
SHEP (diabetic subgroup) , 1996 n=283/300 follow-up: 5 year	low dose of chlorthalidone (12.5-25.0 mg/d) with a step-up to atenolol (25.0-50.0 mg/d) or reserpine (0.05-0.10 mg/d) if needed versus placebo	men and women aged 60 years and older , non-insulin-treated diabetic (sub group) patients with isolated systolic hypertension (systolic BP >= 160 mm Hg; diastolic BP, <90 mm Hg)	Parallel groups double-blind
SHEP-P (subgroup) , 1989 n=70/15 follow-up: 28y	chlorthalidone versus placebo	elderly participants with untreated blood pressures of greater than 160/less than 90 mm Hg	double-blind
SHEP (subgroup) , 1991 n=331/319 follow-up: 42y	chlorthalidone, 12.5 mg/d for step 1 versus placebo	patients aged 60 years and above	double blind
darusentan vs placebo			
DORADO-AC n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
DORADO , 2009 [NCT00330369] n=247/132 follow-up: 14 weeks	darusentan 50 mg, 100 mg or 300 mg versus placebo	patients with treatment-resistant hypertension	Parallel groups double blind North and South America, Europe, New Zealand, Australia
deserpidine +methylclothiazide vs placebo			
HSCS , 1974 n=233/219 follow-up: 2.3y	deserpidine 1mg/d + methylclothiazide 10mg/d versus placebo	stroke	Parallel groups Double blind USA
diuretic and rauwolfia serpentina vs placebo			
USPHS , 1977 n=193/196 follow-up: 7.0 y	diuretic and rauwolfia serpentina versus placebo	subjects, ages 21-55, with diastolic blood pressures between 90 and 115 mm Hg	double blind
fluvastatin vs placebo			
HYRIM , 2005 n=283/285 follow-up: 4 year	fluvastatin 40 mg daily versus placebo	drug-treated hypertensive men aged 40-74 years with total cholesterol 4.5-8.0 mmol/L, triglycerides <4.5 mmol/L, body mass index 25-35 kg/m2, and a sedentary lifestyle	Factorial plan double blind Norway
hydrochlorothiazide vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
EWPHE (subgroup) , 1985 n=70/85 follow-up: 31y	hydrochlorothiazide + triamterene versus placebo	patients over the age of 60	double-blind
hydrochlorothiazide + amiloride vs placebo			
MRC old , 1992 n=1081/2213 follow-up:	-	hypertensive patients aged 64-75	
hydrochlorothiazide + triamterene vs placebo			
Kuramoto , 1981 n=44/47 follow-up: 4.0y	hydrochlorothiazide + triamterene versus placebo	patients over the age of 60 with sitting diastolic blood pressure on placebo treatment in the range 90-119 mm Hg and a systolic pressure in the range 160-239 mm Hg	double blind
EWPHE , 1985 n=416/424 follow-up: 4.3 y	hydrochlorothiazide + triamterene , hydrochlorothiazide + triamterene versus placebo	patients over the age of 60 with sitting diastolic blood pressure on placebo treatment in the range 90-119 mm Hg and a systolic pressure in the range 160-239 mm Hg	Double blind
indapamide vs placebo			
HYVET , 2008 [NCT00122811] n=1933/1912 follow-up: 1.8y (median)	indapamide sustained release 1.5 mg/d + perindopril 2-4mg/d to obtain SBP<150 and DBP<80 versus placebo	patients 80 years or older with persistent hypertension defined as a sustained systolic BP of 160 mm Hg or higher	Parallel groups Double blind Western and Eastern Europe, China, Australasia, and North Africa
PATS , 1995 n=2841/2841 follow-up: 2y	indapamide 2.5 mg/d versus placebo	-	Parallel groups Double blind China
irbesartan vs placebo			
IDNT (irbesartan vs pbo) , 2001 n=579/569 follow-up: 2.6 y	Irbesartan 300mg/d (target 135/85) versus placebo	hypertensive patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups double-blind worldwide
IRMA 2 , 2001 n=404/207 follow-up: 2 years	irbesartan 150 mg daily or 300 mg daily versus placebo	hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria	Parallel groups double-blind multinational
IDNT (irbesartan vs pbo) , 2001 n=579/569 follow-up: 2.6 years	Irbesartan 300 mg daily versus placebo	hypertensive patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups double blind Worldwide

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
IPDM (150mg) , 2001 n=195/201 follow-up: 2 years	irbesartan 150 mg daily versus placebo	hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria	Parallel groups double-blind Worldwide
losartan vs placebo			
RENAAL , 2001 n=751/762 follow-up: 3.4 years	lLosartan 50 to 100 mg once daily versus placebo	patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy	Parallel groups double-blind
RENAAL , 2001 n=751/762 follow-up: 3.4 y	losartan 50 to 100 mg once daily versus placebo	patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy	Parallel groups double-blind America, Europe, Asia
telmisartan vs placebo			
PROPHESS , 2008 [NCT00153062] n=10146/10186 follow-up: 2.5 y	telmisartan 80 mg daily versus placebo	patients who recently had an ischemic stroke	Factorial plan double blind 35 countries
Cice et al , 2006 n=151/152	Telmisartan 80 mg/day versus matched placebo	-	

More details and results :

- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in elderly (60 years and more) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q9
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in diabetic patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q10
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in all type of patient at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q13
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in very ederly (80 and more) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q14
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in nephropathy at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q19
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in post stroke at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q20
- angiotensin-receptor blockers for hypertension in all diseases requiring ACEi (HF, CHD, HT,...) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q125
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in patients undergoing dialysis at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q281
- renin inhibitor for hypertension in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q309
- renin inhibitor for hypertension in diabetic patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q310
- intensive blood pressure control for hypertension in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q336
- intensive blood pressure control for hypertension in diabetic patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q343
- intensive blood pressure control for hypertension in non diabetic patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q344
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q374
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in subjects with pre-hypertension at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q404
- antiplatelets drug for hypertension in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q407

- cholesterol lowering intervention for hypertension in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q458
- intensive blood pressure control for hypertension in patients with chronic kidney disease at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q495
- angioplasty for hypertension in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q496
- anti hypertensive agents for hypertension in uncomplicated hypertension at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q685

References

ACCELERATE, 2011:
Brown MJ, McInnes GT, Papst CC, Zhang J, Macdonald TM Aliskiren and the calcium channel blocker amlodipine combination as an initial treatment strategy for hypertension control (ACCELERATE): a randomised, parallel-group trial. Lancet 2011 Jan 12;: [21236483] 10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62003-X

Suzuki, 2008:
Suzuki H, Kanno Y, Sugahara S, Ikeda N, Shoda J, Takenaka T, Inoue T, Araki R Effect of angiotensin receptor blockers on cardiovascular events in patients undergoing hemodialysis: an open-label randomized controlled trial. Am J Kidney Dis 2008;52:501-6 [18653268] 10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.04.031

Coope, 1986:
Coope J, Warrender TS Randomised trial of treatment of hypertension in elderly patients in primary care. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1986 Nov 1;293:1145-51 [3094811]

Coope (subgroup), 1986:
Coope J, Warrender TS Randomised trial of treatment of hypertension in elderly patients in primary care. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1986;293:1145-51 [3094811]

Takahashi, 2006:
Takahashi A, Takase H, Toriyama T, Sugiura T, Kurita Y, Ueda R, Dohi Y Candesartan, an angiotensin II type-1 receptor blocker, reduces cardiovascular events in patients on chronic haemodialysis–a randomized study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2006;21:2507-12 [16766543] 10.1093/ndt/gfl293

UKPDS 38, 1998:
Efficacy of atenolol and captopril in reducing risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 39. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. BMJ 1998;317:713-20 [9732338]

Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 38. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. BMJ 1998;317:703-13 [9732337]

Carter, 1970:
Carter AB Hypotensive therapy in stroke survivors. Lancet 1970;1:485-9 [4190177]

Oslo (Hegeland), 1980:
Helgeland A Treatment of mild hypertension: a five year controlled drug trial. The Oslo study. Am J Med 1980;69:725-32 [7001898]

ANBPS (Australian), 1980:
The Australian therapeutic trial in mild hypertension. Report by the Management Committee. Lancet 1980;1:1261-7 [6104081]

E-COST, 2005:
Suzuki H, Kanno Y Effects of candesartan on cardiovascular outcomes in Japanese hypertensive patients. Hypertens Res 2005;28:307-14 [16138560] 10.1291/hypres.28.307

E-COST-R, 2005:
Nakamura T, Kanno Y, Takenaka T, Suzuki H An angiotensin receptor blocker reduces the risk of congestive heart failure in elderly hypertensive patients with renal insufficiency. Hypertens Res 2005;28:415-23 [16156505] 10.1291/hypres.28.415

HIJ-CREATE, 2009:
Kasanuki H, Hagiwara N, Hosoda S, Sumiyoshi T, Honda T, Haze K, Nagashima M, Yamaguchi J, Origasa H, Urashima M, Ogawa H Angiotensin II receptor blocker-based vs. non-angiotensin II receptor blocker-based therapy in patients with angiographically documented coronary artery disease and hypertension: the Heart Institute of Japan Candesartan Randomized Trial for Evaluation in Coronary Artery Disease (HIJ-CREATE). Eur Heart J 2009;30:1203-12 [19346521] 10.1093/eurheartj/ehp101

EMMA, 1998:
Plouin PF, Chatellier G, Darn B, Raynaud A Blood pressure outcome of angioplasty in atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis: a randomized trial. Essai Multicentrique Medicaments vs Angioplastie (EMMA) Study Group. Hypertension 1998;31:823-9 [9495267]

SNRASCG, 1998:

Webster J, Marshall F, Abdalla M, Dominiczak A, Edwards R, Isles CG, Loose H, Main J, Padfield P, Russell IT, Walker B, Watson M, Wilkinson R Randomised comparison of percutaneous angioplasty vs continued medical therapy for hypertensive patients with atheromatous renal artery stenosis. Scottish and Newcastle Renal Artery Stenosis Collaborative Group. J Hum Hypertens 1998;12:329-35 [9655655]

DRASTIC, 2000:

van Jaarsveld BC, Krijnen P, Pieterman H, Derkx FH, Deinum J, Postma CT, Dees A, Woittiez AJ, Bartelink AK, Man in 't Veld AJ, Schalekamp MA The effect of balloon angioplasty on hypertension in atherosclerotic renal-artery stenosis. Dutch Renal Artery Stenosis Intervention Cooperative Study Group. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1007-14 [10749962] 10.1056/NEJM200004063421403

ASTRAL, 2009:

Wheatley K, Ives N, Gray R, Kalra PA, Moss JG, Baigent C, Carr S, Chalmers N, Eadington D, Hamilton G, Lipkin G, Nicholson A, Scoble J Revascularization versus medical therapy for renal-artery stenosis. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1953-62 [19907042] 10.1056/NEJMoa0905368

STAR, 2009:

Bax L, Woittiez AJ, Kouwenberg HJ, Mali WP, Buskens E, Beek FJ, Braam B, Huysmans FT, Schultze Kool LJ, Rutten MJ, Doorenbos CJ, Aarts JC, Rabelink TJ, Plouin PF, Raynaud A, van Montfrans GA, Reekers JA, van den Meiracker AH, Pattynama PM, van de Ven PJ, Stent placement in patients with atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis and impaired renal function: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:840-8, W150-1 [19414832]

NITER, 2009:

unpublished

Scarpioni R, Michieletti E, Pavone L, et al. Atherosclerotic renovascular disease (ARVD): medical therapy plus renal artery stenting (PRTS), compared with medical therapy alone, do not offer more chances in preventing C-V events or the progression of renal failure. Preliminary results of a prospective, multicenter and randomized trial Abstract World Congress of Nephrology; May 22, 2009; Milan, Italy; 2009.

HOPE (diabetic subgroup), 2000:

Effects of ramipril on cardiovascular and microvascular outcomes in people with diabetes mellitus: results of the HOPE study and MICRO-HOPE substudy. Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. Lancet 2000;355:253-9 [10675071]

Yusuf S, Sleight P, Pogue J, Bosch J, Davies R, Dagenais G Effects of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. N Engl J Med 2000;342:145-53 [10639539]

AVOID, 2008:

Parving HH, Persson F, Lewis JB, Lewis EJ, Hollenberg NK Aliskiren combined with losartan in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2008;358:2433-46 [18525041]

IDNT (amlodipine vs pbo), 2001:

Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:851-60 [11565517]

IDNT (amlodipine vs PBO), 2001:

Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:851-60 [11565517]

Hunsicker LG, Atkins RC, Lewis JB, Braden G, de Crespigny PJ, DeFerrari G, Drury P, Locatelli F, Wiegmann TB, Lewis EJ Impact of irbesartan, blood pressure control, and proteinuria on renal outcomes in the Irbesartan Diabetic Nephropathy Trial. Kidney Int Suppl 2004;:S99-101 [15485429] 10.1111/j.1523-1755.2004.09223.x

POHL, MA, CORDONNIER, DJ, SPITALOWITZ, S, et al, FOR THE COLLABORATIVE STUDY GROUP Impact of angiotensin receptor blockade with irbesartan on renal function at different systolic blood pressure (SBP) levels in type 2 diabetic nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol 2002 13: 650A,

Pohl MA, Blumenthal S, Cordonnier DJ, De Alvaro F, Deferrari G, Eisner G, Esmatjes E, Gilbert RE, Hunsicker LG, de Faria JB, Mangili R, Moore J Jr, Reisin E, Ritz E, Schernthaner G, Spitalewitz S, Tindall H, Rodby RA, Lewis EJ Independent and additive impact of blood pressure control and angiotensin II receptor blockade on renal outcomes in the irbesartan diabetic nephropathy trial: clinical implications and limitations. J Am Soc Nephrol 2005;16:3027-37 [16120823] 10.1681/ASN.2004110919

Tepel et al, 2008:

Tepel M, Hopfenmueller W, Scholze A, Maier A, Zidek W Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008;23:3605-12 [18511605] 10.1093/ndt/gfn304

HOT, 1998:

Hansson L, Zanchetti A, Carruthers SG, Dahlof B, Elmfeldt D, Julius S, Menard J, Rahn KH, Wedel H, Westerling S Effects of intensive blood-pressure lowering and low-dose aspirin in patients with hypertension: principal results of the Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) randomised trial. HOT Study Group. Lancet 1998 Jun 13;351:1755-62 [9635947]

Hansson L, Zanchetti A The Hypertension Optimal Treatment (HOT) Study–patient characteristics: randomization, risk profiles, and early blood pressure results. Blood Press 1994;3:322-7 [7866597]

MRC I (vs placebo), 1985:

MRC trial of treatment of mild hypertension: principal results. Medical Research Council Working Party. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1985 Jul 13;291:97-104 [2861880]

MRC old (vs placebo), 1992:

Medical Research Council trial of treatment of hypertension in older adults: principal results. MRC Working Party. BMJ 1992 Feb 15;304:405-12 [1445513]

Dutch TIA, 1993:

Trial of secondary prevention with atenolol after transient ischemic attack or nondisabling ischemic stroke. The Dutch TIA Trial Study Group. Stroke 1993 Apr;24:543-8 [8465360]

TEST, 1995:

Eriksson S, Olofsson BO, Wester PO. imag Atenolol in the secondary prevention after stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 1995; 5: 2125

ASCOT, 2003:

Sever PS, Dahlof B, Poulter NR, Wedel H, Beevers G, Caulfield M, Collins R, Kjeldsen SE, Kristinsson A, McInnes GT, Mehlsen J, Nieminen M, O'Brien E, Ostergren J, Prevention of coronary and stroke events with atorvastatin in hypertensive patients who have average or lower-than-average cholesterol concentrations, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial–Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2003; 361:1149-58 [12686036]

CASTEL (subgroup), 1994:

Casiglia E, Spolaore P, Mazza A, Ginocchio G, Colangeli G, Onesto C, Di Menza G, Pegoraro L, Ambrosio GB Effect of two different therapeutic approaches on total and cardiovascular mortality in a Cardiovascular Study in the Elderly (CASTEL). Jpn Heart J 1994;35:589-600 [7830324]

STOP (subgroup), 1991:

Dahlf B, Lindholm LH, Hansson L, Scherstn B, Ekbom T, Wester PO Morbidity and mortality in the Swedish Trial in Old Patients with Hypertension (STOP-Hypertension) Lancet 1991;338:1281-5 [1682683]

TROPHY, 2006:

Julius S, Nesbitt SD, Egan BM, Weber MA, Michelson EL, Kaciroti N, Black HR, Grimm RH Jr, Messerli FH, Oparil S, Schork MA Feasibility of treating prehypertension with an angiotensin-receptor blocker. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1685-97 [16537662] 10.1056/NEJMoa060838

SCOPE, 2003:

Saxby BK, Harrington F, Wesnes KA, McKeith IG, Ford GA Candesartan and cognitive decline in older patients with hypertension: a substudy of the SCOPE trial. Neurology 2008;70:1858-66 [18458219] 10.1212/01.wnl.0000311447.85948.78

Lithell H, Hansson L, Skoog I, Elmfeldt D, Hofman A, Olofsson B, Trenkwalder P, Zanchetti A The Study on Cognition and Prognosis in the Elderly (SCOPE): principal results of a randomized double-blind intervention trial. J Hypertens 2003;21:875-86 [12714861] 10.1097/01.hjh.0000059028.82022.89

Cice et al, 2003:

Cice G, Ferrara L, D'Andrea A, D'Isa S, Di Benedetto A, Cittadini A, Russo PE, Golino P, Calabr R J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1438-44 [12742278]

Nakao et al, 2007:

Nakao N, Hasegawa H, Fujimori A, Seno H, Toriyama T, J Am Soc Nephrol 2007;18 (suppl): 709A [0]

SHEP-pilot, 1989:

Perry HM Jr, Smith WM, McDonald RH, Black D, Cutler JA, Furberg CD, Greenlick MR, Kuller LH, Schnaper HW, Schoenberger JA Morbidity and mortality in the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP) pilot study. Stroke 1989 Jan;20:4-13 [2911834]

VA-NHLBI, 1977:

Evaluation of drug treatment in mild hypertension: VA-NHLBI feasibility trial. Plan and preliminary results of a two-year feasibility trial for a multicenter intervention study to evaluate the benefits versus the disadvantages of treating mild hypertension. Prepared for the Veterans Administration-National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Study Group for Evaluating Treatment in Mild Hypertension. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1978;304:267-92 [360921]

SHEP, 1991:

Prevention of stroke by antihypertensive drug treatment in older persons with isolated systolic hypertension. Final results of the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP). SHEP Cooperative Research Group. JAMA 1991;265:3255-64 [2046107]

Kostis JB, Davis BR, Cutler J, Grimm RH Jr, Berge KG, Cohen JD, Lacy CR, Perry HM Jr, Blaufox MD, Wassertheil-Smoller S, Black HR, Schron E, Berkson DM, Curb JD, Smith WM, McDonald R, Applegate WB Prevention of heart failure by antihypertensive drug treatment in older persons with isolated systolic hypertension. SHEP Cooperative Research Group. JAMA 1997;278:212-6 [9218667]

Kostis JB, Cabrera J, Cheng JQ, Cosgrove NM, Deng Y, Pressel SL, Davis BR Association between chlorthalidone treatment of systolic hypertension and long-term survival. JAMA 2011 Dec 21;306:2588-93 [22187278] 10.1001/jama.2011.1821

SHEP (diabetic subgroup), 1996:

Curb JD, Pressel SL, Cutler JA, Savage PJ, Applegate WB, Black H, Camel G, Davis BR, Frost PH, Gonzalez N, Guthrie G, Oberman A, Rutan GH, Stamler J Effect of diuretic-based antihypertensive treatment on cardiovascular disease risk in older diabetic patients with isolated systolic hypertension. Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program Cooperative Research Group. JAMA 1996;276:1886-92 [8968014]

SHEP-P (subgroup), 1989:

Perry HM Jr, Smith WM, McDonald RH, Black D, Cutler JA, Furberg CD, Greenlick MR, Kuller LH, Schnaper HW, Schoenberger JA Morbidity and mortality in the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP) pilot study. Stroke 1989;20:4-13 [2911834]

SHEP (subgroup), 1991:

Prevention of stroke by antihypertensive drug treatment in older persons with isolated systolic hypertension. Final results of the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP). SHEP Cooperative Research Group. JAMA 1991;265:3255-64 [2046107]

DORADO-AC, :

DORADO, 2009:

Weber MA, Black H, Bakris G, Krum H, Linas S, Weiss R, Linseman JV, Wiens BL, Warren MS, Lindholm LH A selective endothelin-receptor antagonist to reduce blood pressure in patients with treatment-resistant hypertension: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2009;374:1423-31 [19748665]

HSCS, 1974:

Effect of antihypertensive treatment on stroke recurrence. Hypertension-Stroke Cooperative Study Group. JAMA 1974 Jul 22;229:409-18 [4599980]

Effect of antihypertensive treatment on stroke recurrence. Hypertension-Stroke Cooperative Study Group. JAMA 1974;229:409-18 [4599980]

USPHS, 1977:

Smith WM. Treatment of mild hypertension: results of a ten-year intervention trial Circ Res. 1977; 40(5 suppl 1):I98-I105.

Smith WM Treatment of mild hypertension: results of a ten-year intervention trial. Circ Res 1977;40:I98-105 [140029]

HYRIM, 2005:

Anderssen SA, Hjelstuen AK, Hjermann I, Bjerkan K, Holme I Atherosclerosis 2005 Feb;178:387-97 [15694949]

EWPHE (subgroup), 1985:

Amery A, Birkenhger W, Brixko P, Bulpitt C, Clement D, Deruyttere M, De Schaepdryver A, Dollery C, Fagard R, Forette F Mortality and morbidity results from the European Working Party on High Blood Pressure in the Elderly trial. Lancet 1985;1:1349-54 [2861311]

MRC old, 1992:

Medical Research Council trial of treatment of hypertension in older adults: principal results. MRC Working Party. BMJ 1992;304:405-12 [1445513]

Kuramoto, 1981:

EWPHE, 1985:

Amery A, Birkenhager W, Brixko P, Bulpitt C, Clement D, Deruyttere M, De Schaepdryver A, Dollery C, Fagard R, Forette F Mortality and morbidity results from the European Working Party on High Blood Pressure in the Elderly trial. Lancet 1985 Jun 15;1:1349-54 [2861311]

HYVET, 2008:

Beckett NS, Peters R, Fletcher AE, Staessen JA, Liu L, Dumitrascu D, Stoyanovsky V, Antikainen RL, Nikitin Y, Anderson C, Belhani A, Forette F, Rajkumar C, Thijs L, Banya W, Bulpitt CJ Treatment of Hypertension in Patients 80 Years of Age or Older. N Engl J Med 2008 Mar 31;: [18378519]

PATS, 1995:

Post-stroke antihypertensive treatment study. A preliminary result. PATS Collaborating Group. Chin Med J (Engl) 1995;108:710-7 [8575241]

IDNT (irbesartan vs pbo), 2001:

Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:851-60 [11565517]

Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:851-60 [11565517]

IRMA 2, 2001:

Parving HH, Lehnert H, Brchner-Mortensen J, Gomis R, Andersen S, Arner P The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:870-8 [11565519] 10.1056/NEJMoa011489

IDNT (irbesartan vs pbo), 2001:

Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:851-60 [11565517]

IPDM (150mg), 2001:

Parving HH, Lehnert H, Brchner-Mortensen J, Gomis R, Andersen S, Arner P The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:870-8 [11565519]

RENAAL, 2001:

Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D, Keane WF, Mitch WE, Parving HH, Remuzzi G, Snapinn SM, Zhang Z, Shahinfar S Effects of losartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2001;345:861-9 [11565518]

RENAAL, 2001:

Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D, Keane WF, Mitch WE, Parving HH, Remuzzi G, Snapinn SM, Zhang Z, Shahinfar S Effects of losartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2001;345:861-9 [11565518]

PROPHESS, 2008:

Yusuf S, Diener HC, Sacco RL, Cotton D, Ounpuu S, Lawton WA, Palesch Y, Martin RH, Albers GW, Bath P, Bornstein N, Chan BP, Chen ST, Cunha L, Dahlf B, De Keyser J, Donnan GA, Estol C, Gorelick P, Gu V, Hermansson K, Hilbrich L, Kaste M, Lu C, Machnig T, — N Engl J Med 2008;359:1225-37 [18753639] 10.1056/NEJMoa0804593

Cice et al, 2006:

7 heart failure

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Mesenchymal stem cells vs allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells			
POSEIDON , 2012 [NCT01087996] n=NA follow-up:	allogeneic MSCs versus autologous bone marrowderived mesenchymal stem cells delivered by transendocardial injection	patients with LV dysfunction due to ICM	
amlodipine vs control			
Packer , 1991 *unpublished* n=NA follow-up: 2 months	-	CHD multiple cause, NYHA class II-III	Double blind
Smith , 1994 n=NA follow-up: 3 months	-	CHD multiple cause, NYHA class II-III	Double blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Binkley , 1996 *unpublished* n=NA follow-up: 3 months	-	CHD multiple cause, NYHA class II-III	Double blind
Udelson , 1996 *unpublished* n=NA follow-up: 3 months	-	patients with congestive heart failure due to ischaemic heart disease, NYHA class II-III	Double blind
Ghali , 1997 *unpublished* n=NA follow-up: 3 months	-	CHD multiple cause, NYHA class III-IV	Double blind
atorvastatin vs control			
Wojnicz , 2006 n=36/38 follow-up: 6 months	atorvastatin 40 mg/day versus conventional treatment for heart failure	patients with inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy (DC) (positive immunohistochemistry results on endomyocardial biopsy)	Parallel groups open
Yamada , 2007 n=19/19 follow-up: mean 2.58y	atorvastatin 10 mg/d versus standard treatment	outpatients with mild to moderate CHF and radionuclide left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) <40%	Parallel groups
benazepril vs control			
McGany , 1991 *unpublished* n=29/32 follow-up:	-	-	
BNP-guided management vs control			
TIME CHF , 2009 n=251/248 follow-up:	intensive BNP-guided therapy versus standard symptom-guided therapy	patients with heart failure, with the specific inclusion of patients & iexcl;& Yacute;75 years of age	Parallel groups open
PRIMA n=174/171 follow-up: 702 days	NT-proBNP guided management versus clinically guided management	Patients admitted for worsening heart failure and with NT-proBNP decreasing during their admission	Parallel groups open the Netherlands
PROTECT , 2009 [NCT00351390] n=NA follow-up:	natriuretic-peptide-guided therapy versus standard management	patients with NYHA class 2-4 heart failure, LVEF <40% , and history of at least one admission or outpatient diuretic dose increase for heart-failure destabilization in the previous six months	Parallel groups
STARS-BNP , 2007 n=110/110 follow-up: 6 mo	BNP-guidance as a supplement to clinical judgment versus traditional approach	patients in NYHA functional class 2-3 with an LVEF <45% ; optimally treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), beta-blockers, and diuretics by CHF specialists	Parallel groups open
BATTLESCARRED , 2009 [ANZCTR12605000735651] n=121/122 follow-up: 3y	drug treatment directed by plasma NTproBNP for 2 years versus usual care	patients admitted to hospital for HF	Parallel groups open New Zealand

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
STARBRITE , 2007 [NCT00484770] n=NA follow-up: 3 mo	BNP-guided treatment versus clinically based management	patients in NYHA class 3-4 and with an LVEF <35% ; enrolled prior to discharge following hospitalization for acute decompensation	Parallel groups open
Troughton , 2000 n=33/36 follow-up: 9.5 mo (>6 mo)	BNP-guided treatment versus empirical trial-based therapy dictated by clinical acumen617Ow	patients with impaired systolic function (leftventricular ejection fraction <40%) and symptomatic heart failure (New York Heart Association class IIIV); treated with ACE inhibitors, loop diuretic with or without digoxin	Parallel groups open UK
BASEL *ongoing* [NCT00130611] n=NA follow-up: 3 months	BNP guided diagnostics and initial therapy versus control	patients presenting with acute dyspnea	Parallel groups Open
van Kraaij *ongoing* [NCT00149422] n=NA follow-up:	NT-proBNP guided treatment versus control	chronic congestive heart failure	Parallel groups single blind
RABBIT *ongoing* [NCT00206856] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Bone marrow derived stem cell vs control			
CUPID 2b , 2016 [NCT01643330] n=NA follow-up:	-	patients with advanced heart failure	
FOCUS-CCTRN , 2012 [NCT00824005] n=92 follow-up:	-	patients with chronic ischemic heart failure	
Pokushalov (DOUBLON DIB) , 2010 n=55/54 follow-up:	Intramyocardial transplantation of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells versus optimal medical therapy	patients with severe ischemic heart failure	Russia
Bone marrow mononuclear cells vs control			
Ang , 2008 n=NA	-	Elective CABG patients with established myocardial scars diagnosed as akinetic or dyskinetic segments by dobutamine stress echocardiography and confirmed at surgery	single-blinded

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Hendrikx , 2006 n=NA follow-up: 4 months	-	patients with a postinfarction nonviable scar	
TOPCARE-CHD , 2006 [NCT00289822] n=NA	-	patients with stable ischemic heart disease who had had a myocardial infarction at least 3 months previously	
Yao , 2008 n=24/23	-	patients with stable ischaemic heart disease due to a previous MI	
Bone marrow progenitor cells vs control			
Manginas , 2007 n=NA	-	patients with old, nonviable anterior myocardial infarction	
Patel , 2005 n=10/10	-	patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and an ejection fraction of less than 35% who were scheduled for primary off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting	
Perin , 2012 n=10/10 follow-up: 6 months	-	patients with advanced ischemic heart failure	
Vrtovec , 2011 [NCT00629018] n=NA	-	patients with dilated cardiomyopathy	
Vrtovec , 2013 [NCT01350310] n=55/55	-	patients with dilated cardiomyopathy	
Cardiac stem cells vs control			
SCIPIO , 2011 [NCT00474461] n=NA follow-up:	-	Patients With Ischemic Cardiomyopathy	
Cardiopoietic stem cell vs control			
C CURE , 2013 [NCT00810238] n=NA follow-up:	-	patients with heart failure of ischemic origin	
CADUCEUS , 2012 [NCT00893360] n=17	-	patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction	
enalapril vs control			
Enalapril CHF investigators , 1987 n=126/130 follow-up:	-	-	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Rucinska-a (enalapril) , 1991 *unpublished* n=67/65 follow-up:	-	-	
Rucinska-b (enalapril) , 1991 *unpublished* n=55/55 follow-up:	-	-	
Exercise training vs control			
Dubach et al , 1997 n=24/26 follow-up: 0.7 y	Supervised walking, two hours daily; supervised cycling 40minutes four days a week versus control	patients with chronic heart failure	open Switzerland
Giannuzzi et al , 1997 n=46/42 follow-up: 0.6 y	Supervised cycling, 30 minutesthree days a week for two months, then home based 30 minutes for three days a week and walking for 30 minutes versus control	patients with <40% ejection fraction after a first Q-wave myocardial infarction	open Italy
Belardinelli et al , 1999 n=50/49 follow-up: 3.1 y	Supervised cycling, 60 minutes three days a week for eightweeks, then two days a week versus no exercise	patients with CHF	open 65279;Italy
Hambrecht et al , 1995 n=34/35 follow-up: 0.4 y	Supervised and home based walking, calisthenics, cycling40-60 minutes a day versus physically inactive control group	patients with chronic heart failure	open Germany
ExTraMATCH , 2004 n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Kiilavuori et al , 2000 n=12/15 follow-up: 6.3 y	Supervised cycling 30 minutes three days a week for three months, then home basedtraining (walking, cycling,rowing, and swimming) versus control	patients with stable NYHA class II-III CHF	open Finland

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
McKelvie et al , 2002 n=90/91 follow-up: 1.5 y	Supervised aerobic (cycling,treadmill, arm) and resistance training 30 minutes three days a week for three months, then home based aerobic training three days a week versus usual care	patients in NYHA class I to III, with ejection fraction <40% and 6-minute walk distance <500 meters	single blind Canada
Zanelli et al , 1997 n=76/79 follow-up: 0.8 y	-	-	Italy
Wielenga et al , 1999 n=41/39 follow-up: 3.9 y	Supervised cycling, walking,ball game 30 minutes threedays a week for eight weeks, then two days a week versus control	patients with chronic heart failure NYHA II-III	open Netherlands
Willenheimer et al , 1998 n=22/30 follow-up: 4.4 y	Supervised interval cyclingtraining (90 second exercise and 30 second rest) for 15-45 minutes two days a weekc versus control	patients with stable mild-to-moderate heart failure	open Sweden
hydralazine vs control			
Chatterjee , 1980 n=NA follow-up:	oral hydralazine versus NA	patients with chronic CHF	
myoblasts vs control			
CAuSMIC , 2005 n=12/11 follow-up: 12 mo	3-dimensional guided catheter-based delivery of autologous skeletal myoblasts versus control	patients with previous myocardial infarction and heart failure, New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II to IV	
SEISMIC , 2011 n=26/14 follow-up: 6 mo	percutaneous intramyocardial transplantation of autologous skeletal myoblasts versus control	Patienst with heart failure patients with implanted cardioverter-defibrillators	
nicardipine vs control			
Gheorghiade , 1991 *unpublished* n=NA follow-up: 4 months	-	CHD multiple cause, NYHA class III	Double blind
Abrams , 1993 *unpublished* n=NA follow-up: 4 months	-	CHD multiple cause, NYHA class III-IV	Double blind
ramipril vs control			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Swedberg , 1991 n=115/108 follow-up:	-	-	
simvastatin vs control			
Hong , 2005 n=106/96 follow-up: 1 year	simvastatin versus no treatment	patients with ischemic heart failure who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for acute myocardial infarction (left ventricular [LV] ejection fraction <40%)	Parallel groups open
spironolactone vs control			
Cicoira , 2002 n=54/52 follow-up: 12 months	spironolactone 12.5 to 50 mg/day versus control	patients with chronic heart failure	Parallel groups open
Cicoira , 2004 n=47/46 follow-up: 12 months	spironolactone versus control	chronic heart failure patients	open
Ramires , 2000 n=19/16 follow-up: 20 weeks	spironolactone versus standard medical treatment	patients with systolic dysfunction and NYHA class III CHF secondary to dilated or ischemic cardiomyopathy	Parallel groups open
structured exercise training vs control			
Patwala , 2009 n=25/25 follow-up: 6 months	program of physician-supervised exercise training versus control	patients with chronic systolic heart failure receiving a Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy device	Parallel groups open
HF-ACTION , 2008 [NCT00047437] n=1159/1172 follow-up: mean 2.5 y	highly structured exercise program focused on increasing workout intensity and duration versus usual care,including recommendations for daily exercise	heart-failure patients (NYHA class 2-4, ejection fraction <35%)	Parallel groups open USA, Canada, France
sacubitril/valsartan vs enalapril			
PARADIGM-HF , 2014 [NCT01035255] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
losartan 150mg vs losartan 50mg			
HEAAL , 2009 [NCT00090259] n=1921/1913 follow-up: 4.7 y (median)	losartan 150mg daily versus losartan 50 mg daily	patients with systolic heart failure who couldn't tolerate ACE inhibitors	Parallel groups double blind 30 countries
amiodarone vs no treatment			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
GESICA , 1994 n=260/256 follow-up: 110 years	amiodarone 300 mg/day versus no treatment	patients with severe heart failure Any two of CTR >055, LVEF<=35% , echo LVED >32 cm/m2	open
EPAMSA , 1985 n=66/61 follow-up: 081 years	amiodarone 400 mg/day versus no treatment	patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (<35%) and asymptomatic ventricular arrhythmias (Lown classes 2 and 4) LVEF <=35% and Lown class 25	open
aspirin vs no treatment			
WASH (aspirin) , 2004 n=91/99 follow-up: 27 months	aspirin 300 mg/day versus no treatment	patients with heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction requiring diuretic therapy with LVEF<=35%	open UK, US
warfarin vs no treatment			
WASH (warfarin) , 2004 n=89/99 follow-up: 27 months	warfarin (target INR 2.5) versus no treatment	patients with heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction requiring diuretic therapy with LVEF<=35%	Parallel groups open UK, US
valsartan vs no valsartan			
VALIDD , 2007 [NCT00170924] n=186/198 follow-up: 38 weeks	valsartan titrated up to 320 mg once daily versus placebo	Patients with hypertension and evidence of diastolic dysfunction	Parallel groups double blind USA, canada
Aliskiren vs placebo			
ASTRONAUT , 2013 [NCT00894387] n=1639 follow-up: 6 months	Aliskiren versus placebo	stable patients with heart failure, an LVEF <40% (mean 28%), and elevated natriuretic peptides who had been discharged from a heart-failure hospitalization an average of five days before	Parallel groups double blind North and South america, Europe, Asia
amiloride vs placebo			
Cheitlin , 1991 n=12/12 follow-up: 12 weeks	amiloride versus placebo	men with a history of congestive heart failure	Cross over double blind
amiodarone vs placebo			
Nicklas , 1991 n=101 follow-up: NA	amiodarone 200 mg/day versus placebo	patients with ejection fractions less than 30% , New York Heart Association class III or IV symptoms, and frequent but asymptomatic spontaneous ventricular ectopy (Lown class II to V) LVEF <=30% and Lown class 25	double blind
Hamer , 1989 n=34 follow-up: 163 years	amiodarone 200 mg/day versus placebo	patients with severe congestive heart failure but no sustained ventricular arrhythmia	double blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
STATCHF , 1995 n=674 follow-up: 215 years	amiodarone 200 mg/day versus placebo	patients with symptoms of congestive heart failure, cardiac enlargement, 10 or more premature ventricular contractions per hour, and a left ventricular ejection fraction of 40 percent or less LVEF <=40% and >=10 VPD/h and LVED >=55 mm or CTR >055	double blind
amlodipine vs placebo			
PRAISE , 1996 n=571/582 follow-up: median 13.8 mo (range 6-33 mo)	amlodipine 10 mg once daily versus placebo	patients with severe chronic heart failure and ejection fractions of less than 30 percent appl	Parallel groups Double blind US
PRAISE II , 2000 *unpublished* n=826/826 follow-up: up to 4 years	Amlodipine versus placebo	heart failure in non ischemic cardiomyopathy	
Amrinone vs placebo			
AMTG , 1985 n=NA follow-up: 3 months	Amrinone <600mg/day versus placebo	patients with heart failure NYHA III/IV	Parallel groups double blind

More details and results :

- angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors for heart failure in all type of heart failure at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q43
- beta-blockers for heart failure in all type of heart failure at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q44
- antiarrythmic drugs for heart failure in all type of heart failure at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q46
- angiotensin-receptor blockers for heart failure in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q65
- angiotensin-receptor blockers for heart failure in patients intolerant to ACE inhibitors at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q66
- angiotensin-receptor blockers for heart failure in patients previously untreated with ACE inhibitors at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q67
- angiotensin-receptor blockers for heart failure in patients already receiving ACE inhibitor at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q68
- calcium channel blockers for heart failure in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q70
- angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors for heart failure in elderly at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q71
- antithrombotics for heart failure in patients hospitalized for heart failure at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q72
- antithrombotics for heart failure in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q73
- diuretics for heart failure in patients already taking diuretics at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q74
- diuretics for heart failure in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q75
- cholesterol lowering intervention for heart failure in elderly at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q77
- vasodilators therapy for heart failure in all type of patient at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q79
- Exercise Therapy for heart failure in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q88

- resynchronization (CRT) - defibrillators (ICD) for heart failure in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q104
- phosphodiesterase III inhibitors for heart failure in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q117
- beta-blockers for heart failure in elderly patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q119
- cholesterol lowering intervention for heart failure in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q176

References

POSEIDON, 2012:

Hare JM, Fishman JE, Gerstenblith G, Difede Velazquez DL, Zambrano JP, Suncion VY, Tracy M, Ghersin E, Johnston PV, Brinker JA, Breton E, Davis-Sproul J, Schulman IH, Byrnes J, Mendizabal AM, Lowery MH, Rouy D, Altman P, Wong Po Foo C, Ruiz P, Amador A, D Comparison of Allogeneic vs Autologous Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Delivered by Transendocardial Injection in Patients With Ischemic Cardiomyopathy: The POSEIDON Randomized Trial. JAMA 2012 Nov 6;:1-11 [23117550] 10.1001/jama.2012.25321

Packer, 1991:

unpublished

Packer M, Nicod P, Khanderia RE Randomized, multicenter, double-blind, placebo-controlled evaluation of amlodipine in patients with mild-to-moderate heart failure (abstr). J Am Coll Cardiol 1991;17:274A.

Smith, 1994:

Udelson JE, DeAbate CA, Berk M, Neuberg G, Packer M, Vijay NK, Gorwitt J, Smith WB, Kukin ML, LeJemtel T, Levine TB, Konstam MA Effects of amlodipine on exercise tolerance, quality of life, and left ventricular function in patients with heart failure from left ventricular systolic dysfunction. Am Heart J 2000 Mar;139:503-10 [10689266]

Binkley, 1996:

unpublished

Binkley PF, Nunziata E, Hatton PS, Cody RJ. Dose and circadian dependent autonomic response to vasodilation with amlodipine in congestive heart failure (abstr) J Am Coll Cardiol 1996;27:774.

Udelson, 1996:

unpublished

Udelson JE, DeAbate CA, Vijay N. Effect of amlodipine on exercise tolerance and quality of life in mild to moderate heart failure with systolic dysfunction (abstr). J Am Coll Cardiol 1996;27:774.

Ghali, 1997:

unpublished

Ghali JK, Pressler M, Nye R, Cropp A. Is suppression of the neurohormonal system a prerequisite to improve survival in patients with heart failure and impaired left ventricular systolic function (abstr). Circulation 1997;95:385.

Wojnicz, 2006:

Wojnicz R, Wilczek K, Nowalany-Kozielska E, Szygula-Jurkiewicz B, Nowak J, Polonski L, Dyrbus K, Badzinski A, Mercik G, Zembala M, Wodniecki J, Rozek MM Usefulness of atorvastatin in patients with heart failure due to inflammatory dilated cardiomyopathy and elevated cholesterol levels. Am J Cardiol 2006;97:899-904 [16516598]

Yamada, 2007:

Yamada T, Node K, Mine T, Morita T, Kioka H, Tsukamoto Y, Tamaki S, Masuda M, Okuda K, Fukunami M Long-term effect of atorvastatin on neurohumoral activation and cardiac function in patients with chronic heart failure: a prospective randomized controlled study. Am Heart J 2007;153:1055.e1-8 [17540209]

McGany, 1991:

unpublished

McGarry R. Randomized, Double Blind, Multicenter Study Comparing Benazepril to Digoxin and to Placebo as Add On Therapy to Diuretic in Patients With CHF, NYHA Class II-III During a 12-Week Treatment Period, GHBA-1H. Summit, NJ: Ciba-Geigy Pharmaceuticals; September 1991. Unpublished report.

TIME CHF, 2009:

Pfisterer M, Buser P, Rickli H, Gutmann M, Erne P, Rickenbacher P, Vuillomenet A, Jeker U, Dubach P, Beer H, Yoon SI, Suter T, Osterhues HH, Schieber MM, Hilti P, Schindler R, Brunner-La Rocca HP JAMA 2009 Jan 28;301:383-92 [19176440] 10.1001/jama.2009.2

PRIMA, 0:

Luc Eurlings Can Pro-Brain Natriuretic Peptide Guided Therapy of Heart Failure Improve Heart Failure Morbidity and Mortality? Main Outcome of the PRIMA-Study ACC.09/i2, Orlando, FL, March 2009 [0]

Eurlings LW, van Pol PE, Kok WE, van Wijk S, Lodewijks-van der Bolt C, Balk AH, Lok DJ, Crijns HJ, van Kraaij DJ, de Jonge N, Meeder JG, Prins M, Pinto YM Management of chronic heart failure guided by individual N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide targets: results of the PRIMA (Can PRo-brain-natriuretic peptide guided therapy of chronic heart failure IMprove heart fAilure morbidity and mortality?) study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:2090-100 [21144969] 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.07.030

PROTECT, 2009:

STARS-BNP, 2007:

Jourdain P, Jondeau G, Funck F, Gueffet P, Le Helloco A, Donal E, Aupetit JF, Aumont MC, Galinier M, Eicher JC, Cohen-Solal A, Juillire Y Plasma brain natriuretic peptide-guided therapy to improve outcome in heart failure: the STARS-BNP Multicenter Study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:1733-9 [17448376]

BATTLESCARRED, 2009:

Lainchbury JG, Troughton RW, Frampton CM, Yandle TG, Hamid A, Nicholls MG, Richards AM NTproBNP-guided drug treatment for chronic heart failure: design and methods in the "BATTLESCARRED" trial. Eur J Heart Fail 2006;8:532-8 [16829189]

Lainchbury JG, Troughton RW, Strangman KM, Frampton CM, Pilbrow A, Yandle TG, Hamid AK, Nicholls MG, Richards AM N-Terminal Pro-B-Type Natriuretic Peptide-Guided Treatment for Chronic Heart Failure Results From the BATTLESCARRED (NT-proBNP-Assisted Treatment To Lessen Serial Cardiac Readmissions and Death) Trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009 Dec 29;55:53-60 [20117364]

STARBRITE, 2007:

Shah MR, Califf RM, Nohria A, et al. STARBRITE: A randomized pilot trial of BNP-guided therapy in patients with advanced heart failure. [Abstract 2554] American Heart Association Scientific Sessions 2006; November 13, 2007

Troughton, 2000:

Troughton RW, Frampton CM, Yandle TG, Espiner EA, Nicholls MG, Richards AM Treatment of heart failure guided by plasma aminoterminal brain natriuretic peptide (N-BNP) concentrations. Lancet 2000;355:1126-30 [10791374]

BASEL, :

ongoing trial NCT00130611

van Kraaij, :

ongoing trial NCT00149422

RABBIT, :

ongoing trial NCT00206856

CUPID 2b, 2016:

Greenberg B, Butler J, Felker GM, Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Desai AS, Barnard D, Bouchard A, Jaski B, Lyon AR, Pogoda JM, Rudy JJ, Zsebo KM Calcium upregulation by percutaneous administration of gene therapy in patients with cardiac disease (CUPID 2): a randomised, multinational, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2b trial. Lancet 2016;387:1178-86 [26803443]

FOCUS-CCTRN, 2012:

Perin EC, Willerson JT, Pepine CJ, Henry TD, Ellis SG, Zhao DX, Silva GV, Lai D, Thomas JD, Kronenberg MW, Martin AD, Anderson RD, Traverse JH, Penn MS, Anwaruddin S, Hatzopoulos AK, Gee AP, Taylor DA, Cogle CR, Smith D, Westbrook L, Chen J, Handberg E, O Effect of Transendocardial Delivery of Autologous Bone Marrow Mononuclear Cells on Functional Capacity, Left Ventricular Function, and Perfusion in Chronic Heart Failure: The FOCUS-CCTRN Trial. JAMA 2012 Mar 24;: [22447880] 10.1001/jama.2012.418

Pokushalov (DOUBLON DIB), 2010:

Pokushalov E, Romanov A, Chernyavsky A, Larionov P, Terekhov I, Artyomenko S, Poveshenko O, Kliver E, Shirokova N, Karaskov A, Dib N Efficiency of intramyocardial injections of autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells in patients with ischemic heart failure: a randomized study. J Cardiovasc Transl Res 2010;3:160-8 [20560030] 10.1007/s12265-009-9123-8

Ang, 2008:

Ang KL, Chin D, Leyva F, Foley P, Kubal C, Chalil S, Srinivasan L, Bernhardt L, Stevens S, Shenje LT, Galianes M Randomized, controlled trial of intramuscular or intracoronary injection of autologous bone marrow cells into scarred myocardium during CABG versus CABG alone. Nat Clin Pract Cardiovasc Med 2008;5:663-70 [18711405] 10.1038/ncpcardio1321

Hendrikx, 2006:

Hendrikx M, Hensen K, Clijsters C, Jongen H, Koninckx R, Bijnens E, Ingels M, Jacobs A, Geukens R, Dendale P, Vijgen J, Dilling D, Steels P, Mees U, Rummens JL Recovery of regional but not global contractile function by the direct intramyocardial autologous bone marrow transplantation: results from a randomized controlled clinical trial. Circulation 2006;114:I101-7 [16820557] 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.000505

TOPCARE-CHD, 2006:

Assmus B, Honold J, Schchinger V, Britten MB, Fischer-Rasokat U, Lehmann R, Teupe C, Pistorius K, Martin H, Abolmaali ND, Tonn T, Dimmeler S, Zeiher AM Transcoronary transplantation of progenitor cells after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2006;355:1222-32 [16990385] 10.1056/NEJMoa051779

Yao, 2008:

Yao K, Huang R, Qian J, Cui J, Ge L, Li Y, Zhang F, Shi H, Huang D, Zhang S, Sun A, Zou Y, Ge J Administration of intracoronary bone marrow mononuclear cells on chronic myocardial infarction improves diastolic function. Heart 2008;94:1147-53 [18381377] 10.1136/hrt.2007.137919

Yao K, Huang RC, Ge L, Qian JY, Li YL, Xu SK, Zhang F, Zhang YQ, Niu YH, Shi JH, Zhang SH, Fan B, Wang QB, Sun AJ, Zou YZ, Ge JB [Observation on the safety: clinical trail on intracoronary autologous bone marrow mononuclear cells transplantation for acute myocardial infarction]. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi 2006 Jul;34:577-81 [17081355]

Manginas, 2007:

Manginas A, Goussetis E, Koutelou M, Karatasakis G, Peristeri I, Theodorakos A, Leontiadis E, Plessas N, Theodosaki M, Graphakos S, Cokkinos DV Pilot study to evaluate the safety and feasibility of intracoronary CD133(+) and CD133(-) CD34(+) cell therapy in patients with nonviable anterior myocardial infarction. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2007;69:773-81 [17394248] 10.1002/ccd.21023

Patel, 2005:

Patel AN, Geffner L, Vina RF, Saslavsky J, Urschel HC Jr, Kormos R, Benetti F Surgical treatment for congestive heart failure with autologous adult stem cell transplantation: a prospective randomized study. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005;130:1631-8 [16308009] 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2005.07.056

Perin, 2012:

Perin EC, Silva GV, Zheng Y, Gahremanpour A, Canales J, Patel D, Fernandes MR, Keller LH, Quan X, Coulter SA, Moore WH, Herlihy JP, Willerson JT Randomized, double-blind pilot study of transendocardial injection of autologous aldehyde dehydrogenase-bright stem cells in patients with ischemic heart failure. Am Heart J 2012;163:415-21, 421.e1 [22424012] 10.1016/j.ahj.2011.11.020

Vrtovec, 2011:

Vrtovec B, Poglajen G, Sever M, Lezaic L, Domanovic D, Cernelc P, Haddad F, Torre-Amione G Effects of intracoronary stem cell transplantation in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy. J Card Fail 2011;17:272-81 [21440864] 10.1016/j.cardfail.2010.11.007

Vrtovec, 2013:

Vrtovec B, Poglajen G, Lezaic L, Sever M, Domanovic D, Cernelc P, Socan A, Schrepfer S, Torre-Amione G, Haddad F, Wu JC Effects of intracoronary CD34+ stem cell transplantation in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy patients: 5-year follow-up. Circ Res 2013;112:165-73 [23065358] 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.112.276519

SCIPIO, 2011:

Bolli R, Chugh AR, D'Amario D, Loughran JH, Stoddard MF, Ikram S, Beache GM, Wagner SG, Leri A, Hosoda T, Sanada F, Elmore JB, Goichberg P, Cappetta D, Solankhi NK, Fahsah I, Rokosh DG, Slaughter MS, Kajstura J, Anversa P Cardiac stem cells in patients with ischaemic cardiomyopathy (SCIPIO): initial results of a randomised phase 1 trial. Lancet 2011;378:1847-57 [22088800]

Chugh AR, Beache GM, Loughran JH, Mewton N, Elmore JB, Kajstura J, Pappas P, Tatooles A, Stoddard MF, Lima JA, Slaughter MS, Anversa P, Bolli R Administration of cardiac stem cells in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy: the SCIPIO trial: surgical aspects and interim analysis of myocardial function and viability by magnetic resonance. Circulation 2012;126:S54-64 [22965994]

C CURE, 2013:

Bartunek J, Behfar A, Dolatabadi D, Vanderheyden M, Ostojic M, Dens J, El Nakadi B, Banovic M, Beleslin B, Vrolix M, Legrand V, Vrints C, Vanoverschel Cardiopoietic stem cell therapy in heart failure: the C-CURE (Cardiopoietic stem Cell therapy in heart failURE) multicenter randomized trial with lineage-specified biologics. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:2329-38 [23583246]

Bartunek J, Behfar A, Dolatabadi D, Vanderheyden M, Ostojic M, Dens J, El Nakadi B, Banovic M, Beleslin B, Vrolix M, Legrand V, Vrints C, Vanoverschelde JL, Crespo-Diaz R, Homsy C, Tendera M, Waldman S, Wijns W, Terzic A Cardiopoietic stem cell therapy in heart failure: the C-CURE (Cardiopoietic stem Cell therapy in heart failURE) multicenter randomized trial with lineage-specified biologics. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:2329-38 [23583246]

Mielewczik M, Cole GD, Nowbar AN, Schilling R, Whinnett ZI, Bordachar P, Wilmshurst P, Chambers JC, Olshansky B, Morgan J, Israel C, Sethi AS, van Houwelingen M, Cleland JG, Schmidt G, Francis DP The C-CURE Randomized Clinical Trial (Cardiopoietic stem Cell therapy in heart failURE). J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:2453 [24355589]

CADUCEUS, 2012:

Makkar RR, Smith RR, Cheng K, Malliaras K, Thomson LE, Berman D, Czer LS, Marbn L, Mendizabal A, Johnston PV, Russell SD, Schuleri KH, Lardo AC, Gerstenblith G, Marbn E Intracoronary cardiosphere-derived cells for heart regeneration after myocardial infarction (CADUCEUS): a prospective, randomised phase 1 trial. Lancet 2012;379:895-904 [22336189] 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60195-0

Enalapril CHF investigators, 1987:

Enalapril CHF Investigators Long-term ef- fects of enalapril in patients with congestive heart failure: a multicenter, placebo-controlled trial. Heart Failure. 1987;3:102-107

Rucinska-a (enalapril), 1991:

unpublished

Rucinska-b (enalapril), 1991:

unpublished

Dubach et al, 1997:

Dubach P, Myers J, Dziekan G, Goebbels U, Reinhart W, Muller P, Buser P, Stulz P, Vogt P, Ratti R Effect of high intensity exercise training on central hemodynamic responses to exercise in men with reduced left ventricular function. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997 Jun;29:1591-8 [9180124]

Giannuzzi et al, 1997:

Giannuzzi P, Temporelli PL, Corra U, Gattone M, Giordano A, Tavazzi L Attenuation of unfavorable remodeling by exercise training in postinfarction patients with left ventricular dysfunction: results of the Exercise in Left Ventricular Dysfunction (ELVD) trial. Circulation 1997 Sep 16;96:1790-7 [9323063]

Belardinelli et al, 1999:

Belardinelli R, Georgiou D, Cianci G, Purcaro A Randomized, controlled trial of long-term moderate exercise training in chronic heart failure: effects on functional capacity, quality of life, and clinical outcome. Circulation 1999 Mar 9;99:1173-82 [10069785]

Hambrecht et al, 1995:

Hambrecht R, Niebauer J, Fiehn E, Klberer B, Offner B, Hauer K, Riede U, Schlierf G, Kbler W, Schuler G Physical training in patients with stable chronic heart failure: effects on cardiorespiratory fitness and ultrastructural abnormalities of leg muscles. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;25:1239-49 [7722116]

ExTraMATCH, 2004:

Kiilavuori et al, 2000:

Kiilavuori K, Naveri H, Salmi T, Harkonen M The effect of physical training on skeletal muscle in patients with chronic heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail 2000 Mar;2:53-63 [10742704]

McKelvie et al, 2002:

McKelvie RS, Teo KK, Roberts R, McCartney N, Humen D, Montague T, Hendrican K, Yusuf S Effects of exercise training in patients with heart failure: the Exercise Rehabilitation Trial (EXERT). Am Heart J 2002 Jul;144:23-30 [12094184]

Zanelli et al, 1997:

Wielenga et al, 1999:

Wielenga RP, Huisveld IA, Bol E, Dunselman PH, Erdman RA, Baselier MR, Mosterd WL Safety and effects of physical training in chronic heart failure. Results of the Chronic Heart Failure and Graded Exercise study (CHANGE) Eur Heart J 1999 Jun;20:872-9 [10329092]

Willenheimer et al, 1998:

Willenheimer R, Erhardt L, Cline C, Rydberg E, Israelsson B Exercise training in heart failure improves quality of life and exercise capacity. Eur Heart J 1998 May;19:774-81 [9717012]

Chatterjee, 1980:

Chatterjee K, Rouleau JL, Massie BM Hydralazine in chronic CHF. Acta Med Scand Suppl 1981;652:99-113 [6949469]

CAuSMIC, 2005:

Dib N, Michler RE, Pagani FD, Wright S, Kereiakes DJ, Lengerich R, Binkley P, Buchele D, Anand I, Swingen C, Di Carli MF, Thomas JD, Jaber WA, Opie SR, Campbell A, McCarthy P, Yeager M, Dilsizian V, Griffith BP, Korn R, Kreuger SK, Ghazoul M, MacLellan WR Safety and feasibility of autologous myoblast transplantation in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy: four-year follow-up. Circulation 2005;112:1748-55 [16172284] 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.547810

Dib N, Dinsmore J, Lababidi Z, White B, Moravec S, Campbell A, Rosenbaum A, Seyedmadani K, Jaber WA, Rizenhour CS, Diethrich E One-year follow-up of feasibility and safety of the first U.S., randomized, controlled study using 3-dimensional guided catheter-based delivery of autologous skeletal myoblasts for ischemic cardiomyopathy (CAuSMIC study). JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2009;2:9-16 [19463392] 10.1016/j.jcin.2008.11.003

SEISMIC, 2011:

Duckers HJ, Houtgraaf J, Hehrlein C, Schofer J, Waltenberger J, Gershlick A, Bartunek J, Nienaber C, Macaya C, Peters N, Smits P, Siminiak T, van Mieghem W, Legrand V, Serruys PW Final results of a phase IIa, randomised, open-label trial to evaluate the percutaneous intramyocardial transplantation of autologous skeletal myoblasts in congestive heart failure patients: the SEISMIC trial. EuroIntervention 2011;6:805-12 [21252013] 10.4244/EIJV6I7A139

Gheorghiade, 1991:

unpublished

Georghiade M, Hall V, Goldberg Ad, Levine TB, Goldstein S.q Long term clinical and neurohumoral effects of nicardipine in patients with severe heart failure on maintenance therapy with angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (abstr). J Am Coll Cardiol 1991;17:274A.

Abrams, 1993:

unpublished

Abrams J, Bristow MR, Chiang Y. Double-blind evaluation of the safety and efficacy of nicardipine in patients with advanced chronic heart failure (abstr). J Am Coll Cardiol 1993;21:377A.

Swedberg, 1991:

Swedberg K, Amtorp 0, Gundersen T, Remes J, Nilsson B. Is maximal exercise testing a useful method to evaluate treatment of moderate heart failure? Circulation. 1991;57:226. Abstract

Hong, 2005:

Hong YJ, Jeong MH, Hyun DW, Hur SH, Kim KB, Kim W, Lim SY, Lee SH, Hong SN, Kang DG, Yun KH, Kim KH, Lee YS, Park HW, Kim JH, Ahn YK, Cho JG, Park JC, Kang JC Prognostic significance of simvastatin therapy in patients with ischemic heart failure who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 2005;95:619-22 [15721103]

Cicoira, 2002:

Cicoira M, Zanolla L, Rossi A, Golia G, Franceschini L, Brighetti G, Marino P, Zardini P, Long-term, dose-dependent effects of spironolactone on left ventricular function and exercise tolerance in patients with chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:304-10. [12106936]

Cicoira, 2004:

Cicoira M, Rossi A, Bonapace S, Zanolla L, Perrot A, Francis DP, Golia G, Franceschini L, Osterziel KJ, Zardini P, Effects of ACE gene insertion/deletion polymorphism on response to spironolactone in patients with chronic heart failure. Am J Med 2004;116:657-61. [15121491] 10.1016/j.amjmed.2003.12.033

Ramires, 2000:

Ramires FJ, Mansur A, Coelho O, Maranho M, Gruppi CJ, Mady C, Ramires JA, Effect of spironolactone on ventricular arrhythmias in congestive heart failure secondary to idiopathic dilated or to ischemic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 2000;85:1207-11. [10802002]

Patwala, 2009:

Patwala AY, Woods PR, Sharp L, Goldspink DF, Tan LB, Wright DJ Maximizing patient benefit from cardiac resynchronization therapy with the addition of structured exercise training: a randomized controlled study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:2332-9 [19539142]

HF-ACTION, 2008:

O'Connor CM, Whellan DJ, Lee KL, Keteyian SJ, Cooper LS, Ellis SJ, Leifer ES, Kraus WE, Kitzman DW, Blumenthal JA, Rendall DS, Miller NH, Fleg JL, Schulman KA, McKelvie RS, Zannad F, Pia IL Efficacy and safety of exercise training in patients with chronic heart failure: HF-ACTION randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2009 Apr 8;301:1439-50 [19351941]

Flynn KE, Pia IL, Whellan DJ, Lin L, Blumenthal JA, Ellis SJ, Fine LJ, Howlett JG, Keteyian SJ, Kitzman DW, Kraus WE, Miller NH, Schulman KA, Spertus JA, O'Connor CM, Weinfurt KP Effects of exercise training on health status in patients with chronic heart failure: HF-ACTION randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2009 Apr 8;301:1451-9 [19351942]

PARADIGM-HF, 2014:

McMurray JJ, Packer M, Desai AS, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP, Rizkala AR, Rouleau JL, Shi VC, Solomon SD, Swedberg K, Zile MR Angiotensin-Neprilysin Inhibition versus Enalapril in Heart Failure. N Engl J Med 2014 Aug 30;: [25176015] 10.1056/NEJMoa1409077

HEAAL, 2009:

Konstam MA, Poole-Wilson PA, Dickstein K, Drexler H, Justice SJ, Komajda M, Malbecq W, Martinez FA, Neaton JD, Riegger GA, Guptha S Eur J Heart Fail 2008;10:899-906 [18768350]

Konstam MA, Neaton JD, Dickstein K, Drexler H, Komajda M, Martinez FA, Riegger GA, Malbecq W, Smith RD, Guptha S, Poole-Wilson PA Effects of high-dose versus low-dose losartan on clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure (HEAAL study): a randomised, double-blind trial. Lancet 2009 Nov 28;374:1840-8 [19922995] 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61913-9

GESICA, 1994:

Doval HC, Nul DR, Grancelli HO, Perrone SV, Bortman GR, Curiel R Randomised trial of low-dose amiodarone in severe congestive heart failure. Grupo de Estudio de la Sobrevida en la Insuficiencia Cardiaca en Argentina (GESICA) Lancet 1994;344:493-8 [7914611]

EPAMSA, 1985:

Garguichevich JJ, Ramos JL, Gambarte A, Gentile A, Hauad S, Scapin O, Sirena J, Tibaldi M, Toplikar J Effect of amiodarone therapy on mortality in patients with left ventricular dysfunction and asymptomatic complex ventricular arrhythmias: Argentine Pilot Study of Sudden Death and Amiodarone (EPAMSA). Am Heart J 1995;130:494-500 [7661066]

WASH (aspirin), 2004:

Cleland JG, Findlay I, Jafri S, Sutton G, Falk R, Bulpitt C, Prentice C, Ford I, Trainer A, Poole-Wilson PA, The Warfarin/Aspirin Study in Heart failure (WASH): a randomized trial comparing antithrombotic strategies for patients with heart failure. Am Heart J 2004;148:157-64. [15215806] 10.1016/j.ahj.2004.03.010

WASH (warfarin), 2004:

Cleland JG, Findlay I, Jafri S, Sutton G, Falk R, Bulpitt C, Prentice C, Ford I, Trainer A, Poole-Wilson PA, The Warfarin/Aspirin Study in Heart failure (WASH): a randomized trial comparing antithrombotic strategies for patients with heart failure. Am Heart J 2004;148:157-64. [15215806] 10.1016/j.ahj.2004.03.010

VALIDD, 2007:

American College of Cardiology Annual Scientific Session, New Orleans, LA, March 2007. [0]

Solomon SD, Janardhanan R, Verma A, Bourgoun M, Daley WL, Purkayastha D, Lacourcire Y, Hippler SE, Fields H, Naqvi TZ, Mulvagh SL, Arnold JM, Thomas JD, Zile MR, Aurigemma GP Effect of angiotensin receptor blockade and antihypertensive drugs on diastolic function in patients with hypertension and diastolic dysfunction: a randomised trial. Lancet 2007 Jun 23;369:2079-87 [17586303]

ASTRONAUT, 2013:

Cheitlin, 1991:

Cheitlin MD, Byrd R, Benowitz N, Liu E, Modin G Amiloride improves hemodynamics in patients with chronic congestive heart failure treated with chronic digoxin and diuretics. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 1991;5:719-25 [1888694]

Nicklas, 1991:

Nicklas JM, McKenna WJ, Stewart RA, Mickelson JK, Das SK, Schork MA, Krikler SJ, Quain LA, Morady F, Pitt B Prospective, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of low-dose amiodarone in patients with severe heart failure and asymptomatic frequent ventricular ectopy. Am Heart J 1991;122:1016-21 [1927852]

Hamer, 1989:

Hamer AW, Arkles LB, Johns JA Beneficial effects of low dose amiodarone in patients with congestive cardiac failure: a placebo-controlled trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 1989;14:1768-74 [2685081]

STATCHF, 1995:

Singh SN, Fletcher RD, Fisher SG, Singh BN, Lewis HD, Deedwania PC, Massie BM, Colling C, Lazzeri D Amiodarone in patients with congestive heart failure and asymptomatic ventricular arrhythmia. Survival Trial of Antiarrhythmic Therapy in Congestive Heart Failure. N Engl J Med 1995;333:77-82 [7539890]

PRAISE, 1996:

Packer M, O'Connor CM, Ghali JK, Pressler ML, Carson PE, Belkin RN, Miller AB, Neuberg GW, Frid D, Wertheimer JH, Cropp AB, DeMets DL Effect of amlodipine on morbidity and mortality in severe chronic heart failure. Prospective Randomized Amlodipine Survival Evaluation Study Group. N Engl J Med 1996 Oct 10;335:1107-14 [8813041]

PRAISE II , 2000:

unpublished

Cabell CH, Trichon BH, Velazquez EJ, Dumesnil JG, Anstrom KJ, Ryan T, Miller AB, Belkin RN, Cropp AB, O'Connor CM, Jollis JG Importance of echocardiography in patients with severe nonischemic heart failure: the second Prospective Randomized Amlodipine Survival Evaluation (PRAISE-2) echocardiographic study. Am Heart J 2004;147:151-7 [14691434]

AMTG, 1985:

Massie B, Bourassa M, DiBianco R, Hess M, Konstam M, Likoff M, Packer M Long-term oral administration of amrinone for congestive heart failure: lack of efficacy in a multicenter controlled trial. Circulation 1985 May;71:963-71 [3886191]

8 miscellaneous

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
ARBs vs control			
Suzuki , 2008 n=183/183 follow-up:	ARBs (valsartan, candesartan, and losartan) versus no ARBs	patients with diabetes and chronic kidney disease on dialysis	Parallel groups open
candesartan vs control			
Takahashi , 2006 n=43/37 follow-up: 19.4 months	candesartan versus control	patients on chronic haemodialysis in stable condition and with no clinical evidence of cardiac disorders	Parallel groups open
candesartan vs conventional treatment			
E-COST , 2005 n=1053/995 follow-up:	candesartan, 2 to 12 mg daily versus conventional antihypertensive drugs other than angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors or ARBs	Japanese essential hypertensive subjects (sitting blood pressure 140-180/90-110 mmHg) aged 35-79 years	Parallel groups single-blind Japan
E-COST-R , 2005 n=69/72 follow-up:	candesartan versus conventional treatment	hypertensive subjects 60 to 75 years old with non-diabetic chronic renal insufficiency	Parallel groups open
HIJ-CREATE , 2009 n=1024/1025 follow-up: 4.2 y (median)	angiotensin II receptor blocker-based therapy versus non-angiotensin II receptor blocker-based therapy	patients with angiographically documented coronary artery disease and hypertension	Parallel groups open Japan
candesartan vs placebo			
TROPHY , 2006 [NCT00227318] n=409/400 follow-up: 4y	candesartan during 2y followed by 2y of placebo versus placebo	subjects with repeated measurements of systolic pressure of 130 to 139 mm Hg and diastolic pressure of 89 mm Hg or lower, or systolic pressure of 139 mm Hg or lower and diastolic pressure of 85 to 89 mm Hg	Parallel groups double-blind USA

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
SCOPE , 2003 n=2477/2460 follow-up: 3.7 y (mean)	candesartan, 816 mg once daily (target 160/90) versus placebo	patients aged 7089 years, with systolic blood pressure 160 179 mmHg, and/or diastolic blood pressure 9099 mmHg, and a Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) test score >24	Parallel groups double-blind 15 countries
irbesartan vs placebo			
IDNT (irbesartan vs pbo) , 2001 n=579/569 follow-up: 2.6 y	Irbesartan 300mg/d (target 135/85) versus placebo	hypertensive patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups double-blind worldwide
IRMA 2 , 2001 n=404/207 follow-up: 2 years	irbesartan 150 mg daily or 300 mg daily versus placebo	hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria	Parallel groups double-blind multinational
losartan vs placebo			
RENAAL , 2001 n=751/762 follow-up: 3.4 years	lLosartan 50 to 100 mg once daily versus placebo	patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy	Parallel groups double-blind
olmesartan vs placebo			
ROADMAP , 2010 [NCT00185159] n=2232/2215 follow-up: 3.2 y	olmesartan at 40 mg/day versus placebo	patients with diabetes and at least one additional cardiovascular risk factor, but no evidence of renal dysfunction	Parallel groups double-blind Europe (19 countries)
ORIENT [NCT00141453] n=282/284 follow-up:	olmesartan versus placebo	patients with diabetic Nephropathy and overt proteinuria secondary to type 2 diabetes mellitus	Parallel groups double-blind Japan, Hong Kong
telmisartan vs placebo			
TRANSCEND , 2008 [NCT00153101] n=2954/2972 follow-up: median 56 months (IQR 51-64)	telmisartan 80 mg/day versus placebo	high-risk patients intolerant to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors	Parallel groups double blind 40 countries
PROPHESS , 2008 [NCT00153062] n=10146/10186 follow-up: 2.5 y	telmisartan 80 mg daily versus placebo	patients who recently had an ischemic stroke	Factorial plan double blind 35 countries
candesartan vs amlodipine			
CASE-J , 2008 n=2354/2349 follow-up: 3.2 years	candesartan-based regimen versus amlodipine-based regimen	high-risk Japanese hypertensive patients	Parallel groups open (blinded assessment) Japan
irbesartan vs amlodipine			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
IDNT (irbesartan vs amlodipine) , 2001 n=579/567 follow-up: 26y	Irbesartan 300mg/d (with a target of 135/85) versus amlodipine 10mg/d (with a target of 135/85)	hypertensive patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups double-blind worldwide
valsartan vs amlodipine			
VALUE , 2004 [NCT00129233] n=7649/7596 follow-up: 4.2 y (mean)	valsartan based regimen versus amlodipine based regimen	patients, aged 50 years or older with treated or untreated hypertension and high risk of cardiac events	Parallel groups Double blind 31 countries
losartan vs atenolol			
LIFE , 2002 n=4605/4588 follow-up: 4.8 y (mean)	losartan versus atenolol	patients aged 5580 years, with previously treated or untreated hypertension (sitting blood pressure 160200/95115 mm Hg) and ECG signs of LVH.	Parallel groups Double blind USA, Europe
telmisartan vs enalapril			
DETAIL , 2004 n=120/130 follow-up: 5 year	telmisartan 80 mg daily versus enalapril 20 mg daily	subjects with type 2 diabetes and early nephropathy	Parallel groups double-blind
candesartan vs hydrochlorothiazide			
ALPINE , 2003 n=197/196 follow-up: 1 year	candesartan versus hydrochlorothiazide	newly detected hypertensives	Parallel groups double-blind Sweden
olmesartan 40 mg vs olmesartan 20 mg plus a calcium-channel blocker			
OSCAR , 2011 [NCT00134160] n=578/586 follow-up:	high-dose olmesartan 40 mg per day versus 20-mg/day olmesartan comined with standard dose of amlodipine or azelnidipine	high-risk elderly Japanese hypertension patients	Parallel groups Japan
telmisartan vs ramipril			
ONTARGET (telmisartan alone) , 2008 [NCT00153101] n=8542/8576 follow-up: 4.7y	telmisartan 80mg daily versus ramipril 10 mg daily	patients patients with coronary, peripheral, or cerebrovascular disease or diabetes with end-organ damage	Parallel groups double blind 40 countries
telmisartan + ramipril vs ramipril			
ONTARGET (association vs ramipril) , 2008 [NCT00153101] n=8502/8576 follow-up: 4.7y	telmisartan 80mg + ramipril 10mg daily versus ramipril 10 mg daily	patients patients with coronary, peripheral, or cerebrovascular disease or diabetes with end-organ damage	Parallel groups double blind 40 countries
telmisartan + ramipril vs telmisartan			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
ONTARGET (association vs telmisartan) , 2008 [NCT00153101] n=8502/8542 follow-up: 4.7y	telmisartan 80mg + ramipril 10mg daily versus telmisartan 80 mg daily	patients patients with coronary, peripheral, or cerebrovascular disease or diabetes with end-organ damage	Parallel groups double blind 40 countries

More details and results :

- angiotensin-receptor blockers for miscellaneous in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q425

References

Suzuki, 2008:

Suzuki H, Kanno Y, Sugahara S, Ikeda N, Shoda J, Takenaka T, Inoue T, Araki R Effect of angiotensin receptor blockers on cardiovascular events in patients undergoing hemodialysis: an open-label randomized controlled trial. Am J Kidney Dis 2008;52:501-6 [18653268] 10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.04.031

Takahashi, 2006:

Takahashi A, Takase H, Toriyama T, Sugiura T, Kurita Y, Ueda R, Dohi Y Candesartan, an angiotensin II type-1 receptor blocker, reduces cardiovascular events in patients on chronic haemodialysis–a randomized study. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2006;21:2507-12 [16766543] 10.1093/ndt/gfl293

E-COST, 2005:

Suzuki H, Kanno Y Effects of candesartan on cardiovascular outcomes in Japanese hypertensive patients. Hypertens Res 2005;28:307-14 [16138560] 10.1291/hypres.28.307

E-COST-R, 2005:

Nakamura T, Kanno Y, Takenaka T, Suzuki H An angiotensin receptor blocker reduces the risk of congestive heart failure in elderly hypertensive patients with renal insufficiency. Hypertens Res 2005;28:415-23 [16156505] 10.1291/hypres.28.415

HIJ-CREATE, 2009:

Kasanuki H, Hagiwara N, Hosoda S, Sumiyoshi T, Honda T, Haze K, Nagashima M, Yamaguchi J, Origasa H, Urashima M, Ogawa H Angiotensin II receptor blocker-based vs. non-angiotensin II receptor blocker-based therapy in patients with angiographically documented coronary artery disease and hypertension: the Heart Institute of Japan Candesartan Randomized Trial for Evaluation in Coronary Artery Disease (HIJ-CREATE). Eur Heart J 2009;30:1203-12 [19346521] 10.1093/eurheartj/ehp101

TROPHY, 2006:

Julius S, Nesbitt SD, Egan BM, Weber MA, Michelson EL, Kaciroti N, Black HR, Grimm RH Jr, Messerli FH, Oparil S, Schork MA Feasibility of treating prehypertension with an angiotensin-receptor blocker. N Engl J Med 2006;354:1685-97 [16537662] 10.1056/NEJMoa060838

SCOPE, 2003:

Saxby BK, Harrington F, Wesnes KA, McKeith IG, Ford GA Candesartan and cognitive decline in older patients with hypertension: a substudy of the SCOPE trial. Neurology 2008;70:1858-66 [18458219] 10.1212/01.wnl.0000311447.85948.78

Lithell H, Hansson L, Skoog I, Elmfeldt D, Hofman A, Olofsson B, Trenkwalder P, Zanchetti A The Study on Cognition and Prognosis in the Elderly (SCOPE): principal results of a randomized double-blind intervention trial. J Hypertens 2003;21:875-86 [12714861] 10.1097/01.hjh.0000059028.82022.89

IDNT (irbesartan vs pbo), 2001:

Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:851-60 [11565517]

Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:851-60 [11565517]

IRMA 2, 2001:

Parving HH, Lehnert H, Brchner-Mortensen J, Gomis R, Andersen S, Arner P The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:870-8 [11565519] 10.1056/NEJMoa011489

RENAAL, 2001:

Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D, Keane WF, Mitch WE, Parving HH, Remuzzi G, Snapinn SM, Zhang Z, Shahinfar S Effects of losartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2001;345:861-9 [11565518]

ROADMAP, 2010:

Ritz E, Viberti GC, Ruilope LM, Rabelink AJ, Izzo JL Jr, Katayama S, Ito S, Mimran A, Menne J, Rump LC, Januszewicz A, Haller H Determinants of urinary albumin excretion within the normal range in patients with type 2 diabetes: the Randomised Olmesartan and Diabetes Microalbuminuria Prevention (ROADMAP) study. Diabetologia 2010;53:49-57 [19876613] 10.1007/s00125-009-1577-3

Haller H, Ito S, Izzo JL Jr, Januszewicz A, Katayama S, Menne J, Mimran A, Rabelink TJ, Ritz E, Ruilope LM, Rump LC, Viberti G Olmesartan for the delay or prevention of microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2011 Mar 10;364:907-17 [21388309]

ORIENT, :

TRANSCEND, 2008:

PROPHESS, 2008:

Yusuf S, Diener HC, Sacco RL, Cotton D, Ounpuu S, Lawton WA, Palesch Y, Martin RH, Albers GW, Bath P, Bornstein N, Chan BP, Chen ST, Cunha L, Dahlf B, De Keyser J, Donnan GA, Estol C, Gorelick P, Gu V, Hermansson K, Hilbrich L, Kaste M, Lu C, Machnig T, — N Engl J Med 2008;359:1225-37 [18753639] 10.1056/NEJMoa0804593

CASE-J, 2008:

Ogihara T, Nakao K, Fukui T, Fukiyama K, Ueshima K, Oba K, Sato T, Saruta T Effects of candesartan compared with amlodipine in hypertensive patients with high cardiovascular risks: candesartan antihypertensive survival evaluation in Japan trial. Hypertension 2008 Feb;51:393-8 [18172059] 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.107.098475

IDNT (irbesartan vs amlodipine), 2001:

Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2001;345:851-60 [11565517]

VALUE, 2004:

Julius S, Kjeldsen SE, Weber M, Brunner HR, Ekman S, Hansson L, Hua T, Laragh J, McInnes GT, Mitchell L, Plat F, Schork A, Smith B, Zanchetti A Outcomes in hypertensive patients at high cardiovascular risk treated with regimens based on valsartan or amlodipine: the VALUE randomised trial. Lancet 2004 Jun 19;363:2022-31 [15207952]

LIFE, 2002:

Dahlof B, Devereux RB, Kjeldsen SE, Julius S, Beevers G, de Faire U, Fyhrquist F, Ibsen H, Kristiansson K, Lederballe-Pedersen O, Lindholm LH, Nieminen MS, Omvik P, Oparil S, Wedel H Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in the Losartan Intervention For Endpoint reduction in hypertension study (LIFE): a randomised trial against atenolol. Lancet 2002 Mar 23;359:995-1003 [11937178]

DETAIL, 2004:

Barnett AH, Bain SC, Bouter P, Karlberg B, Madsbad S, Jervell J, Mustonen J Angiotensin-receptor blockade versus converting-enzyme inhibition in type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1952-61 [15516696] 10.1056/NEJMoa042274

ALPINE, 2003:

Lindholm LH, Persson M, Alaupovic P, Carlberg B, Svensson A, Samuelsson O Metabolic outcome during 1 year in newly detected hypertensives: results of the Antihypertensive Treatment and Lipid Profile in a North of Sweden Efficacy Evaluation (ALPINE study). J Hypertens 2003;21:1563-74 [12872052] 10.1097/01.hjh.0000084723.53355.76

OSCAR, 2011:

Ogawa H, Kim-Mitsuyama S, Jinnouchi T, Matsui K, Arakawa K Rationale, design and patient baseline characteristics of OlmeSartan and calcium antagonists randomized (OSCAR) study: a study comparing the incidence of cardiovascular events between high-dose angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB) monotherapy and combination therapy of ARB with calcium channel blocker in Japanese elderly high-risk hypertensive patients (ClinicalTrials. gov no. NCT00134160). Hypertens Res 2009;32:575-80 [19444280] 10.1038/hr.2009.60

ONTARGET (telmisartan alone), 2008:

Yusuf S, Teo KK, Pogue J, Dyal L, Copland I, Schumacher H, Dagenais G, Sleight P, Anderson C Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. N Engl J Med 2008 Apr 10;358:1547-59 [18378520] 10.1056/NEJMoa0801317

Verdecchia P, Sleight P, Mancia G, Fagard R, Trimarco B, Schmieder RE, Kim JH, Jennings G, Jansky P, Chen JH, Liu L, Gao P, Probstfield J, Teo K, Yusuf S Effects of telmisartan, ramipril, and their combination on left ventricular hypertrophy in individuals at high vascular risk in the Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and

in Combination With Ramipril Global End Point Trial and the Telmisartan Randomized Assessment Study in ACE Intolerant Subjects With Cardiovascular Disease. Circulation 2009;120:1380-9 [19770395]

ONTARGET (association vs ramipril), 2008:

Yusuf S, Teo KK, Pogue J, Dyal L, Copland I, Schumacher H, Dagenais G, Sleight P, Anderson C Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. N Engl J Med 2008 Apr 10;358:1547-59 [18378520]

ONTARGET (association vs telmisartan), 2008:

Yusuf S, Teo KK, Pogue J, Dyal L, Copland I, Schumacher H, Dagenais G, Sleight P, Anderson C Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. N Engl J Med 2008 Apr 10;358:1547-59 [18378520]

9 atrial fibrillation

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
losartan vs atenolol			
LIFE (AF ancillary study) , 2005 n=4298/4182 follow-up: 4.8 y	losartan versus atenolol	hypertension	
aspirin vs control			
Japanese AF Trial , 2006 n=426/445 follow-up:	aspirin at 150 to 200 mg per day versus no antiplatelet or anticoagulant therapy	patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation	
LASAF(aspirin vs no treatment) , 1999 n=NA follow-up:	aspirin:125mg/day(group A1);125mg on alternate days(group A2) versus no control treatment(group C)	-	Open
atorvastatin vs control			
Ozaydin , 2006 n=24/24 follow-up: 3 months	atorvastatin 10 mg versus standard therapy	Persistent AF and scheduled EC	open
enalapril vs control			
Ueng , 2003 n=70/75 follow-up: 270 days (range 61-575d)	enalapril versus control	atrial fibrillation	Parallel groups open
irbesartan vs control			
Madrid , 2002 n=79/75 follow-up: 254 d (range 60-710)	irbesartan versus control	atrial fibrillation	Parallel groups open
pravastatin vs control			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Tveit , 2004 n=51/51 follow-up: 65279;6 weeks	pravastatin65279; 40 mg versus standard therapy	65279;AF >48 h and scheduled EC	
warfarin low dose vs control			
BAATAF (warfarin vs no treatment) , 1990 [NCT00000517] n=212/208 follow-up: 2.2 years	warfarin low dose (target INR:1.5-2.7) versus no placebo.people received no treatment but could choose to take aspirin.	non rheumatic AF	Parallel groups Open
warfarin low dose + aspirin vs control			
SAFT(warfarin low dose + aspirin vs no treatment) , 2003 n=334/334 follow-up: 33 months	warfarin low dose (1.25 mg/d) + aspirin 75 mg/d versus no treatment	Low-medium risk patients with non valvular atrial fibrillation.	Parallel groups Open Sweden
warfarin standard dose vs control			
AFASAK (warfarin standard dose vs control) , 1989 n=335/336 follow-up: 2 years	warfarin standard dose(target INR:2.8-4.2) versus control	chronic non rheumatic AF	Parallel groups Open Denmark
SPAF (warfarin standard dose) , 1991 n=210/211 follow-up: 1.3 years	warfarin standard dose(target INR:2.0-4.5) versus control	-	Parallel groups Open USA
flecainide vs no treatment			
Van Gelder , 1989 n=36/37 follow-up: 12 months	Flecainide 200-300 mg/d versus no treatment	Any persistent AF or AFl	Parallel groups open
amiodarone vs placebo			
Channer , 2004 n=61/38 follow-up: 12 months	Amiodarone 200 mg/d versus placebo	patients with Persistent AF	Parallel groups double blind
GEFACA , 2001 n=35/15 follow-up: 16 months	Amiodarone 200 mg/d versus placebo	Persistent AF lasting >2 months	Parallel groups double blind
Kochiadakis (amiodarone vs placebo) , 2000 n=65/60 follow-up: 24 months	Amiodarone 200 mg/d versus placebo	Any documented symptomatic previous or persistent AF	Parallel groups single

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
SAFE-T (amiodarone vs placebo) , 2005 n=267/137 follow-up: 12 months	Amiodarone 300 mg/d versus placebo	Persistent AF lasting 3 days to 1 year	Parallel groups double blind
aprindine vs placebo			
SMART , 2002 n=47/47 follow-up: 6 months	Aprindine 40 mg/d versus placebo	Symptomatic paroxysmal AF having >1 episode monthly or persistent AF lasting <1 month	Parallel groups double blind
aspirin vs placebo			
EAFT , 1993 n=404/378 follow-up: 2.3 years	aspirin 300 mg/d versus placebo	Patient with non rheumatic AF and recent TIA or minor ischaemic stroke(secondary prevention).	Parallel groups Double blind europe,israel
AFASAK (aspirin vs placebo) , 1989 n=336/336 follow-up: 2 years	aspirin 75 mg/d versus placebo	patients with chronic non-rheumatic atrial fibrillation	Parallel groups Double aveugle Denmark
SPAF (aspirin , warfarin eligible arm) , 1991 n=206/211 follow-up: 1.3 years	aspirin 325mg/d versus placebo	nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation,warfarin eligible patients	Parallel groups Double blind USA
SPAF (aspirin,warfarin ineligible arm) , 1991 n=346/357 follow-up: 1.3 years	aspirin 325mg/d versus placebo	nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation, warfarin ineligible patients	Parallel groups Double blind USA
Azimilide vs placebo			
ASAP , 2003 n=891/489 follow-up: 6 months	Azimilide various doses (35 to 125 mg/d) after pharmacological or electrical cardioversion versus placebo	patients with previous AF documented in the last 2 years	Parallel groups double blind
candesartan vs placebo			
CAPRAF (Tveit) , 2007 [NCT00130975] n=86/85 follow-up: 6 months	candesartan 8 mg once daily for 3-6 weeks before and candesartan 16 mg once daily for 6 months after electrical cardioversion versus placebo	patients undergoing electrical cardioversion for persistent AF	Parallel groups double blind
CHARM (AF ancillary study) , 2005 n=3225/3221 follow-up: 3.17 y	candesartan versus placebo	Heart failure	
disopyramide vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Karlson , 1998 n=46/46 follow-up: 12 months	Disopyramide 500 mg/d versus palcebo	Persistent AF between 6 weeks and 1 year	Parallel groups open
Lloyd (Disopyramide vs placebo) , 1984 n=29/25 follow-up: 6 months	Disopyramide 450 mg/d , versus placebo	Persistent AF lasting 1 month to 3 years	Parallel groups double blind
dronedarone vs placebo			
PALLAS , 2011 [NCT01151137] n=1577/1572 follow-up: 3 years	Dronedarone versus placebo	patients with permanent atrial fibrillation and additional risk factors	Parallel groups double-blind
ADONIS , 2007 [NCT00259376] n=417/208 follow-up: 12 months	dronedarone 400 mg twice daily versus placebo	patients with at least one episode of atrial fibrillation in the preceding 3 months, and in sinus rhythm for at least 1 hour before randomization	Parallel groups double blind United States, Canada, Australia, South Africa, Argentina
ATHENA , 2009 [NCT00174785] n=2301/2327 follow-up: 21.5 months	dronedarone 400 mg twice a day versus placebo	patients (>70y) with paroxysmal or persistent atrial fibrillation and additional risk factors for death	Parallel groups double blind 37 countries
DAFNE , 2003 n=151/48 follow-up:	Dronedarone various doses (800, 1200, 1600 mg/d) versus placebo	patients with Persistent AF	Parallel groups double blind
ERATO , 2008 [18760136] n=85/89 follow-up: 6 months	dronedarone 400 mg twice daily versus placebo	patients with permanent AF	Parallel groups double blind
EURIDIS , 2007 [NCT00259428] n=411/201 follow-up: 12 months	dronedarone 400 mg twice daily versus placebo	patients with at least one episode of atrial fibrillation in the preceding 3 months, and in sinus rhythm for at least 1 hour before randomization	Parallel groups double blind 12 European countries
EURIDIS ADONIS (pooled analysis) , 2009 n=828/409 follow-up: 12 months	Dronedarone 800 mg/d versus placebo	AF documented in the previous 3 months	Parallel groups double blind Europe, US, canada, Australia, South A, Argentina
enalapril vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
SOLVD (AF ancillary study) , 2003 n=186/188 follow-up: 2.9 y	enalapril versus placebo	Heart failure	
flecainide vs placebo			
Carunchio (flecainide vs placebo) , 1995 n=20/26 follow-up: 12 months	Flecainide 200 mg/d versus placebo	patients with recurrent AF with >3 episodes in previous 1 year	Parallel groups open
irbesartan vs placebo			
ACTIVE I , 2009 [NCT00249795] n=4518/4498 follow-up: 4.1 years	irbesartan 300mg once daily versus placebo	patients with atrial fibrillation and with a systolic blood pressure of at least 110 mmHg associated with at least one major risk of vascular events	Factorial plan double blind
n-3 PUFA vs placebo			
P-OM3 (Kowey) , 2010 n=663 follow-up:	omega-3 PUFA capsules at 8 g/day for the first seven days followed by 4 g/day for total of 24 weeks versus placebo	outpatients with documented symptomatic paroxysmal or persistent AF without significant structural heart disease and initially in sinus rhythm	Parallel groups double-blind
oral anticoagulant vs placebo			
EAFT , 1993 n=225/214 follow-up: 2.3 years	Oral anticoagulation standard dose(target INR 3.0 (2.5-4.0)) the choice of anticoagulant type was free but most physicians choose coumarin derivatives. versus placebo	Patient with non rheumatic AF and recent TIA or minor ischaemic stroke(secondary prevention).	Parallel groups Open
pilsicainide vs placebo			
Okishige , 2000 n=52/10 follow-up:	Pilsicainide 150 mg/d/d versus placebo	Persistent AF lasting >6 months	Parallel groups single
propafenone vs placebo			
Bellandi (propafenone vs placebo) , 2001 n=102/92 follow-up: 12 months	Propafenone 900 mg/d/d after pharmacological or electrical cardioversion versus placebo	patients with paroxysmal recurrent or persistent AF	Parallel groups double blind
Dogan , 2004 n=58/52 follow-up: 15 months	Propafenone 450 mg/d versus placebo	AF of duration 3 hours to 3 months ???	Parallel groups single
Kochiadakis b (propafenone vs placebo) , 2004 n=86/83 follow-up: 24 months	Propafenone 450 mg/d versus placebo	Any documented symptomatic previous or persistent AF	Parallel groups single

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
RAFT , 2003 n=397/126 follow-up: 9 months	Propafenone at various doses (450, 650, 850 mg/d) versus placebo.	Previous symptomatic AF documented in the last year	Parallel groups double blind
Stroobandt , 1997 n=77/25 follow-up: 6 months	Propafenone 450 mg/d versus placebo	Recent-onset AF or persistent AF lasting >2 weeks	Parallel groups double blind
rosuvastatin vs placebo			
GISSI HF (subgroup and ancillary study) , 2009 [NCT00336336] n=1855/1835 follow-up: 3.7y (median)	rosuvastatin 10mg daily versus placebo	patients with chronic heart failure who were not in AF at study entry	Factorial plan double-blind Italy
sotalol vs placebo			
Bellandi (sotalol vs placebo) , 2001 n=106/92 follow-up: 12 months	sotalol 240 mg/d versus placebo	patients with paroxysmal recurrent or persistent AF	Parallel groups double blind
Benditt , 1999 n=184/69 follow-up: 12 months	Sotalol various doses (80, 120, 160 mg/d) after cardioversion versus placebo	patients with AF or AFl documented in the last 3 months	Parallel groups double blind
Carunchio (sotalol vs placebo) , 1995 n=20/26 follow-up: 12 months	sotalol 240 mg/d , versus placebo	patients with recurrent AF with >3 episodes in previous 1 year	Parallel groups open
Kochiadakis (sotalol vs placebo) , 2000 n=NA follow-up: 24 months	sotalol 320 mg/d versus placebo	Any documented symptomatic previous or persistent AF	Parallel groups single
Kochiadakis b (sotalol vs placebo) , 2004 n=85/83 follow-up: 24 months	sotalol 300 mg/d versus placebo	Any documented symptomatic previous or persistent AF	Parallel groups single
PAFAC (sotalol vs placebo) , 2004 n=383/88 follow-up: 12 months	sotalol 320 mg/d , versus placebo	Persistent AF lasting >7 daysil	Parallel groups double blind
SAFE-T (sotalol vs placebo) , 2005 n=261/132 follow-up: 12 months	sotalol 320 mg/d versus placebo	Persistent AF lasting 3 days to 1 year	Parallel groups double blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Singh , 1991 n=24/10 follow-up: 6 months	Sotalol 80 - 320 mg/d versus placebo	Persistent AF or AFl lasting 2 weeks to 1 year	Parallel groups double blind
SOPAT (sotalol vs placebo) , 2004 n=264/251 follow-up: 12 months	sotalol 320 mg/d , versus placebo	Paroxysmal AF documented in the last 1 month@4	Parallel groups double blind
trandolapril vs placebo			
TRACE (AF ancillary study) , 1999 n=790/787 follow-up: 2.4 y	trandolapil versus placebo	Postmyocardial infarction	
valsartan vs placebo			
Val-HeFT (AF ancillary study) , 2003 n=2506/2494 follow-up: 1.92 y	valsartan versus placebo	Heart failure	
GISSI-AF (Disertori) , 2009 [NCT00376272] n=722/720 follow-up: 1 year	valsartan versus placebo	patients in sinus rhythm but with either two or more documented episodes of atrial fibrillation in the previous 6 months or successful cardioversion for atrial fibrillation in the previous 2 weeks and with underlying cardiovascular disease, diabetes, or left atrial enlargement	Parallel groups double blind
warfarin low dose vs placebo			
SPINAF (warfarin vs placebo) , 1992 n=260/265 follow-up: 1.75 years	warfarin low dose(target INR 1.4-2.8) versus placebo	men ,with chronic nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation	Parallel groups Double blind usa
warfarin standard dose vs placebo			
CAFA , 1991 n=187/191 follow-up: 15.2 months	warfarin standard dose (target INR 2-3) versus placebo	non rheumatic atrial fibrillation	Parallel groups Double blind canada

More details and results :

- antithrombotics for atrial fibrillation in primary prevention of thromboembolic events at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q57
- rythm control for atrial fibrillation in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q112
- antiarrythmic drugs for atrial fibrillation in maintaining sinus rhythm after cardioversion at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q113
- antiarrythmic drugs for atrial fibrillation in rate control at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q273
- catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q320
- prevention for atrial fibrillation in patient with history of atrial fibrillation at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q328

- prevention for atrial fibrillation in patients without history of AF (primary prevention) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q331
- embolic protection for atrial fibrillation in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q337
- direct antithrombins for atrial fibrillation in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q368
- direct factor Xa inhibitors for atrial fibrillation in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q373
- direct oral anticoagulant (DAO) for atrial fibrillation in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q391
- antithrombotics for atrial fibrillation in secondary prevention of thromboembolic events at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q392
- rate control for atrial fibrillation in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q400
- antiarrythmic drugs for atrial fibrillation in prevention of cardiovascular events at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q514
- antithrombotics for atrial fibrillation in patients ineligible for warfarin at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q565

References

LIFE (AF ancillary study), 2005:

Wachtell K, Lehto M, Gerdts E, Olsen MH, Hornestam B, Dahlf B, Ibsen H, Julius S, Kjeldsen SE, Lindholm LH, Nieminen MS, Devereux RB Angiotensin II receptor blockade reduces new-onset atrial fibrillation and subsequent stroke compared to atenolol: the Losartan Intervention For End Point Reduction in Hypertension (LIFE) study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:712-9 [15734615] 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.10.068

Japanese AF Trial, 2006:

Sato H, Ishikawa K, Kitabatake A, Ogawa S, Maruyama Y, Yokota Y, Fukuyama T, Doi Y, Mochizuki S, Izumi T, Takekoshi N, Yoshida K, Hiramori K, Origasa H, Uchiyama S, Matsumoto M, Yamaguchi T, Hori M Low-dose aspirin for prevention of stroke in low-risk patients with atrial fibrillation: Japan Atrial Fibrillation Stroke Trial. Stroke 2006 Feb;37:447-51 [16385088] 10.1161/01.STR.0000198839.61112.ee

LASAF(aspirin vs no treatment), 1999:

Posada IS, Barriales V Alternate-day dosing of aspirin in atrial fibrillation. LASAF Pilot Study Group. Am Heart J 1999 Jul;138:137-43 [10385777]

Ozaydin, 2006:

Ozaydin M, Varol E, Aslan SM, Kucuktepe Z, Dogan A, Ozturk M, Altinbas A Effect of atorvastatin on the recurrence rates of atrial fibrillation after electrical cardioversion. Am J Cardiol 2006;97:1490-3 [16679090] 10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.11.082

Ueng, 2003:

Ueng KC, Tsai TP, Yu WC, Tsai CF, Lin MC, Chan KC, Chen CY, Wu DJ, Lin CS, Chen SA Use of enalapril to facilitate sinus rhythm maintenance after external cardioversion of long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation. Results of a prospective and controlled study. Eur Heart J 2003;24:2090-8 [14643269]

Madrid, 2002:

Madrid AH, Bueno MG, Rebollo JM, Marn I, Pea G, Bernal E, Rodriguez A, Cano L, Cano JM, Cabeza P, Moro C Use of irbesartan to maintain sinus rhythm in patients with long-lasting persistent atrial fibrillation: a prospective and randomized study. Circulation 2002;106:331-6 [12119249]

Tveit, 2004:

Tveit A, Grundtvig M, Gundersen T, Vanberg P, Semb AG, Holt E, Gullestad L Analysis of pravastatin to prevent recurrence of atrial fibrillation after electrical cardioversion. Am J Cardiol 2004;93:780-2 [15019894] 10.1016/j.amjcard.2003.12.009

BAATAF (warfarin vs no treatment), 1990:

The effect of low-dose warfarin on the risk of stroke in patients with nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation. The Boston Area Anticoagulation Trial for Atrial Fibrillation Investigators N Engl J Med. 1990 Nov 29;323(22):1505-11 [2233931]

SAFT(warfarin low dose + aspirin vs no treatment), 2003:

Edvardsson N, Juul-Moller S, Omblus R, Pehrsson K Effects of low-dose warfarin and aspirin versus no treatment on stroke in a medium-risk patient population with atrial fibrillation. J Intern Med 2003 Jul;254:95-101 [12823646]

Edvardsson N, Juul-Moller S, Omblus R, Pehrsson K Effects of low-dose warfarin and aspirin versus no treatment on stroke in a medium-risk patient population with atrial fibrillation. J Intern Med 2003 Jul;254:95-101 [12823646]

AFASAK (warfarin standard dose vs control), 1989:

Petersen P, Boysen G, Godtfredsen J, Andersen ED, Andersen B Placebo-controlled, randomised trial of warfarin and aspirin for prevention of thromboembolic complications in chronic atrial fibrillation. The Copenhagen AFASAK study. Lancet 1989 Jan 28;1:175-9 [2563096]

SPAF (warfarin standard dose), 1991:

Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Study. Final results Circulation. 1991 Aug;84(2):527-39. [1860198]

Van Gelder, 1989:

Van Gelder IC, Crijns HJ, Van Gilst WH, Van Wijk LM, Hamer HP, Lie KI Efficacy and safety of flecainide acetate in the maintenance of sinus rhythm after electrical cardioversion of chronic atrial fibrillation or atrial flutter. Am J Cardiol 1989;64:1317-21 [2511744]

Abstracts from the 61st scientific sessions. American Heart Association. Washington, DC, November 14-17, 1988. Circulation 1988;78:IIA-IIG, II1-II775 [3168202]

Channer, 2004:

Channer KS, Birchall A, Steeds RP, Walters SJ, Yeo WW, West JN, Muthusamy R, Rhoden WE, Saeed BT, Batin P, Brooksby WP, Wilson I, Grant S A randomized placebo-controlled trial of pre-treatment and short- or long-term maintenance therapy with amiodarone supporting DC cardioversion for persistent atrial fibrillation. Eur Heart J 2004;25:144-50 [14720531]

GEFACA, 2001:

Galpern J, Elizari MV, Chiale PA, Molina RT, Ledesma R, Scapn AO, Vzquez Blanco M Efficacy of amiodarone for the termination of chronic atrial fibrillation and maintenance of normal sinus rhythm: a prospective, multicenter, randomized, controlled, double blind trial. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 2001;6:341-50 [11907636]

Kochiadakis (amiodarone vs placebo), 2000:

Kochiadakis GE, Igoumenidis NE, Marketou ME, Kaleboubas MD, Simantirakis EN, Vardas PE Low dose amiodarone and sotalol in the treatment of recurrent, symptomatic atrial fibrillation: a comparative, placebo controlled study. Heart 2000;84:251-7 [10956284]

Kochiadakis GE, Igoumenidis NE, Marketou ME, Solomou MC, Kanoupakis EM, Vardas PE Low-dose amiodarone versus sotalol for suppression of recurrent symptomatic atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 1998;81:995-8 [9576159]

Kochiadakis GE, Marketou ME, Igoumenidis NE, Chrysostomakis SI, Mavrakis HE, Kaleboubas MD, Vardas PE Amiodarone, sotalol, or propafenone in atrial fibrillation: which is preferred to maintain normal sinus rhythm? Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2000;23:1883-7 [11139949]

SAFE-T (amiodarone vs placebo), 2005:

Singh BN, Singh SN, Reda DJ, Tang XC, Lopez B, Harris CL, Fletcher RD, Sharma SC, Atwood JE, Jacobson AK, Lewis HD Jr, Raisch DW, Ezekowitz MD Amiodarone versus sotalol for atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1861-72 [15872201]

Singh SN, Singh BN, Reda DJ, Fye CL, Ezekowitz MD, Fletcher RD, Sharma SC, Atwood JE, Jacobson AK, Lewis HD Jr, Antman EM, Falk RH, Lopez B, Tang XC Comparison of sotalol versus amiodarone in maintaining stability of sinus rhythm in patients with atrial fibrillation (Sotalol-Amiodarone Fibrillation Efficacy Trial [Safe-T]). Am J Cardiol 2003;92:468-72 [12914883]

SMART, 2002:

Atarashi H, Inoue H, Fukunami M, Sugi K, Hamada C, Origasa H Double-blind placebo-controlled trial of aprindine and digoxin for the prevention of symptomatic atrial fibrillation. Circ J 2002;66:553-6 [12074271]

EAFT, 1993:

Secondary prevention in non-rheumatic atrial fibrillation after transient ischaemic attack or minor stroke. EAFT (European Atrial Fibrillation Trial) Study Group. Lancet. 1993 Nov 20;342(8882):1255-62 [7901582]

AFASAK (aspirin vs placebo), 1989:

Petersen P, Boysen G, Godtfredsen J, Andersen ED, Andersen B Placebo-controlled, randomised trial of warfarin and aspirin for prevention of thromboembolic complications in chronic atrial fibrillation. The Copenhagen AFASAK study. Lancet 1989 Jan 28;1:175-9 [2563096]

SPAF (aspirin , warfarin eligible arm), 1991:

Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Study. Final results Circulation. 1991 Aug;84(2):527-39. [1860198]

SPAF (aspirin,warfarin ineligible arm), 1991:

Stroke Prevention in Atrial Fibrillation Study. Final results Circulation. 1991 Aug;84(2):527-39. [1860198]

ASAP, 2003:

Connolly SJ, Schnell DJ, Page RL, Wilkinson WE, Marcello SR, Pritchett EL Dose-response relations of azimilide in the management of symptomatic, recurrent, atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 2001;88:974-9 [11703992]

Connolly SJ, Schnell DJ, Page RL, Wilkinson WE, Marcello SR, Pritchett EL Symptoms at the time of arrhythmia recurrence in patients receiving azimilide for control of atrial fibrillation or flutter: results from randomized trials. Am Heart J 2003;146:489-93 [12947368]

Page RL, Tilsch TW, Connolly SJ, Schnell DJ, Marcello SR, Wilkinson WE, Pritchett EL Asymptomatic or "silent" atrial fibrillation: frequency in untreated patients and patients receiving azimilide. Circulation 2003;107:1141-5 [12615792]

Pritchett EL, Page RL, Connolly SJ, Marcello SR, Schnell DJ, Wilkinson WE Antiarrhythmic effects of azimilide in atrial fibrillation: efficacy and dose-response. Azimilide Supraventricular Arrhythmia Program 3 (SVA-3) Investigators. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:794-802 [10987602]

Page RL, Connolly SJ, Wilkinson WE, Marcello SR, Schnell DJ, Pritchett EL Antiarrhythmic effects of azimilide in paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia: efficacy and dose-response. Am Heart J 2002;143:643-9 [11923801]

CAPRAF (Tveit), 2007:

Tveit A, Grundvold I, Olufsen M, Seljeflot I, Abdelnoor M, Arnesen H, Smith P Candesartan in the prevention of relapsing atrial fibrillation. Int J Cardiol 2007;120:85-91 [17113170]

CHARM (AF ancillary study), 2005:

McMurray JJ, Ostergren J, Swedberg K, Granger CB, Held P, Michelson EL, Olofsson B, Yusuf S, Pfeffer MA Effects of candesartan in patients with chronic heart failure and reduced left-ventricular systolic function taking angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors: the CHARM-Added trial. Lancet 2003;362:767-71 [13678869] 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)14283-3

Karlson, 1998:

Karlson BW, Torstensson I, Abjrn C, Jansson SO, Peterson LE Disopyramide in the maintenance of sinus rhythm after electroconversion of atrial fibrillation. A placebo-controlled one-year follow-up study. Eur Heart J 1988;9:284-90 [3289932]

Karlson BW, Torstensson I, Abjrn C, Kallryd A, Jonsson J, Jansson SO, Peterson LE [Preventive disopyramide after electroconversion of atrial fibrillation–a good alternative] Lakartidningen 1991;88:2242-5 [2056838]

Lloyd (Disopyramide vs placebo), 1984:

PALLAS, 2011:

Connolly SJ, Camm AJ, Halperin JL, Joyner C, Alings M, Amerena J, Atar D, Avezum A, Blomstrm P, Borggrefe M, Budaj A, Chen SA, Ching CK, Commerford P, Dans A, Davy JM, Delacrtaz E, Di Pasquale G, Diaz R, Dorian P, Flaker G, Golitsyn S, Gonzalez-Hermosil Dronedarone in High-Risk Permanent Atrial Fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011 Nov 14;: [22082198] 10.1056/NEJMoa1109867

Connolly SJ, Camm AJ, Halperin JL, Joyner C, Alings M, Amerena J, Atar D, Avezum A, Blomstrm P, Borggrefe M, Budaj A, Chen SA, Ching CK, Commerford P, Dans A, Davy JM, Delacrtaz E, Di Pasquale G, Diaz R, Dorian P, Flaker G, Golitsyn S, Gonzalez-Hermosil Dronedarone in High-Risk Permanent Atrial Fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011 Nov 14;: [22082198] 10.1056/NEJMoa1109867

ADONIS, 2007:

Singh BN, Connolly SJ, Crijns HJ, Roy D, Kowey PR, Capucci A, Radzik D, Aliot EM, Hohnloser SH Dronedarone for maintenance of sinus rhythm in atrial fibrillation or flutter. N Engl J Med 2007 Sep 6;357:987-99 [17804843]

ATHENA, 2009:

Hohnloser SH, Crijns HJ, van Eickels M, et al. The ATHENA trial: Effects of dronedarone on cardiovascular hospitalization and death in patients with atrial fibrillation or flutter. HRS) Annual Scientific Sessions; May 14-17, 2008, San Francisco

Hohnloser SH, Crijns HJ, van Eickels M, Gaudin C, Page RL, Torp-Pedersen C, Connolly SJ Effect of dronedarone on cardiovascular events in atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2009 Feb 12;360:668-78 [19213680]

DAFNE, 2003:

Touboul P, Brugada J, Capucci A, Crijns HJ, Edvardsson N, Hohnloser SH Dronedarone for prevention of atrial fibrillation: a dose-ranging study. Eur Heart J 2003;24:1481-7 [12919771]

ERATO, 2008:

Davy JM, Herold M, Hoglund C, Timmermans A, Alings A, Radzik D, Van Kempen L Dronedarone for the control of ventricular rate in permanent atrial fibrillation: the Efficacy and safety of dRonedArone for the cOntrol of ventricular rate during atrial fibrillation (ERATO) study. Am Heart J 2008 Sep;156:527.e1-9 [18760136]

EURIDIS, 2007:

Singh BN, Connolly SJ, Crijns HJ, Roy D, Kowey PR, Capucci A, Radzik D, Aliot EM, Hohnloser SH Dronedarone for maintenance of sinus rhythm in atrial fibrillation or flutter. N Engl J Med 2007;357:987-99 [17804843]

EURIDIS ADONIS (pooled analysis), 2009:

Singh BN, Connolly SJ, Crijns HJ, Roy D, Kowey PR, Capucci A, Radzik D, Aliot EM, Hohnloser SH N Engl J Med 2007 Sep 6;357:987-99 [17804843]

SOLVD (AF ancillary study), 2003:

Vermes E, Tardif JC, Bourassa MG, Racine N, Levesque S, White M, Guerra PG, Ducharme A Enalapril decreases the incidence of atrial fibrillation in patients with left ventricular dysfunction: insight from the Studies Of Left Ventricular Dysfunction (SOLVD) trials. Circulation 2003;107:2926-31 [12771010] 10.1161/01.CIR.0000072793.81076.D4

Carunchio (flecainide vs placebo), 1995:

Carunchio A, Fera MS, Mazza A, Burattini M, Greco G, Galati A, Ceci V [A comparison between flecainide and sotalol in the prevention of recurrences of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation] G Ital Cardiol 1995;25:51-68 [7642012]

ACTIVE I, 2009:

Yusuf S, Healey JS, Pogue J, Chrolavicius S, Flather M, Hart RG, Hohnloser SH, Joyner CD, Pfeffer MA, Connolly SJ Irbesartan in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011;364:928-38 [21388310] 10.1056/NEJMoa1008816

Yusuf S, Healey JS, Pogue J, Chrolavicius S, Flather M, Hart RG, Hohnloser SH, Joyner CD, Pfeffer MA, Connolly SJ Irbesartan in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011 Mar 10;364:928-38 [21388310]

P-OM3 (Kowey), 2010:

Kowey PR, Reiffel JA, Ellenbogen KA, Naccarelli GV, Pratt CM Efficacy and Safety of Prescription Omega-3 Fatty Acids for the Prevention of Recurrent Symptomatic Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. JAMA 2010 Nov 15;: [21078810] 10.1001/jama.2010.1735

EAFT, 1993:

Secondary prevention in non-rheumatic atrial fibrillation after transient ischaemic attack or minor stroke. EAFT (European Atrial Fibrillation Trial) Study Group. Lancet. 1993 Nov 20;342(8882):1255-62 [7901582]

Okishige, 2000:

Okishige K, Nishizaki M, Azegami K, Igawa M, Yamawaki N, Aonuma K Pilsicainide for conversion and maintenance of sinus rhythm in chronic atrial fibrillation: a placebo-controlled, multicenter study. Am Heart J 2000;140:e13 [10966544]

Bellandi (propafenone vs placebo), 2001:

Bellandi F, Simonetti I, Leoncini M, Frascarelli F, Giovannini T, Maioli M, Dabizzi RP Long-term efficacy and safety of propafenone and sotalol for the maintenance of sinus rhythm after conversion of recurrent symptomatic atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 2001;88:640-5 [11564387]

Bellandi F, Dabizzi RP, Niccoli L, Cantini F, Palchetti R [Propafenone and sotalol: long-term efficacy and tolerability in the prevention of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. A placebo-controlled double-blind study] G Ital Cardiol 1996;26:379-90 [8707022]

Bellandi F, Dabizzi RP, Niccoli L, Cantini F, Palchetti R [Propafenone and sotalol: long-term efficacy and tolerability in the prevention of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. A placebo-controlled double-blind study] G Ital Cardiol 1996;26:379-90 [8707022]

Dogan, 2004:

Dogan A, Ergene O, Nazli C, Kinay O, Altinbas A, Ucarci Y, Ergene U, Ozaydin M, Gedikli O Efficacy of propafenone for maintaining sinus rhythm in patients with recent onset or persistent atrial fibrillation after conversion: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. Acta Cardiol 2004;59:255-61 [15255456]

Kochiadakis b (propafenone vs placebo), 2004:

Kochiadakis GE, Igoumenidis NE, Hamilos ME, Tzerakis PG, Klapsinos NC, Chlouverakis GI, Vardas PE Sotalol versus propafenone for long-term maintenance of normal sinus rhythm in patients with recurrent symptomatic atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 2004;94:1563-6 [15589019]

Kochiadakis GE, Igoumenidis NE, Hamilos ME, Tzerakis PG, Klapsinos NC, Chlouverakis GI, Vardas PE Am J Cardiol 2004;94:1563-6 [15589019] 10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.08.041

RAFT, 2003:

Pritchett EL, Page RL, Carlson M, Undesser K, Fava G Efficacy and safety of sustained-release propafenone (propafenone SR) for patients with atrial fibrillation. Am J Cardiol 2003;92:941-6 [14556870]

Stroobandt, 1997:

Stroobandt R, Stiels B, Hoebrechts R Propafenone for conversion and prophylaxis of atrial fibrillation. Propafenone Atrial Fibrillation Trial Investigators. Am J Cardiol 1997;79:418-23 [9052343]

GISSI HF (subgroup and ancillary study), 2009:

Maggioni AP, Fabbri G, Lucci D, Marchioli R, Franzosi MG, Latini R, Nicolosi GL, Porcu M, Cosmi F, Stefanelli S, Tognoni G, Tavazzi L Effects of rosuvastatin on atrial fibrillation occurrence: ancillary results of the GISSI-HF trial. Eur Heart J 2009 Oct;30:2327-36 [19717850]

Bellandi (sotalol vs placebo), 2001:
Benditt, 1999:

Benditt DG, Williams JH, Jin J, Deering TF, Zucker R, Browne K, Chang-Sing P, Singh BN Maintenance of sinus rhythm with oral d,l-sotalol therapy in patients with symptomatic atrial fibrillation and/or atrial flutter. d,l-Sotalol Atrial Fibrillation/Flutter Study Group. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:270-7 [10496434]

Carunchio (sotalol vs placebo), 1995:
Kochiadakis (sotalol vs placebo), 2000:
Kochiadakis b (sotalol vs placebo), 2004:

Kochiadakis GE, Igoumenidis NE, Hamilos ME, Tzerakis PG, Klapsinos NC, Chlouverakis GI, Vardas PE Am J Cardiol 2004;94:1563-6 [15589019] 10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.08.041

PAFAC (sotalol vs placebo), 2004:

Fetsch T, Bauer P, Engberding R, Koch HP, Lukl J, Meinertz T, Oeff M, Seipel L, Trappe HJ, Treese N, Breithardt G Prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardioversion: results of the PAFAC trial. Eur Heart J 2004;25:1385-94 [15302102]

SAFE-T (sotalol vs placebo), 2005:

Singh BN, Singh SN, Reda DJ, Tang XC, Lopez B, Harris CL, Fletcher RD, Sharma SC, Atwood JE, Jacobson AK, Lewis HD Jr, Raisch DW, Ezekowitz MD Amiodarone versus sotalol for atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1861-72 [15872201] 10.1056/NEJMoa041705

Singh BN, Singh SN, Reda DJ, Tang XC, Lopez B, Harris CL, Fletcher RD, Sharma SC, Atwood JE, Jacobson AK, Lewis HD Jr, Raisch DW, Ezekowitz MD Amiodarone versus sotalol for atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2005;352:1861-72 [15872201] 10.1056/NEJMoa041705

Singh, 1991:

Singh S, Saini RK, DiMarco J, Kluger J, Gold R, Chen YW Efficacy and safety of sotalol in digitalized patients with chronic atrial fibrillation. The Sotalol Study Group. Am J Cardiol 1991;68:1227-30 [1951086]

SOPAT (sotalol vs placebo), 2004:
TRACE (AF ancillary study), 1999:

Pedersen OD, Bagger H, Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C Trandolapril reduces the incidence of atrial fibrillation after acute myocardial infarction in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. Circulation 1999;100:376-80 [10421597]

Val-HeFT (AF ancillary study), 2003:

Maggioni ALR, Carson PE, et al Valsartan reduces the incidence of atrial fibrillation in the patients with heart failure in the Val-HeFT Trial Circulation 2003;108:507

GISSI-AF (Disertori), 2009:

Disertori M, Latini R, Barlera S, Franzosi MG, Staszewsky L, Maggioni AP, Lucci D, Di Pasquale G, Tognoni G Valsartan for prevention of recurrent atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2009 Apr 16;360:1606-17 [19369667]

SPINAF (warfarin vs placebo), 1992:

Ezekowitz MD, Bridgers SL, James KE, Carliner NH, Colling CL, Gornick CC, Krause-Steinrauf H, Kurtzke JF, Nazarian SM, Radford MJ Warfarin in the prevention of stroke associated with nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation. Veterans Affairs Stroke Prevention in Nonrheumatic Atrial Fibrillation Investigators. N Engl J Med 1992 Nov 12;327:1406-12 [1406859]

CAFA, 1991:

Connolly SJ, Laupacis A, Gent M, Roberts RS, Cairns JA, Joyner C Canadian Atrial Fibrillation Anticoagulation (CAFA) Study. J Am Coll Cardiol 1991 Aug;18:349-55 [1856403]

10 acute coronary syndrome

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
triflusal vs aspirin			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
TIM , 2000 n=1135/1140 follow-up: 35 days	triflusal 600 mg daily versus aspirine 300 mg daily	AMI within less than 24 h of symptom onsete	Parallel groups double blind Portugal, Spain, Italy
aspirin vs control			
Huddinge , 1988 n=10/10 follow-up: 30d (12m)	aspirin 500mg/d starting 12 h after admissionand and then intermittently every third day for one month versus no aspirin	patients with acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups open
ATACS-pilot , 1990 n=37/24 follow-up: 3m	Aspirin 80mg/d (Heparin + Warfarin) versus full-dose heparin followed by warfarin	acute coronary syndromes	
Frankfurt , 1976 n=25/28 follow-up: 14d	-	-	Parallel groups
dazoxiben vs control			
Jones , 1987 n=60/60 follow-up: 1m	-	-	Parallel groups
hyperbaric oxygen vs control			
Sharifi , 2004 n=NA follow-up:	-	after percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina pectoris	
Swift , 1992 n=NA follow-up:	-	patients within 1 week of acute myocardial infarction	
Thurston , 1973 n=NA follow-up:	-	acute myocardial infarction	
Hot MI , 1997 n=112 follow-up:	-	Patients with an acute myocardial infarction who received recombinant tissue plasminogen activator	
HOT MI pilot , 1997 n=66 follow-up:	-	Patients with an acute myocardial infarction (AMI) who received recombinant tissue plasminogen activator	
oxygen therapy vs control			
Rawles , 1976 n=NA follow-up:	oxygen administered by MC mask throughout the first 24 hours versus air	myocardial infarction	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Ukholkina , 2005 n=NA follow-up:	-	patients with acute myocardial infarction	
Wilson , 1997 n=NA follow-up:	oxygen therapy versus control	patients presenting within 24 hours of onset of myocardial infarction	
sulfinpyrazone vs control			
Dutch sulphinpyrazone , 1986 n=50/50 follow-up: 21d	-	-	Parallel groups
supersaturated oxygen vs control			
AMIHOT II , 2000 [NCT00175058] n=222/79 follow-up:	90-minute intracoronary supersaturated oxygen (SSO(2)) infusion in the left anterior descending artery infarct territory versus control	patients with anterior ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention within 6 hours of symptom onset	
AMIHOT , 2007 n=NA follow-up:	hyperoxemic reperfusion for 90 min using intracoronary aqueous oxygen versus normoxemic blood autoreperfusion	patients with acute anterior or large inferior AMI undergoing primary or rescue PCI (<24 h from symptom onset) and successful PCI	
coumadin vs control (on top of aspirin)			
ASPECT-2 (coumadin+asp vs asp) , 2002 n=333/336 follow-up: 1 year	coumadin(INR mean 2.4) +aspirin versus aspirin	UA, AMI	open the Netherlands
UFH, warfarin vs control (on top of aspirin)			
ATACS (Cohen) , 1994 n=105/109 follow-up: 12 weeks	aspirin 162.5 mg daily plus heparin (activated partial thromboplastin time, two times control) followed by aspirin 162.5 mg daily plus warfarin (international normalized ratio, 2 to 3) for 12 weeks. versus aspirin alone (162.5 mg daily) for 12 weeks.	patients with unstable rest angina or non-Q-wave myocardial infarction with last episode of pain within 48 hours of randomization and who were nonprior aspirin users	Parallel groups single blind
Cohen (ATACS pilot) (heparin+aspirin vs asp) , 1990 n=37/32 follow-up: 12 weeks	aspirin (80 mg/day) plus heparin and then warfarin versus aspirin (325 mg/day)	Patients between 21 and 75 years with unstable angina or non-Q-wave MI with last episode of pain within 48 hours of screening.	Parallel groups open
warfarin vs control (on top of aspirin)			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
ATACS (pilot study) (warfarin vs control) , 1990 n=37/32 follow-up: 65279;3 months	heparin/warfarin target INR 65279;3-4.5 + aspirin versus aspirin alone	65279;UA, NSTEMI	open
ATACS , 1994 n=105/109 follow-up: 3 months	heparin/warfarin (INR median 2.3) + aspirin versus aspirin	UA, NSTEMI	open
CARS , 1997 n=5410/3393 follow-up: 14 months	warfarin (INR mean 1.5) (3 mg warfarin or 1 mg warfarin with 80 mg aspirin) versus aspirin 160 mg/d	AMI	
OASIS Pilot (phase 1) , 1998 n=155/154 follow-up: 6 months	warfarin 3mg/d for 6 months (INR mean 1.5) versus control	UA, NSTEMI	open
OASIS Pilot (phase 2) , 1998 n=98/99 follow-up: 3 months	warfarin adjusted dose (INR mean 2.3) for 3 months versus standard treatment	UA, NSTEMI	open
OASIS-2 Warfarin Substudy , 2001 n=1848/1864 follow-up: 5 months	warfarin target INR 65279;22.5 for 5 months +aspirin versus control	UA	open
APRICOT-2 , 2002 n=135/139 follow-up: 3 months	moderate-intensity coumarin target INR 2-3 (+aspirin) versus aspirin	STEMI	
CHAMP , 2002 n=2522/2537 follow-up: 2.7 years	-	AMI	
WARIS , 2002 n=1208/1206 follow-up: 4 years	-	AMI	
LoWASA , 2004 n=1659/1641 follow-up: 5 years	-	AMI	
Zibaeenezhad , 2004 n=70/70 follow-up: 1 year	-	AMI	
bivalirudin vs heparin + GP2b3a inhibitors			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
ACUITY (biva alone vs hep+aGP2b3a) , 2006 [NCT00093158] n=4612/4603 follow-up: 30 days	bivalirudin alone versus unfractionated heparin or enoxaparin plus a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor	in patients with moderate- or high-risk acute coronary syndromes who were undergoing an early invasive strategy.	Parallel groups double blind 17 countries worldwide
ACUITY (sub groups PCI, bivalirudin alone) import , 2007 n=2619/2561 follow-up: 30 days	bivalirudin alone versus heparin (either unfractionated or enoxaparin) plus glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors	patients with moderate and high-risk acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention after angiography (sub group).	Factorial plan open
bivalirudin + GP2b3a inhibitors vs heparin + GP2b3a inhibitors			
ACUITY (biva+aGP2b3a vs hep+aGP2b3a) , 2006 [NCT00093158] n=4604/4603 follow-up: 30 days	bivalirudin plus a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor versus unfractionated heparin or enoxaparin plus a glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor	in patients with moderate- or high-risk acute coronary syndromes who were undergoing an early invasive strategy.	double blind 17 countries worldwide
ACUITY (sub groups PCI, bivalirudin +aGP2b3a) import , 2007 n=2609/2561 follow-up: 30 days	bivalirudin + versus heparin (either unfractionated or enoxaparin) plus glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors	patients with moderate and high-risk acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention after angiography.	open
anistreplase vs placebo			
UNASEM , 1992 n=80/79 follow-up: hospital stay, 1y	anistreplase IV 30 UI over 5 minutes versus placebo	Patients without a previous myocardial infarction, with a typical history of unstable angina and ECG abnormalities indicative of ischemia	Parallel groups double blind Europe
apixaban vs placebo			
APPRAISE 2 , 2011 [NCT00831441] n=3705/3687 follow-up: 8 months	apixaban 5mg twice daily versus placebo	patients with a recent acute coronary syndrome and at least two additional risk factors for recurrent ischemic events	Parallel groups double blind 39 countries
APPRAISE-1 (10mg od) , 2009 [NCT00313300] n=318/611 follow-up: 6 months	apixaban 10 mg once daily versus placebo	patients with a recent ST-elevation or nonST-elevation acute coronary syndrome(<7 days)	Parallel groups double blind Europe, Middle East, North America
APPRAISE-1 (2.5 mg bid) , 2009 [NCT00313300] n=NA follow-up: 6 months	Apixaban 2.5mg twice daily versus placebo	patients with a recent ST-elevation or nonST-elevation acute coronary syndrome(<7 days)	double blind Europe, Middle East, North America

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
APPRAISE japan *ongoing* [NCT00852397] n=NA follow-up:	2 doses of apixaban (2.5 mg BID and 5.0 mg BID) for 24 weeks in combination with standard therapy (aspirin and /or additional antiplatelet therapy) versus placebo	patients with recent (<=7 days) acute coronary syndrome	double-blind Japan
aspirin vs placebo			
VA-main , 1983 n=661/677 follow-up: 3m	Aspirin 324mg/d versus placebo	men with unstable angina	double blind
ISIS-pilot , 1987 n=313/306 follow-up: 1m	aspirin (325 mg on alternate days for 28 days) versus placebo	suspected acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind
ISIS-2 , 1988 n=8587/8600 follow-up: 35d	160 mg/day enteric-coated aspirin for one month versus placebo	suspected acute myocardial up to 24h	Parallel groups double blind
VA-pilot *unpublished* n=26/24 follow-up: 3m	-	-	
RISC , 1990 n=474/471 follow-up: 12m	Aspirin 75mg/d versus placebo	men with unstable coronary artery disease (unstable angina or non-Q wave myocardial infarction	Factorial plan double blind Sweden
Canadian (Aspirin vs PBO) , 1985 n=NA follow-up: 18m	Aspirin 1300mg/d versus placebo	patients with unstable angina	double blind
ALDUSA-pilot *unpublished* n=56/28 follow-up: 12m	-	-	
Dutch-aspirin , 1990 n=50/50 follow-up: 3m	aspirin (100 mg/day) for 3 months versus placebo	patients with first anterior wall AMI	Parallel groups double blind
Throux , 1988 n=121/118 follow-up: 6d (3m)	Aspirin 325 mg twice daily versus placebo	acute unstable angina	double blind
APRICOT , 1993 n=107/95 follow-up: 3m	325 mg aspirin daily with discontinuation of heparin versus placebo	Patients treated with intravenous thrombolytic therapy followed by intravenous heparin and with patent infarct-related artery demonstrated at angiography within 48 hours	Parallel groups double blind The Netherlands

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
aspirin + dipyridamol vs placebo			
Prandoni , 1991 n=44/44 follow-up: 12m	Aspirin 50mg/d + Dipyridamol 400mg/d versus placebo	patients with acute unstable angina	double blind
aspirin + sulfinpyrazone vs placebo			
Canadian (Aspirin + sulfinpyrazone) , 1985 n=416/139 follow-up: 18m	Aspirin 1300mg/d + sulfinpyrazone 800mg/d versus placebo	patients with unstable angina	double blind
atopaxar vs placebo			
LANCELOT ACS n=603 follow-up:	400-mg loading dose of atopaxar followed by a daily dose of 50 mg, 100 mg, or 200 mg for 12 weeks versus placebo	unstable-angina or non-STEMI patients	Parallel groups
J-LANCELOT , 2010 n=NA follow-up:	atopaxar at a loading dose of 400 mg followed by 50 mg per day, 100 mg per day, or 200 mg per day for 12 weeks versus atopaxar at a loading dose of 400 mg followed by placebo	patients with acute coronary syndrome (unstable angina and NSTEMI)	Parallel groups Japan
atorvastatin vs placebo			
MIRACL , 2001 n=1538/1548 follow-up: 1 and 4 months	Atorvastatin, 80 mg (early initiation) versus Placebo	unstable angina or nonQ-wave acute MI	Parallel groups Double blind Europe, North America, South Africa, and Australasia
dabigatran vs placebo			
REDEEM , 2009 *unpublished* [NCT00621855] n=1501/373 follow-up: 6 months	dabigatran 4 dosages (50mg twice daily, 75mg twice daily, 110mg twice daily, 150mg twice daily) versus placebo	patients with recent acute coronary syndromes (ST- or non-ST-elevation myocardical infarction)	Parallel groups double blind
diltiazem vs placebo			
Gbel (Dutch study) , 1995 n=129 follow-up: ND	diltiazem intravenously versus glyceryl trinitrate intravenously	patients with unstable angina	Parallel groups double blind
DRS , 1986 n=287/289 follow-up: ND	diltiazem 90 mg every six hours up to 14 days versus placebo	patients with non-Q-wave myocardial infarct, 24 to 72 hours after the onset of infarction	double blind
fluvastatin vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
LIPS (sub groups) , 2002 n=417/407 follow-up: 1, 4, and 6 months	Fluvastatin, 80 mg versus Placebo	patients with unstable angina and successful first percutaneous coronary intervention	Parallel groups double blind Europe, Canada, and Brazil
FLORIDA , 2002 n=265/275 follow-up: 1, 4, and 6 months	Fluvastatin, 80 mg (early initiation) versus Placebo	patients with an AMI and total cholesterol of <6.5 mmol.l	Parallel groups double blind The Netherlands
Czech trial *ongoing* [NCT00171275] n=NA follow-up: 52 weeks	fluvastatin versus placebo	-	Parallel groups double blind
intracoronary urokinase vs placebo			
TAUSA , 1994 n=232/237 follow-up: hospital stay	intracoronary urokinase 250000 UI or 500000 UI versus placebo	ischemic rest pain with or without a recent (<1 month) infarction	Parallel groups double blind USA
pravastatin vs placebo			
LAMIL , 1997 n=36/33 follow-up: 1 and 3 months	Pravastatin, 10-20 mg (starting at D3) versus Placebo	patients suffering an acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind Belgium
RECIFE , 1999 n=30/30 follow-up: 1.5 months	Pravastatin, 40 mg versus Placebo	Patients with acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina and total cholesterol levels at admission >=5.2 mmol/L or LDL >=3.4 mmol/L	Parallel groups double blind Canada
PAIS , 2001 n=50/49 follow-up: 1 and 3 months	Pravastatin, 40 mg (initiated within 48 hours of hospital admission) versus Placebo	patients with acute coronary syndromes	Parallel groups double blind The Netherlands
PACT , 2004 n=1710/1698 follow-up: 1 months	Pravastatin, 20-40 mg within 24 hours of the onset of symptoms in versus Placebo	patients with unstable angina, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, or ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction within 24 hours of the onset of symptoms	Parallel groups double blind Australia
ranolazine vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
MERLIN TIMI 36 , 2007 [NCT00099788] n=3279/3281 follow-up: median 11.4 months	ranolazine 1000 mg twice daily for the duration of the trial (intitialy 200 mg intravenously for 1 hour, followed by an 80 mg/h intravenous infusion) versus placebo	Hospitalized with NSTE-ACS; ischemic symptoms at rest within 48 hours; and at least one indicator of moderate to high risk, defined as elevated troponin or creatine kinase-myocardial band, ST-depression >0.1 mV, diabetes, or TIMI risk score for unstable angina/NSTEMI >=3	Parallel groups Double blind 17 countries
rivaroxaban 2.5mg vs placebo			
ATLAS ACS-TIMI 46 (2.5mg) , 2009 [NCT00402597] n=152/1160 follow-up: 6 months	rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily versus placebo	recent ACS patients treated with aspirin alone (n=761) or aspirin plus clopidogrel (n=2730)	double blind 27 countries
ATLAS ACS 2 - TIMI 51 (2.5mg) , 2011 [NCT00809965] n=5174/5176 follow-up: 13 months	rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily in addition to standard care versus placebo	patients with a recent ACS	Parallel groups double blind 44 countries
rivaroxaban 5mg vs placebo			
ATLAS ACS-TIMI 46 (5mg) , 2009 [NCT00402597] n=519/1160 follow-up: 6 months	rivaroxaban 5 mg twice daily versus placebo	recent ACS patients treated with aspirin alone (n=761) or aspirin plus clopidogrel (n=2730)	Parallel groups double blind 27 countries
ATLAS ACS 2 - TIMI 51 (5mg) , 2011 [NCT00809965] n=5176/5176 follow-up: 13 months	rivaroxaban 5 mg twice daily in addition to standard care versus placebo	patients with a recent ACS	double blind 44 countries
simvastatin vs placebo			
A to Z , 2004 n=2265/2232 follow-up: 4 months	Simvastatin, 40-80 mg early initiation versus Placebo	patient with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS)	Parallel groups Double aveugle 41 countries
sulfinpyrazone vs placebo			
Canadian (sulfinpyrazone alone) , 1985 n=NA follow-up: 18m	sulfinpyrazone 800mg/d versus placebo	patients with unstable angina	double blind
Wilcox , 1980 n=49/49 follow-up: 10d	Sulphinpyrazone 200 mg four times daily versus placebo	patients with acute myocardial infarction	Parallel groups

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Louvain sulphinpyrazone , 1983 n=15/14 follow-up: 7d	sulphinpyrazone, 4 x 200 mg daily for 7 days versus placebo	recent myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind
t-PA vs placebo			
Nicklas , 1989 n=20/20 follow-up:	rt-PA, 150 mg/8 h versus placebo	patients with rest angina, angiographically documented coronary artery disease and pacing-induced ischemia	Parallel groups Double blind USA
Gold , 1987 n=12/12 follow-up:	intravenous recombinant human tissue-type plasminogen activator (rt-PA). versus placebo	chest pain at rest with transient ST segment deviation of at least 1 mm	Parallel groups
Williams , 1990 n=45/22 follow-up:	tissue-type plasminogen activator (rt-PA) (0.75 mg/kg over 1 hour or (0.75 mg/kg over 1 hour; total dose, 100 mg over 6 hours) versus placebo	rest angina and angiographic evidence of coronary stenosis	Parallel groups double blind USA
Freeman , 1992 n=35/35 follow-up: in hospital	tissue-type plasminogen activator (t-PA) (0.49 MU/kg for 1 hour followed by 0.07 MU/kg per hour for 9 hours) versus placebo	patients with unstable angina	Parallel groups double blind USA
van der Brand , 1991 n=19/17 follow-up: hospital stay	alteplase 100 mg in 3 h versus placebo	patients with angina at rest, despite bedrest and medical treatment	Parallel groups double blind The Netherlands
charbonnier , 1992 n=25/25 follow-up:	rt-PA 100 mg/90 minutes (10 mg bolus + 90 mg/90 minutes versus placebo	unstable angina pectoris	Parallel groups double blind
Ardissino , 1990 n=12/12 follow-up: in hospital	recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator (rt-PA) followed by heparin versus heparin alone	unstable angina refractory to conventional medical treatment	Parallel groups double blind Italy
TIMI 3B , 1995 n=729/744 follow-up: 1 year	tissue-type plasminogen activator (t-PA) versus placebo	patients with unstable angina and non-Q wave myocardial infarction	Factorial plan Double blind
Topol , 1988 n=20/20 follow-up: hospital stay	intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (t-PA) versus placebo	patients with angina at rest and provocable ischemia (pacing induced)	Parallel groups open USA

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
TIMI 3A , 1993 n=150/156 follow-up: hospital stay	90-minute front-loaded infusion of t-PA (0.8 mg/kg i.v.; maximum, 80 mg) versus placebo	patients with unstable angina or non-Q wave myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double blind USA, canada

More details and results :

- cholesterol lowering intervention for acute coronary syndrome in early initiation at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q21
- myocardial revascularization for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q22
- antithrombotics for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q24
- antithrombotics for acute coronary syndrome in unstable angina at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q35
- myocardial revascularization for acute coronary syndrome in Elderly patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q165
- anticoagulant for acute coronary syndrome in All ACS (including AMI) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q167
- anticoagulant for acute coronary syndrome in ACS (excluding AMI) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q168
- antiplatelets drug for acute coronary syndrome in ACS (excluding AMI) at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q169
- antiplatelets drug for acute coronary syndrome in patients with scheduled percutaneous coronary intervention at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q170
- heparin (UFH or LMWH) for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q171
- calcium channel blockers for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q222
- fibrinolysis for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q223
- anti-anginal drugs for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q264
- direct factor Xa inhibitors for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q345
- antiplatelets drug for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q346
- antithrombotics for acute coronary syndrome in patients managed with an early invasive strategy at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q347
- antithrombotics for acute coronary syndrome in PCI sub group at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q348
- antithrombotics for acute coronary syndrome in patients with a recent ACS at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q387
- oxygen therapy for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q428
- New P2Y12 Inhibitors for acute coronary syndrome in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q455

References

TIM, 2000:

Cruz-Fernndez JM, Lpez-Bescs L, Garca-Dorado D, Lpez Garca-Aranda V, Cabads A, Martn-Jadraque L, Velasco JA, Castro-Beiras A, Torres F, Marfil F, Navarro E Randomized comparative trial of triflusal and aspirin following acute myocardial infarction. Eur Heart J 2000;21:457-65 [10681486]

Huddinge, 1988:

Rasmanis G, Vesterqvist O, Gren K, Edhag O, Henriksson P Effects of intermittent treatment with aspirin on thromboxane and prostacyclin formation in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Lancet 1988;2:245-7 [2899236]

ATACS-pilot, 1990:

Cohen M, Adams PC, Hawkins L, Bach M, Fuster V Usefulness of antithrombotic therapy in resting angina pectoris or non-Q-wave myocardial infarction in preventing death and myocardial infarction (a pilot study from the Antithrombotic Therapy in Acute Coronary Syndromes Study Group). Am J Cardiol 1990 Dec 1;66:1287-92 [2244556]

Frankfurt, 1976:

Asasantin DVT nach Myokardinfarktp, imag Boehringer Ingelheim, 1976. (Boehringer Ingelheim internal report.)

Jones, 1987:

Jones EW. A study of dazoxiben in the prevention of venous thrombosis after suspected myocardial infarction (MD Thesis).¡ Nottingham: Nottingham University, 1987:111-24

Sharifi, 2004:

Sharifi M, Fares W, Abdel-Karim I, Koch JM, Sopko J, Adler D Usefulness of hyperbaric oxygen therapy to inhibit restenosis after percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 2004;93:1533-5 [15194029] 10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.03.009

Sharifi M, Fares W, Abdel-Karim I, Petrea D, Koch JM, Adler D, Sopko J Inhibition of restenosis by hyperbaric oxygen: a novel indication for an old modality. Cardiovasc Radiat Med 2002;3:124-6 [12974361]

Swift, 1992:

Swift PC, Turner JH, Oxer HF, O'Shea JP, Lane GK, Woollard KV Myocardial hibernation identified by hyperbaric oxygen treatment and echocardiography in postinfarction patients: comparison with exercise thallium scintigraphy. Am Heart J 1992;124:1151-8 [1442480]

Thurston, 1973:

Thurston JG, Greenwood TW, Bending MR, Connor H, Curwen MP A controlled investigation into the effects of hyperbaric oxygen on mortality following acute myocardial infarction. Q J Med 1973;42:751-70 [4606106]

Hot MI, 1997:

Shandling AH, Ellestad MH, Hart GB, Crump R, Marlow D, Van Natta B, Messenger JC, Strauss M, Stavitsky Y Hyperbaric oxygen and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction: the "HOT MI" pilot study. Am Heart J 1997;134:544-50 [9327714]

Stavitsky Y, Shandling AH, Ellestad MH, Hart GB, Van Natta B, Messenger JC, Strauss M, Dekleva MN, Alexander JM, Mattice M, Clarke D Hyperbaric oxygen and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction: the 'HOT MI' randomized multicenter study. Cardiology 1998;90:131-6 [9778551]

Laden G. HOT MI pilot study. Hyperbaric oxygen and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction American Heart Journal 1998;136(4 Pt 1):749.

HOT MI pilot, 1997:

Shandling AH, Ellestad MH, Hart GB, Crump R, Marlow D, Van Natta B, Messenger JC, Strauss M, Stavitsky Y Hyperbaric oxygen and thrombolysis in myocardial infarction: the "HOT MI" pilot study. Am Heart J 1997;134:544-50 [9327714]

Rawles, 1976:

Rawles JM, Kenmure AC Controlled trial of oxygen in uncomplicated myocardial infarction. Br Med J 1976;1:1121-3 [773507]

Ukholkina, 2005:

Ukholkina GB, Kostianov IIu, Kuchkina NV, Grendo EP, Gofman IaB [Effect of oxygenotherapy used in combination with reperfusion in patients with acute myocardial infarction] Kardiologiia 2005;45:59 [16007057]

Wilson, 1997:

Wilson AT, Channer KS Hypoxaemia and supplemental oxygen therapy in the first 24 hours after myocardial infarction: the role of pulse oximetry. J R Coll Physicians Lond 1997;31:657-61 [9409501]

Dutch sulphinpyrazone, 1986:

Funke Kpper AJ, Verheugt FWA, Jaarsma W, Roos JP.age/pj Funke Kpper AJ, Verheugt FWA, Jaarsma W, Roos JP. Failure of sulphinpyrazone to prevent left ventricular thrombosis in patients with AMI treated with oral anticoagulants Proceedings of X World Congress of Cardiology. Washington: 1986:419 (Abstract 2414)atio

AMIHOT II , 2000:

Haude M. AMIHOT-II: A prospective, randomized evaluation of supersaturated oxygen therapy after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute anterior myocardial infarction Herz 2007;32 (8):669

Stone GW, Martin JL, de Boer MJ, Margheri M, Bramucci E, Blankenship JC, Metzger DC, Gibbons RJ, Lindsay BS, Weiner BH, Lansky AJ, Krucoff MW, Fahy M, Boscardin WJ Effect of supersaturated oxygen delivery on infarct size after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2009;2:366-75 [20031745] 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.108.840066

AMIHOT, 2007:

O'Neill WW, Martin JL, Dixon SR, Bartorelli AL, Trabattoni D, Oemrawsingh PV, Atsma DE, Chang M, Marquardt W, Oh JK, Krucoff MW, Gibbons RJ, Spears JR Acute Myocardial Infarction with Hyperoxemic Therapy (AMIHOT): a prospective, randomized trial of intracoronary hyperoxemic reperfusion after percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:397-405 [17662390] 10.1016/j.jacc.2007.01.099

Stone GW, Martin JL, de Boer MJ, Margheri M, Bramucci E, Blankenship JC, Metzger DC, Gibbons RJ, Lindsay BS, Weiner BH, Lansky AJ, Krucoff MW, Fahy M, Boscardin WJ Effect of supersaturated oxygen delivery on infarct size after percutaneous coronary intervention in acute myocardial infarction. Circ Cardiovasc Interv 2009;2:366-75 [20031745] 10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.108.840066

ASPECT-2 (coumadin+asp vs asp), 2002:

van Es RF, Jonker JJ, Verheugt FW, Deckers JW, Grobbee DE Aspirin and coumadin after acute coronary syndromes (the ASPECT-2 study): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2002;360:109-13 [12126819]

ATACS (Cohen), 1994:

Cohen M, Adams PC, Parry G, Xiong J, Chamberlain D, Wieczorek I, Fox KA, Chesebro JH, Strain J, Keller C Combination antithrombotic therapy in unstable rest angina and non-Q-wave infarction in nonprior aspirin users. Primary end points analysis from the ATACS trial. Antithrombotic Therapy in Acute Coronary Syndromes Research Group. Circulation 1994;89:81-8 [8281698]

Cohen (ATACS pilot) (heparin+aspirin vs asp), 1990:

Cohen M, Adams PC, Hawkins L, Bach M, Fuster V Usefulness of antithrombotic therapy in resting angina pectoris or non-Q-wave myocardial infarction in preventing death and myocardial infarction (a pilot study from the Antithrombotic Therapy in Acute Coronary Syndromes Study Group). Am J Cardiol 1990;66:1287-92 [2244556]

ATACS (pilot study) (warfarin vs control), 1990:

Cohen M, Adams PC, Hawkins L, Bach M, Fuster V Usefulness of antithrombotic therapy in resting angina pectoris or non-Q-wave myocardial infarction in preventing death and myocardial infarction (a pilot study from the Antithrombotic Therapy in Acute Coronary Syndromes Study Group). Am J Cardiol 1990;66:1287-92 [2244556]

ATACS, 1994:

Cohen M, Adams PC, Parry G, Xiong J, Chamberlain D, Wieczorek I, Fox KA, Chesebro JH, Strain J, Keller C Combination antithrombotic therapy in unstable rest angina and non-Q-wave infarction in nonprior aspirin users. Primary end points analysis from the ATACS trial. Antithrombotic Therapy in Acute Coronary Syndromes Research Group. Circulation 1994;89:81-8 [8281698]

CARS, 1997:

Randomised double-blind trial of fixed low-dose warfarin with aspirin after myocardial infarction. Coumadin Aspirin Reinfarction Study (CARS) Investigators. Lancet 1997;350:389-96 [9259652]

OASIS Pilot (phase 1), 1998:

Anand SS, Yusuf S, Pogue J, Weitz JI, Flather M Long-term oral anticoagulant therapy in patients with unstable angina or suspected non-Q-wave myocardial infarction: organization to assess strategies for ischemic syndromes (OASIS) pilot study results. Circulation 1998;98:1064-70 [9736592]

OASIS Pilot (phase 2), 1998:

Anand SS, Yusuf S, Pogue J, Weitz JI, Flather M Long-term oral anticoagulant therapy in patients with unstable angina or suspected non-Q-wave myocardial infarction: organization to assess strategies for ischemic syndromes (OASIS) pilot study results. Circulation 1998;98:1064-70 [9736592]

OASIS-2 Warfarin Substudy, 2001:

Effects of long-term, moderate-intensity oral anticoagulation in addition to aspirin in unstable angina. The Organization to Assess Strategies for Ischemic Syndromes (OASIS) Investigators. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;37:475-84 [11216966]

APRICOT-2, 2002:

Brouwer MA, van den Bergh PJ, Aengevaeren WR, Veen G, Luijten HE, Hertzberger DP, van Boven AJ, Vromans RP, Uijen GJ, Verheugt FW Aspirin plus coumarin versus aspirin alone in the prevention of reocclusion after fibrinolysis for acute myocardial infarction: results of the Antithrombotics in the Prevention of Reocclusion In Coronary Thrombolysis (APRICOT)-2 Trial. Circulation 2002;106:659-65 [12163424]

CHAMP, 2002:

Fiore LD, Ezekowitz MD, Brophy MT, Lu D, Sacco J, Peduzzi P Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program Clinical Trial comparing combined warfarin and aspirin with aspirin alone in survivors of acute myocardial infarction: primary results of the CHAMP study. Circulation 2002;105:557-63 [11827919]

WARIS, 2002:

Hurlen M, Abdelnoor M, Smith P, Erikssen J, Arnesen H Warfarin, aspirin, or both after myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 2002;347:969-74 [12324552]

LoWASA, 2004:

Herlitz J, Holm J, Peterson M, Karlson BW, Haglid Evander M, Erhardt L Effect of fixed low-dose warfarin added to aspirin in the long term after acute myocardial infarction; the LoWASA Study. Eur Heart J 2004;25:232-9 [14972424]

Zibaeenezhad, 2004:

Zibaeenezhad MJ, Mowla A, Sorbi MH Warfarin and aspirin versus aspirin alone in patients with acute myocardial infarction: a pilot study. Angiology 2004;55:17-20 [14759085]

ACUITY (biva alone vs hep+aGP2b3a), 2006:

Stone GW, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, Bertrand ME, Lincoff AM, Moses JW, White HD, Pocock SJ, Ware JH, Feit F, Colombo A, Aylward PE, Cequier AR, Darius H, Desmet W, Ebrahimi R, Hamon M, Rasmussen LH, Rupprecht HJ, Hoekstra J, Mehran R, Ohman EM Bivalirudin for patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2006 Nov 23;355:2203-16 [17124018]

Singh S, Molnar J, Arora R Efficacy and safety of bivalirudin versus heparins in reduction of cardiac outcomes in acute coronary syndrome and percutaneous coronary interventions. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 2007;12:283-91 [18172222]

Stone GW, Ware JH, Bertrand ME, Lincoff AM, Moses JW, Ohman EM, White HD, Feit F, Colombo A, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, Manoukian SV, Fahy M, Clayton TC, Mehran R, Pocock SJ Antithrombotic strategies in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing early invasive management: one-year results from the ACUITY trial. JAMA 2007 Dec 5;298:2497-506 [18056903]

ACUITY (sub groups PCI, bivalirudin alone) import, 2007:

Stone GW, Ware JH, Bertrand ME, Lincoff AM, Moses JW, Ohman EM, White HD, Feit F, Colombo A, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, Manoukian SV, Fahy M, Clayton TC, Mehran R, Pocock SJ, , Antithrombotic strategies in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing early invasive management: one-year results from the ACUITY trial. JAMA 2007;298:2497-506. [18056903] 10.1001/jama.298.21.2497

Stone GW, White HD, Ohman EM, Bertrand ME, Lincoff AM, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, Pocock SJ, Ware JH, Feit F, Colombo A, Manoukian SV, Lansky AJ, Mehran R, Moses JW, , Bivalirudin in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a subgroup analysis from the Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage strategy (ACUITY) trial. Lancet 2007;369:907-19. [17368152] 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60450-4

Stone GW, Bertrand M, Colombo A, Dangas G, Farkouh ME, Feit F, Lansky AJ, Lincoff AM, Mehran R, Moses JW, Ohman M, White HD, Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage strategY (ACUITY) trial: study design and rationale. Am Heart J 2004;148:764-75. [15523305] 10.1016/j.ahj.2004.04.036

ACUITY (biva+aGP2b3a vs hep+aGP2b3a), 2006:

Stone GW, Ware JH, Bertrand ME, Lincoff AM, Moses JW, Ohman EM, White HD, Feit F, Colombo A, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, Manoukian SV, Fahy M, Clayton TC, Mehran R, Pocock SJ Antithrombotic strategies in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing early invasive management: one-year results from the ACUITY trial. JAMA 2007 Dec 5;298:2497-506 [18056903]

ACUITY (sub groups PCI, bivalirudin +aGP2b3a) import, 2007:

Stone GW, White HD, Ohman EM, Bertrand ME, Lincoff AM, McLaurin BT, Cox DA, Pocock SJ, Ware JH, Feit F, Colombo A, Manoukian SV, Lansky AJ, Mehran R, Moses JW, , Bivalirudin in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: a subgroup analysis from the Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage strategy (ACUITY) trial. Lancet 2007;369:907-19. [17368152] 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60450-4

UNASEM, 1992:

Br FW, Verheugt FW, Col J, Materne P, Monassier JP, Geslin PG, Metzger J, Raynaud P, Foucault J, de Zwaan C Thrombolysis in patients with unstable angina improves the angiographic but not the clinical outcome. Results of UNASEM, a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, clinical trial with anistreplase. Circulation 1992;86:131-7 [1617766]

APPRAISE 2, 2011:

Alexander JH, Lopes RD, James S, Kilaru R, He Y, Mohan P, Bhatt DL, Goodman S, Verheugt FW, Flather M, Huber K, Liaw D, Husted SE, Lopez-Sendon J, De Caterina R, Jansky P, Darius H, Vinereanu D, Cornel JH, Cools F, Atar D, Leiva-Pons JL, Keltai M, Ogawa H Apixaban with Antiplatelet Therapy after Acute Coronary Syndrome. N Engl J Med 2011 Jul 24;: [21780946] 10.1056/NEJMoa1105819

APPRAISE-1 (10mg od), 2009:

Apixaban, an Oral, Direct, Selective Factor Xa Inhibitor, in Combination With Antiplatelet Therapy After Acute Coronary Syndrome. Results of the Apixaban for Prevention of Acute Ischemic and Safety Events (APPRAISE) Trial. Circulation 2009;: [19470889]

APPRAISE-1 (2.5 mg bid), 2009:

Circulation 2009;: [19470889]

APPRAISE japan, :
ongoing trial NCT00852397
VA-main, 1983:
Lewis HD Jr, Davis JW, Archibald DG, Steinke WE, Smitherman TC, Doherty JE 3rd, Schnaper HW, LeWinter MM, Linares E, Pouget JM, Sabharwal SC, Chesler E, DeMots H Protective effects of aspirin against acute myocardial infarction and death in men with unstable angina. Results of a Veterans Administration Cooperative Study. N Engl J Med 1983;309:396-403 [6135989]
ISIS-pilot, 1987:
Randomized factorial trial of high-dose intravenous streptokinase, of oral aspirin and of intravenous heparin in acute myocardial infarction. ISIS (International Studies of Infarct Survival) pilot study. Eur Heart J 1987;8:634-42 [2887430]
ISIS-2, 1988:
Randomised trial of intravenous streptokinase, oral aspirin, both, or neither among 17,187 cases of suspected acute myocardial infarction: ISIS-2. ISIS-2 (Second International Study of Infarct Survival) Collaborative Group. Lancet 1988;2:349-60 [2899772]
VA-pilot, 0:
unpublished
Lewis HD Circulation 1985;72 (suppl V):155-60
RISC, 1990:
Risk of myocardial infarction and death during treatment with low dose aspirin and intravenous heparin in men with unstable coronary artery disease. The RISC Group. Lancet 1990;336:827-30 [1976875]
Wallentin LC Aspirin (75 mg/day) after an episode of unstable coronary artery disease: long-term effects on the risk for myocardial infarction, occurrence of severe angina and the need for revascularization. Research Group on Instability in Coronary Artery Disease in Southeast Sweden. J Am Coll Cardiol 1991;18:1587-93 [1960301]
Canadian (Aspirin vs PBO), 1985:
Cairns JA, Gent M, Singer J, Finnie KJ, Froggatt GM, Holder DA, Jablonsky G, Kostuk WJ, Melendez LJ, Myers MG N Engl J Med 1985;313:1369-75 [3903504]
ALDUSA-pilot, 0:
unpublished
Unit de Pharmacologie Clinique, 1987. (Unit de Pharmacologie Clinique unpublished report)
Dutch-aspirin, 1990:
Verheugt FW, van der Laarse A, Funke-Kpper AJ, Sterkman LG, Galema TW, Roos JP Effects of early intervention with low-dose aspirin (100 mg) on infarct size, reinfarction and mortality in anterior wall acute myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol 1990;66:267-70 [2195861]
Throux, 1988:
Theroux P, Ouimet H, McCans J, Latour JG, Joly P, Levy G, Pelletier E, Juneau M, Stasiak J, deGuise P Aspirin, heparin, or both to treat acute unstable angina. N Engl J Med 1988 Oct 27;319:1105-11 [3050522]
APRICOT, 1993:
Meijer A, Verheugt FW, Werter CJ, Lie KI, van der Pol JM, van Eenige MJ Aspirin versus coumadin in the prevention of reocclusion and recurrent ischemia after successful thrombolysis: a prospective placebo-controlled angiographic study. Results of the APRICOT Study. Circulation 1993;87:1524-30 [8491007]
Prandoni, 1991:
Prandoni P, Milani L, Barbiero M, Cardaioli P, Sanson A, Barbaresi F, Zonzin P, Visani L [Treatment of unstable angina with dipyridamole combined with low doses of aspirin. A multicenter pilot double-blind controlled study] Minerva Cardioangiol 1991;39:267-73 [1780077]
Canadian (Aspirin + sulfinpyrazone), 1985:
Cairns JA, Gent M, Singer J, Finnie KJ, Froggatt GM, Holder DA, Jablonsky G, Kostuk WJ, Melendez LJ, Myers MG Aspirin, sulfinpyrazone, or both in unstable angina. Results of a Canadian multicenter trial. N Engl J Med 1985;313:1369-75 [3903504]
LANCELOT ACS, :
O'Donoghue ML, Bhatt DL, Wiviott SD, Goodman SG, Fitzgerald DJ, Angiolillo DJ, Goto S, Montalescot G, Zeymer U, Aylward PE, Guetta V, Dudek D, Ziecina R, Contant CF, Flather MD Safety and tolerability of atopaxar in the treatment of patients with acute coronary syndromes: the lessons from antagonizing the cellular effects of ThrombinAcute Coronary Syndromes Trial. Circulation 2011 May 3;123:1843-53 [21502577] 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.000786
J-LANCELOT, 2010:

MIRACL, 2001:

Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, Ezekowitz MD, Ganz P, Oliver MF, Waters D, Zeiher A, Chaitman BR, Leslie S, Stern T Effects of atorvastatin on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes: the MIRACL study: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2001 Apr 4;285:1711-8 [11277825]

REDEEM, 2009:

unpublished

Oldgren J, Budaj A, Granger CB, Khder Y, Roberts J, Siegbahn A, Tijssen JG, Van de Werf F, Wallentin L Dabigatran vs. placebo in patients with acute coronary syndromes on dual antiplatelet therapy: a randomized, double-blind, phase II trial. Eur Heart J 2011 Nov;32:2781-9 [21551462]

Gbel (Dutch study), 1995:

Gobel EJ, Hautvast RW, van Gilst WH, Spanjaard JN, Hillege HL, DeJongste MJ, Molhoek GP, Lie KI Randomised, double-blind trial of intravenous diltiazem versus glyceryl trinitrate for unstable angina pectoris. Lancet 1995;346:1653-7 [8551821]

DRS, 1986:

Gibson RS, Boden WE, Theroux P, Strauss HD, Pratt CM, Gheorghiade M, Capone RJ, Crawford MH, Schlant RC, Kleiger RE Diltiazem and reinfarction in patients with non-Q-wave myocardial infarction. Results of a double-blind, randomized, multicenter trial. N Engl J Med 1986;315:423-9 [3526151] 10.1056/NEJM198608143150704

LIPS (sub groups), 2002:

Serruys PW, de Feyter P, Macaya C, Kokott N, Puel J, Vrolix M, Branzi A, Bertolami MC, Jackson G, Strauss B, Meier B Fluvastatin for prevention of cardiac events following successful first percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2002 Jun 26;287:3215-22 [12076217]

FLORIDA, 2002:

Liem AH, van Boven AJ, Veeger NJ, Withagen AJ, Robles de Medina RM, Tijssen JG, van Veldhuisen DJ Effect of fluvastatin on ischaemia following acute myocardial infarction: a randomized trial. Eur Heart J 2002;23:1931-7 [12473255]

Czech trial, :

ongoing trial NCT00171275

TAUSA, 1994:

Ambrose JA, Almeida OD, Sharma SK, Torre SR, Marmur JD, Israel DH, Ratner DE, Weiss MB, Hjemdahl-Monsen CE, Myler RK Adjunctive thrombolytic therapy during angioplasty for ischemic rest angina. Results of the TAUSA Trial. TAUSA Investigators. Thrombolysis and Angioplasty in Unstable Angina trial. Circulation 1994;90:69-77 [8026054]

LAMIL, 1997:

Kesteloot H, Claeys G, Blanckaert N, Lesaffre E Time course of serum lipids and apolipoproteins after acute myocardial infarction: modification by pravastatin. Acta Cardiol 1997;52:107-16 [9187418]

RECIFE, 1999:

Dupuis J, Tardif JC, Cernacek P, Throux P Cholesterol reduction rapidly improves endothelial function after acute coronary syndromes. The RECIFE (reduction of cholesterol in ischemia and function of the endothelium) trial. Circulation 1999;99:3227-33 [10385495]

PAIS, 2001:

Den Hartog FR, Van Kalmthout PM, Van Loenhout TT, Schaafsma HJ, Rila H, Verheugt FW Pravastatin in acute ischaemic syndromes: results of a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Int J Clin Pract 2001;55:300-4 [11452676]

PACT, 2004:

Thompson PL, Meredith I, Amerena J, et al. Effect of pravastatin compared with placebo initiated within 24 hours of onset of acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina: the Pravastatin in Acute Coronary Treatment (PACT) trial Am Heart J. 2004;148:e2

Thompson PL, Meredith I, Amerena J, Campbell TJ, Sloman JG, Harris PJ Effect of pravastatin compared with placebo initiated within 24 hours of onset of acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina: the Pravastatin in Acute Coronary Treatment (PACT) trial. Am Heart J 2004;148:e2 [15215811]

MERLIN TIMI 36, 2007:

Morrow D Evaluation of a novel anti-ischemic agent in acute coronary syndromes: the primary results of the metabolic efficiency with ranolazine for less ischemia in non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome (MERLIN)-TIMI 26 trial American College of Cardiology Annual Scientific Session, New Orleans, LA, March 2007

Morrow DA, Scirica BM, Karwatowska-Prokopczuk E, Murphy SA, Budaj A, Varshavsky S, Wolff AA, Skene A, McCabe CH, Braunwald E Effects of ranolazine on recurrent cardiovascular events in patients with non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndromes: the MERLIN-TIMI 36 randomized trial. JAMA 2007 Apr 25;297:1775-83 [17456819]

ATLAS ACS-TIMI 46 (2.5mg), 2009:

Mega JL, Braunwald E, Mohanavelu S, Burton P, Poulter R, Misselwitz F, Hricak V, Barnathan ES, Bordes P, Witkowski A, Markov V, Oppenheimer L, Gibson CM Rivaroxaban versus placebo in patients with acute coronary syndromes (ATLAS ACS-TIMI 46): a randomised, double-blind, phase II trial. Lancet 2009 Jul 4;374:29-38 [19539361]

ATLAS ACS 2 - TIMI 51 (2.5mg), 2011:

Mega JL, Braunwald E, Wiviott SD, Bassand JP, Bhatt DL, Bode C, Burton P, Cohen M, Cook-Bruns N, Fox KA, Goto S, Murphy SA, Plotnikov AN, Schneider D, Sun X, Verheugt FW, Gibson CM Rivaroxaban in Patients with a Recent Acute Coronary Syndrome. N Engl J Med 2011 Nov 13;: [22077192] 10.1056/NEJMoa1112277

ATLAS ACS-TIMI 46 (5mg), 2009:

Mega JL, Braunwald E, Mohanavelu S, Burton P, Poulter R, Misselwitz F, Hricak V, Barnathan ES, Bordes P, Witkowski A, Markov V, Oppenheimer L, Gibson CM Rivaroxaban versus placebo in patients with acute coronary syndromes (ATLAS ACS-TIMI 46): a randomised, double-blind, phase II trial. Lancet 2009 Jul 4;374:29-38 [19539361]

ATLAS ACS 2 - TIMI 51 (5mg), 2011:

Mega JL, Braunwald E, Wiviott SD, Bassand JP, Bhatt DL, Bode C, Burton P, Cohen M, Cook-Bruns N, Fox KA, Goto S, Murphy SA, Plotnikov AN, Schneider D, Sun X, Verheugt FW, Gibson CM Rivaroxaban in Patients with a Recent Acute Coronary Syndrome. N Engl J Med 2011 Nov 13;: [22077192] 10.1056/NEJMoa1112277

A to Z, 2004:

de Lemos JA, Blazing MA, Wiviott SD, Lewis EF, Fox KA, White HD, Rouleau JL, Pedersen TR, Gardner LH, Mukherjee R, Ramsey KE, Palmisano J, Bilheimer DW, Pfeffer MA, Califf RM, Braunwald E Early intensive vs a delayed conservative simvastatin strategy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: phase Z of the A to Z trial. JAMA 2004 Sep 15;292:1307-16 [15337732]

Canadian (sulfinpyrazone alone), 1985:

Cairns JA, Gent M, Singer J, Finnie KJ, Froggatt GM, Holder DA, Jablonsky G, Kostuk WJ, Melendez LJ, Myers MG N Engl J Med 1985;313:1369-75 [3903504]

Wilcox, 1980:

Wilcox RG, Richardson D, Hampton JR, Mitchell JR, Banks DC Sulphinpyrazone in acute myocardial infarction: studies on cardiac rhythm and renal function. Br Med J 1980;281:531-4 [7000264]

Louvain sulphinpyrazone, 1983:

Lijnen P, Boelaert J, van Eeghem P, Daneels R, Schurgers M, de Jaegere P, van der Stichele E, Vincke J, Fagard R, Verschueren LJ, Amery A Decrease in renal function due to sulphinpyrazone treatment early after myocardial infarction. Clin Nephrol 1983;19:143-6 [6340878]

Nicklas, 1989:

Nicklas JM, Topol EJ, Kander N, O'Neill WW, Walton JA, Ellis SG, Gorman L, Pitt B Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of tissue plasminogen activator in unstable angina. J Am Coll Cardiol 1989;13:434-41 [2492325]

Gold, 1987:

Gold HK, Johns JA, Leinbach RC, Yasuda T, Grossbard E, Zusman R, Collen D A randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trial of recombinant human tissue-type plasminogen activator in patients with unstable angina pectoris. Circulation 1987 Jun;75:1192-9 [3105913]

Williams, 1990:

Williams DO, Topol EJ, Califf RM, Roberts R, Mancini GB, Joelson JM, Ellis SG, Kleiman NS Intravenous recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator in patients with unstable angina pectoris. Results of a placebo-controlled, randomized trial. Circulation 1990 Aug;82:376-83 [2115407]

Freeman, 1992:

Freeman MR, Langer A, Wilson RF, Morgan CD, Armstrong PW Thrombolysis in unstable angina. Randomized double-blind trial of t-PA and placebo. Circulation 1992;85:150-7 [1728444]

van der Brand, 1991:

van den Brand M, van Zijl A, Geuskens R, de Feyter PJ, Serruys PW, Simoons ML Tissue plasminogen activator in refractory unstable angina. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial in patients with refractory unstable angina and subsequent angioplasty. Eur Heart J 1991;12:1208-14 [1782951]

charbonnier, 1992:

Charbonnier B, Bernadet P, Schiele F, Thery C, Baudouy M, Bauters C [Intravenous thrombolysis by recombinant plasminogen activator (rt-PA) in unstable angina. A randomized multicenter study versus placebo] Arch Mal Coeur Vaiss 1992;85:1471-7 [1297297]

Ardissino, 1990:

Ardissino D, Barberis P, De Servi S, Mussini A, Rolla A, Visani L, Specchia G Recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator followed by heparin compared with heparin alone for refractory unstable angina pectoris. Am J Cardiol 1990;66:910-4 [2121016]

TIMI 3B, 1995:

Anderson HV, Cannon CP, Stone PH, Williams DO, McCabe CH, Knatterud GL, Thompson B, Willerson JT, Braunwald E One-year results of the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) IIIB clinical trial. A randomized comparison of tissue-type plasminogen activator versus placebo and early invasive versus early conservative strategies in unstable angina and non-Q wave myocardial infarction. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;26:1643-50 [7594098]

Topol, 1988:

Topol EJ, Nicklas JM, Kander NH, Walton JA, Ellis SG, Gorman L, Pitt B Coronary revascularization after intravenous tissue plasminogen activator for unstable angina pectoris: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Am J Cardiol 1988;62:368-71 [2970776]

TIMI 3A, 1993:

Early effects of tissue-type plasminogen activator added to conventional therapy on the culprit coronary lesion in patients presenting with ischemic cardiac pain at rest. Results of the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Ischemia (TIMI IIIA) Trial. Circulation 1993 Jan;87:38-52 [8419023]

11 obesity and overweight

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
liraglutide vs placebo			
Astrup (NN8022-1807) , 2009 [NCT00422058] n=NA follow-up: 20 weeks	4 liraglutide doses (1.2 mg, 1.8 mg, 2.4 mg, or 3.0 mg daily) versus placebo	obese individuals without type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups double blind Europe
lorcaserin vs placebo			
APD356-004 , 2009 n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
BLOOM , 2010 [NCT00395135] n=NA follow-up: 52 weeks	lorcaserin 10mg bid versus placebo	-	Parallel groups double-blind
BLOOM-DM (10mg bid) *unpublished* [NCT00603291] n=253/56 follow-up: 52 weeks	lorcaserin 10 mg BID versus placebo	overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus managed with oral hypoglycemic agents	Parallel groups double-blind
BLOSSOM (10mg bid) , 2009 [NCT00603902] n=1603/1603 follow-up: 1 year	lorcaserin 10 mg twice daily versus placebo	obese and overweight patients	Parallel groups double blind USA
Orlistat vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Bakris , 2002 n=278/276 follow-up: 1-year	orlistat versus placebo	obese individuals with inadequately controlled hypertension.	double-blind
Broom , 2002 n=265/261 follow-up: 54-week	orlistat versus placebo	obese patients with cardiovascular risk	parallel group double-blind
Broom, , 2001 n=71/71 follow-up:	-	-	
Davidson , 1999 n=668/224 follow-up: 52 weeks	orlistat, 120 mg 3 times a day, for 52 weeks versus placebo	Obese adults (BMI 30-43 kg/m2)	double-blind US
Deerochanawong, , 2001 n=126/126 follow-up:	-	-	
Derosa , 2003 n=27/23 follow-up: 1-year	orlistat 120 mg TID versus placebo	obese patients with hypercholesterolemia	double-blind
Gotfredsen , 2001 n=16/14 follow-up:	-	-	
Halpern , 2003 n=169/174 follow-up: 24 weeks	orlistat (120 mg t.i.d.), versus placebo	Obese, non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients, aged 18-70 years old, with BMI >27 kg/m2	parallel Double-blind Latin-America
Hanefeld , 2002 n=195/188 follow-up: 48-week	orlistat 120 mg t.i.d. versus placebo	Overweight or obese adults (BMI >or= 28 kg/m2) with HbA1c of 6.5-11% and clinical type 2 diabetes	double-blind
Hauptman , 2000 n=210/212 follow-up: 1 year	60 mg of orlistat TID or 120 mg of orlistat TID, versus placebo	obese patients (BMI 30-44 kg/m2)	double-blind USA
Hill , 1999 n=181/188 follow-up: 1 year	30 mg orlistat, 60 mg orlistat, or 120 mg orlistat 3 times daily for 1 y versus placebo	Obese subjects who lost >or = 8% of their initial body weight during a 6-mo lead-in of a prescribed hypoenergetic diet (4180-kJ/d deficit) with no adjunctive pharmacotherapy	double-blind
Hollander , 1998 n=163/159 follow-up: 57-week	120 mg orlistat orally three times a day versus placebo	obese men and women with type 2 diabetes who were aged >18 years, had a BMI of 28-40 kg/m2, and were clinically stable on oral sulfonylureas	double-blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Karhunen , 2000 n=36/36 follow-up: 1 y.	orlistat 120 mg t.i.d. versus placebo	obese subjects	double-blind
Kelley , 2002 n=274/276 follow-up: 1-year	orlistat 120 mg three times a day versus placebo	overweight or obese adults (BMI 28-40 kg/m(2)) with type 2 diabetes treated with insulin alone or combined with oral agents, but with suboptimal metabolic control (HbA(1c) 7.5-12.0%)	double-blind
Krempf , 2005 n=346/350 follow-up: 18-month	orlistat 120 mg three times daily versus placebo	otherwise healthy, overweight patients aged 18-65 y (BMI >or=28 kg/m2	double-blind
Lindgarde , 2000 n=190/186 follow-up: 1 year	orlistat 120 mg three times daily versus placebo	obese adults (body mass index 28-38 kg m-2) with type 2 diabetes, hypercholesterolaemia and/or hypertension	double-blind Sweden
Lucas , 2003 n=256/188 follow-up:	-	-	
Micic , 1999 n=60/59 follow-up: 24 weeks	orlistat 120 mg three times daily versus placebo	obese patients (BMI >or = 30 kg/m2) with hyperlipidemia (LDL-cholesterol >or = 4, 2 mmol/l)	double-blind
Miles , 2002 n=255/261 follow-up: 1 year	120 mg orlistat t.i.d. versus placebo	overweight and obese patients with suboptimal control of type 2 diabetes	double-blind
Muls , 2001 n=147/147 follow-up: 24 week	orlistat 120 mg three times daily versus placebo	obese hypercholesterolemic patients, BMI between 27-40 kg/m2 and low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C, between 4.1-6.7 mmol/l	double-blind
Naumov , 2002 n=15/15 follow-up:	orlistat versus diet alone	patients with stable angina pectoris concomitant with obesity and hyperlipemia	open
Reaven , 2001 n=156/91 follow-up:	-	-	
Rissanen , 2001 n=25/26 follow-up: 12-month	orlistat 120 mg three times daily versus placebo	healthy obese women	double-blind
Rosenfalck , 2002 n=3/1 follow-up:	-	obese patients	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Rossner , 2000 n=244/243 follow-up: 2-year	orlistat (60 or 120 mg) three times a day versus Obese patients (body mass index 28 to 43 kg/m2)	Obese patients (body mass index 28 to 43 kg/m2)	double-blind
Shi Yi , 2001 n=986/142 follow-up:	-	-	
Sjostrom , 1998 n=345/343 follow-up: 1 year	-	-	double-blind Europe
Vidgren , 1999 n=37/38 follow-up: 1 year	120 mg of orlistat three times a day versus placebo	obese subjects	
Sibutramine vs placebo			
SCOUT , 2010 [NCT00234832] n=4906/4898 follow-up: 3.4 year	sibutramine versus placebo	overweight or obese patients with diabetes or a history of coronary or peripheral vascular disease or stroke, along with other CV risk factors	Parallel groups double blind
McMahon , 2002 n=145/72 follow-up:	-	-	
McMahon , 2000 n=142/157 follow-up:	-	-	
Smith , 2001 n=142/69 follow-up:	-	-	
Topiramate vs placebo			
Bray , 2003 n=75/75 follow-up:	-	-	
Caterson , 2003 n=93/97 follow-up:	-	-	
Pudhomme , 2003 n=33/33 follow-up:	-	-	
Rissanen , 2003 n=123/103 follow-up:	-	-	
Stenlof , 2003 n=135/137 follow-up:	-	-	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Tonstad , 2003 n=178/177 follow-up:	-	-	

More details and results :

- All mechanism for obesity and overweight in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q265

References

Astrup (NN8022-1807), 2009:

Astrup A, Rssner S, Van Gaal L, Rissanen A, Niskanen L, Al Hakim M, Madsen J, Rasmussen MF, Lean ME Effects of liraglutide in the treatment of obesity: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Lancet 2009 Oct 22;: [19853906] 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61375-1

APD356-004, 2009:

Smith SR, Prosser WA, Donahue DJ, Morgan ME, Anderson CM, Shanahan WR Lorcaserin (APD356), a selective 5-HT(2C) agonist, reduces body weight in obese men and women. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2009;17:494-503 [19057523] 10.1038/oby.2008.537

BLOOM, 2010:

Smith SR, Weissman NJ, Anderson CM, Sanchez M, Chuang E, Stubbe S, Bays H, Shanahan WR Multicenter, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Lorcaserin for Weight Management. N Engl J Med 2010 Jul 15;363:245-256 [20647200] 10.1056/NEJMoa0909809

BLOOM-DM (10mg bid), :

unpublished

BLOSSOM (10mg bid), 2009:

Kaplan LM, Smith SR, Weissman NJ, et al The BLOSSOM trial: efficacy and safety of lorcaserin in obese and overweight men and women Obesity 2009; October 27, 2009; Washington, DC

Fidler MC, Sanchez M, Raether B, Weissman NJ, Smith SR, Shanahan WR, Anderson CM A one-year randomized trial of lorcaserin for weight loss in obese and overweight adults: the BLOSSOM trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:3067-77 [21795446] 10.1210/jc.2011-1256

Bakris, 2002:

Bakris G, Calhoun D, Egan B, Hellmann C, Dolker M, Kingma I Orlistat improves blood pressure control in obese subjects with treated but inadequately controlled hypertension. J Hypertens 2002 Nov;20:2257-67 [12409965]

Broom, 2002:

Broom I, Wilding J, Stott P, Myers N Randomised trial of the effect of orlistat on body weight and cardiovascular disease risk profile in obese patients: UK Multimorbidity Study. Int J Clin Pract 2002 Sep;56:494-9 [12296610]

Broom,, 2001:

Broom I. Randomised trial of the effect of orlistat on body weight and CVD risk profile in overweight and obese patients with co-morbidities [Abstract]. Int J Obes. 2001;25 Suppl:S106

Davidson, 1999:

Davidson MH, Hauptman J, DiGirolamo M, Foreyt JP, Halsted CH, Heber D, Heimburger DC, Lucas CP, Robbins DC, Chung J, Heymsfield SB Weight control and risk factor reduction in obese subjects treated for 2 years with orlistat: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1999 Jan 20;281:235-42 [9918478]

Deerochanawong,, 2001:

Deerochanawong C. Effect of treatment with orlistat in overweight or obese Thai patients with type 2 diabetes [Abstract]. Diabetes. 2001;50:A433.

Derosa, 2003:

Derosa G, Mugellini A, Ciccarelli L, Fogari R Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison of the action of orlistat, fluvastatin, or both an anthropometric measurements, blood pressure, and lipid profile in obese patients with hypercholesterolemia prescribed a standardized diet. Clin Ther 2003 Apr;25:1107-22 [12809960]

Gotfredsen, 2001:

Reaven G, Segal K, Hauptman J, Boldrin M, Lucas C Effect of orlistat-assisted weight loss in decreasing coronary heart disease risk in patients with syndrome X. Am J Cardiol 2001 Apr 1;87:827-31 [11274935]

Halpern, 2003:

Halpern A, Mancini MC, Suplicy H, Zanella MT, Repetto G, Gross J, Jadzinsky M, Barranco J, Aschner P, Ramirez L, Matos AG Latin-American trial of orlistat for weight loss and improvement in glycaemic profile in obese diabetic patients. Diabetes Obes Metab 2003 May;5:180-8 [12681025]

Hanefeld, 2002:

Hanefeld M, Sachse G The effects of orlistat on body weight and glycaemic control in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Diabetes Obes Metab 2002 Nov;4:415-23 [12406041]

Hauptman, 2000:

Hauptman J, Lucas C, Boldrin MN, Collins H, Segal KR Orlistat in the long-term treatment of obesity in primary care settings. Arch Fam Med 2000 Feb;9:160-7 [10693734]

Hill, 1999:

Hill JO, Hauptman J, Anderson JW, Fujioka K, O'Neil PM, Smith DK, Zavoral JH, Aronne LJ Orlistat, a lipase inhibitor, for weight maintenance after conventional dieting: a 1-y study. Am J Clin Nutr 1999 Jun;69:1108-16 [10357727]

Hollander, 1998:

Hollander PA, Elbein SC, Hirsch IB, Kelley D, McGill J, Taylor T, Weiss SR, Crockett SE, Kaplan RA, Comstock J, Lucas CP, Lodewick PA, Canovatchel W, Chung J, Hauptman J Role of orlistat in the treatment of obese patients with type 2 diabetes. A 1-year randomized double-blind study. Diabetes Care 1998 Aug;21:1288-94 [9702435]

Karhunen, 2000:

Karhunen L, Franssila-Kallunki A, Rissanen P, Valve R, Kolehmainen M, Rissanen A, Uusitupa M Effect of orlistat treatment on body composition and resting energy expenditure during a two-year weight-reduction programme in obese Finns. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2000 Dec;24:1567-72 [11126207]

Kelley, 2002:

Kelley DE, Bray GA, Pi-Sunyer FX, Klein S, Hill J, Miles J, Hollander P Clinical efficacy of orlistat therapy in overweight and obese patients with insulin-treated type 2 diabetes: A 1-year randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Care 2002 Jun;25:1033-41 [12032111]

Krempf, 2005:

Krempf M, Louvet JP, Allanic H, Miloradovich T, Joubert JM, Attali JR Weight reduction and long-term maintenance after 18 months treatment with orlistat for obesity. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2003 May;27:591-7 [12704403]

Lindgarde, 2000:

Lindgarde F The effect of orlistat on body weight and coronary heart disease risk profile in obese patients: the Swedish Multimorbidity Study. J Intern Med 2000 Sep;248:245-54 [10971792]

Lucas, 2003:

Lucas CP, Boldrin MN, Reaven GM Effect of orlistat added to diet (30

Micic, 1999:

Micic D, Ivkovic-Lazar T, Dragojevic R, Jorga J, Stokic E, Hajdukovic Z Orlistat, a gastrointestinal lipase inhibitor, in therapy of obesity with concomitant hyperlipidemia. Med Pregl 1999 Sep-Oct;52:323-33 [10624380]

Miles, 2002:

Miles JM, Leiter L, Hollander P, Wadden T, Anderson JW, Doyle M, Foreyt J, Aronne L, Klein S Effect of orlistat in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin. Diabetes Care 2002 Jul;25:1123-8 [12087008]

Muls, 2001:

Muls E, Kolanowski J, Scheen A, Van Gaal L The effects of orlistat on weight and on serum lipids in obese patients with hypercholesterolemia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre study. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001 Nov;25:1713-21 [11753595]

Naumov, 2002:

Naumov VG, Lupanov VP, Dotsenko IuV, Tvorogova MG [Six-month xenical (orlistat) therapy of patients with stable angina pectoris concomitant with obesity and hyperlipidemia] Ter Arkh 2002;74:47-51 [11878059]

Reaven, 2001:

Reaven G, Segal K, Hauptman J, Boldrin M, Lucas C Effect of orlistat-assisted weight loss in decreasing coronary heart disease risk in patients with syndrome X. Am J Cardiol 2001 Apr 1;87:827-31 [11274935]

Rissanen, 2001:

Rissanen P, Vahtera E, Krusius T, Uusitupa M, Rissanen A Weight change and blood coagulability and fibrinolysis in healthy obese women. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001 Feb;25:212-8 [11410822]

Rosenfalck, 2002:

Rosenfalck AM, Hendel H, Rasmussen MH, Almdal T, Anderson T, Hilsted J, Madsbad S Minor long-term changes in weight have beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function in obese subjects. Diabetes Obes Metab 2002 Jan;4:19-28 [11890163]

Rossner, 2000:

Rossner S, Sjostrom L, Noack R, Meinders AE, Noseda G Weight loss, weight maintenance, and improved cardiovascular risk factors after 2 years treatment with orlistat for obesity. European Orlistat Obesity Study Group. Obes Res 2000 Jan;8:49-61 [10678259]

Shi Yi, 2001:

Shi Yi F, Zhu Jun R. Effect of orlistat on weight loss and glycemic control in overweight Chinese patients with type 2 diabetes [Abstract]. Diabetes. 2001;50:A101-A102

Sjostrom, 1998:

Sjostrom L, Rissanen A, Andersen T, Boldrin M, Golay A, Koppeschaar HP, Krempf M Randomised placebo-controlled trial of orlistat for weight loss and prevention of weight regain in obese patients. European Multicentre Orlistat Study Group. Lancet 1998 Jul 18;352:167-72 [9683204]

Vidgren, 1999:

Vidgren HM, Agren JJ, Valve RS, Karhunen LJ, Rissanen AM, Uusitupa MI The effect of orlistat on the fatty acid composition of serum lipid fractions in obese subjects. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1999 Sep;66:315-22 [10511068]

SCOUT, 2010:

James W. P. T. The SCOUT study: risk-benefit profile of sibutramine in overweight high-risk cardiovascular patients Eur Heart J Suppl 2005;7:L44-48

Torp-Pedersen C, Caterson I, Coutinho W, Finer N, Van Gaal L, Maggioni A, Sharma A, Brisco W, Deaton R, Shepherd G, James P Cardiovascular responses to weight management and sibutramine in high-risk subjects: an analysis from the SCOUT trial. Eur Heart J 2007 Dec;28:2915-23 [17595194]

James WP, Caterson ID, Coutinho W, Finer N, Van Gaal LF, Maggioni AP, Torp-Pedersen C, Sharma AM, Shepherd GM, Rode RA, Renz CL Effect of sibutramine on cardiovascular outcomes in overweight and obese subjects. N Engl J Med 2010 Sep 2;363:905-17 [20818901] 10.1056/NEJMoa1003114

McMahon, 2002:

McMahon FG, Weinstein SP, Rowe E, Ernst KR, Johnson F, Fujioka K Sibutramine is safe and effective for weight loss in obese patients whose hypertension is well controlled with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. J Hum Hypertens 2002 Jan;16:5-11 [11840224]

McMahon, 2000:

McMahon FG, Fujioka K, Singh BN, Mendel CM, Rowe E, Rolston K, Johnson F, Mooradian AD Efficacy and safety of sibutramine in obese white and African American patients with hypertension: a 1-year, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. Arch Intern Med 2000 Jul 24;160:2185-91 [10904462]

Smith, 2001:

Smith IG, Goulder MA Randomized placebo-controlled trial of long-term treatment with sibutramine in mild to moderate obesity. J Fam Pract 2001 Jun;50:505-12 [11407998]

Bray, 2003:

Bray GA, Hollander P, Klein S, Kushner R, Levy B, Fitchet M, Perry BH A 6-month randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging trial of topiramate for weight loss in obesity. Obes Res 2003 Jun;11:722-33 [12805393]

Caterson, 2003:

Caterson I, Astrup A, Zelissen P, Guy-Grand B, Carruba M, Levy B, et al. The long-term effect of topiramate on body weight maintenance after low-calorie diet-induced weight loss in obese subjects [Abstract]. Presented at the 18th International Diabetes Federation Congress, Paris, France, 2429 August 2003.

Pudhomme, 2003:

Rissanen, 2003:

Stenlof, 2003:

Tonstad, 2003:

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
IPC + aspirin vs aspirin			
Hull 2 (+asp) , 1979 n=NA follow-up:	-	patients undergoing elective knee surgery	open
Hull (+asp) , 1979 n=NA follow-up:	-	patients undergoing elective knee surgery	Parallel groups open
65279;Lieberman (A) , 1994 n=130/130 follow-up:	hypotensive epidural anesthesia, external pneumatic-compression boots, and aspirin versus hypotensive epidural anesthesia and aspirin	primary unilateral or bilateral total hip arthroplasty with use of hypotensive epidural anesthesia	Parallel groups open
aspirin vs control			
Clagett , 1975 n=56/49	A1300 versus control	-	open
Zekert VI , 1982 n=50/50	A1500 versus control	-	open
aspirin + dipyridamol vs control			
Chicago , 1982 n=12/15 follow-up:	aspirin, 300 mg bid, and dipyridamole, 75 mg tid versus control	patients with acute spinal cord injury	Parallel groups open
certoparin vs control			
Kock , 1995 n=176/163 follow-up: 15 days	Certoparin 3000 IU versus no prophylaxis	patients with minor injuries treated with plaster-cast immobilisation of the leg	Parallel groups open
deltaparin vs control			
Michot , 2002 n=66/64 follow-up: 30 days	deltaparin 2500IU 60-120min before procedure, followed 6hrs after the end of the procedure by 2500IU (<70kg) or 5000 IU(>70kg) versus no treatment	patients requiring diagnostic or therapeutic arthoscopic knee surgery as outpatients; aged18 to 80 years.	Parallel groups open Switzerland.
dipyridamol + aspirin vs control			
Parodi I , 1973 n=40/22	Dip,A1000+Dip versus control	-	open

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Parodi II , 1973 n=91/35	A1500,Dip,A+Dip versus control	-	open
Australian I , 1975 n=75/75	A1000+Dip versus control	-	open
Australian II , 1976 n=85/75	A1000+Dip versus control	-	open
Toulouse I , 1979 n=38/66	A990+Dip versus control	-	open
Zekert-III , 1977 n=135/46	A1500,A1300+Dip,A1000+Dip versus control	-	open
Harjola DVT , 1982 n=300/100	A1500,Dip,A+Dip versus control	-	open
Weiss , 1977 n=30/36	A990+Dip versus control	-	open
enoxaparin vs control			
Canata , 2003 n=18/18 follow-up: 6 days	enoxaparin sc daily (dose not specified) versus no treatment	ACL reconstruction for symptomatic ACL-deficient knees	Parallel groups Italy
Footpump (monotherapy) vs control			
Scurr , 1981 n=33/33 follow-up:	Plantar flexion and dorsiflexion of the feet while the patient is on the operating table by the use of a mechanical device (the Pedi-Pulsor) versus control	abdominal or thoracic surgery	open
Wilson , 1992 n=28/32	-	Elective knee remplacement	open
IPC sequential compression vs control			
65279;Blackshear exclure , 1987 n=20/20 follow-up:	Sequential external pneumatic compression versus control	abdominal or thoracic surgery	Cross over open
Hull II , 1990 n=152/158 follow-up:	sequential intermittent calf and thigh compression versus no prophylaxis	patients undergoing total hip replacement	open

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Fisher , 1995 n=145/159 follow-up:	pneumatic sequential leg compression devices versus no specific form of prophylaxis	orthopaedic trauma patients with hip and pelvic fractures	Parallel groups open
Turple II , 1979 n=112/106 follow-up:	-	patients with intracranial disease	open
Knudson , 1994 n=26/39 follow-up:	sequential gradient pneumatic leg compression versus control	trauma patients	open
Kosir , 1996 n=25/45 follow-up: 30 days	sequential pneumatic compression devices during surgery and 2 days postoperatively versus control	Patients undergoing procedures of at least 1 hr duration (abdominal, thoracic, head and neck, inguinal) requiring general or spinal anesthetic	Parallel groups open
nadroparin vs control			
KANT (7 days) , 2008 n=657/660 follow-up: 3 months	once-daily subcutaneous injection of LMWH (nadroparin, 3800 anti-Xa IU) for 7 days versus full-length graduated compression stocking for 7 days	patients undergoing knee arthroscopy	Parallel groups open (blinded assessment) Italy
Roth , 1995 n=61/61 follow-up: 4 days	0.3 ml sc fraxiparine 2 hours before the operation and self administered daily (except the firstvtwo doses) for 4 days after surgeryn/x versus no treatment	patients undergoing ambulatory arthroscopic	Parallel groups Germany
Kujath , 1993 n=126/126 follow-up: 65279;16 days	Nadroparin 2850 IU versus no prophylaxis	patients with injuries of the lower limb immobilized by a plaster cast	Parallel groups open
nadroparin 14d vs control			
KANT (14 days) , 2008 n=444/660 follow-up: 3 months	once-daily subcutaneous injection of LMWH (nadroparin, 3800 anti-Xa IU) for 14 days versus full-length graduated compression stocking for 7 days	patients undergoing knee arthroscopy	Parallel groups open (blinded assessment) Italy
reviparin vs control			
Wirth , 2001 n=117/122 follow-up: 7-10 days	reviparin 1,750 anti Xa IU Sc once daily for 7-10 days versus no treatment	elective knee arthroscopy	Parallel groups open (blind assessement) Germany

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
tinzaparin vs control			
Jorgensen , 2002 n=99/106 follow-up: 38 days	Tinzaparin 3500 IU versus no prophylaxis	patients over 18 years of age with planned plaster cast on a lower extremity of at least 3 weeks	Parallel groups open, assessor-blinded
IPC + dextran vs dextran			
Smith (D) , 1978 n=97/97 follow-up:	dextran 70 and pneumatic calf compression versus dextran 70	-	open
betrixaban vs enoxaparin			
APEX , 2016 [NCT01583218] n=3759/3754 follow-up:	betrixaban (at a dose of 80 mg once daily) for 35 to 42 days versus subcutaneous enoxaparin (at a dose of 40 mg once daily) for 104 days	-	Parallel groups double-blind
nadroparin vs enoxaparin			
FX140, Simonneau G , 2006 n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
semuloparin vs enoxaparin			
SAVE-HIP1 , 2012 [NCT00697099] n=1161/1165 follow-up:	Semuloparin 20 mg once-daily versus Enoxaparin 40 mg once-daily	-	
SAVE-KNEE , 2012 [NCT00718224] n=-576/574 follow-up:	Semuloparin 20 mg once-daily versus Enoxaparin 30 mg twice-daily	-	
SAVE-HIP 2 , 2012 [NCT00721760] n=500/503 follow-up:	Semuloparin 20 mg once-daily versus Enoxaparin 40 mg once-daily	hip fracture surgery	Parallel groups
Extended-duration prophylaxis vs error			
EXCLAIM , 2010 [NCT00077753] n=2975/2988 follow-up: 28 days	Enoxaparin, 40 mg/d subcutaneously (for 28 +/-4 days after receiving openlabel enoxaparin for an initial 10+/-4 days versus placebo for 28 +/-4 days after receiving openlabel enoxaparin for an initial 10+/-4 days.	Acutely Ill Medical Patients With Recently Reduced Mobility	Parallel groups double-blind North and South America
IPC sequential compression vs Footpump			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Elliott , 1999 n=149 follow-up: NA	Calf-thigh sequential pneumatic compression versus foot pump (plantar venous pneumatic compression)	Trauma patients >13 years old	Parallel groups open (blind assessement) United States
CECT + aspirin vs LMWH			
Gelfer , 2006 n=NA follow-up: 8 days	continuous enhanced circulation therapy (CECT) combined with low-dose aspirin versus enoxaparin 40 mg daily	patients who underwent total hip or knee arthroplasty	Parallel groups open
Aspirin vs no treatment			
Pasteyer , 1977 n=20/20 follow-up: 2 weeks	Aspirin 1000mg daily + Hep versus control (Hep alone)	Elective orthopaedic surgery	Parallel groups
Rocha , 1986 n=60/30 follow-up: 1 weeks	Aspirin 250mg or 1000mg daily versus control (combination of heparin plus dihydroergotamine)	total hip replacement	Parallel groups open
aspirin + dipyridamol vs no treatment			
Morris-B , 1977 n=32/32 follow-up:	Aspirin 900 mg daily + dipyridamole versus control	elderly patients with hip fractures	Parallel groups open
Lyon-I , 1975 n=20/20 follow-up: 2 weeks	Aspirin 1500 mg daily + Dipyridamole versus control	Elective orthopaedic surgery	
dipyridamol vs no treatment			
Morris-A , 1977 n=24/24 follow-up:	dipyridamole versus control	elderly patients with hip fractures	Parallel groups open
enoxaparin vs no treatment			
Ho [43] n=134/169	Enoxaparin 4000 anti-Xa units versus No treatment	-	Open
Warwick , 1995 n=78/78 follow-up: 8-10 days	enoxaparin 4000x1 + elastic stockings versus no treatment + elastic stockings	Elective hip	open
nadroparin vs no treatment			
Marassi [41] n=31/33	Nadroparin 2850 anti-Xa units versus No treatment	-	Open

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Yoo , 1997 n=50/50 follow-up: 10 days	nadroparin 41/kgx1 days 1-3, 62/kg x1 days 4-11+elastic stockings versus no treatment	Elective hip	open
PROTECT (nadroparin) *ongoing* [NCT00881088] n=NA follow-up: 6 weeks	nadroparin 0,3 cc daily during immobilization versus no treatment	patients with a nonsurgical fracture of the lower extremity requiring immobilisation in a below-knee plaster cast	Parallel groups single blind
Warfarin vs no treatment			
Pinto , 1970 n=25/25 follow-up: >3 weeks	Warfarin versus No treatment	Hip surgery	Open
Hume , 1973 n=17/19 follow-up: Discharge	Warfarin versus No treatment	THR	Open
Morris , 1976 n=80/80 follow-up: 3 months	Warfarin versus No treatment	HFS	Open
Powers , 1989 n=65/63 follow-up: 3 months	Warfarin versus No treatment	HFS	Open
ardeparin vs placebo			
Levine , 1996 n=122/124 follow-up: 14 days	ardeparin 50/kgx2 +elastic stockings versus Placebo+elastic stockings	Knee	double blind
aspirin vs placebo			
MRC , 1972 n=153/150	A600 versus placebo	general surgery	double-blind
Loew DVT , 1974 n=702/679	A600 versus Placebo	-	double-blind
Erfurt-A , 1979 n=357/357	A1500 versus Placebo	-	double-blind
Zekert V , 1980 n=50/49	A1500+Hep??? versus Placebo	-	double-blind
Vinazzer I , 1980 n=402/404	A1500+Hep v Hep versus Placebo	-	double-blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Vinazzer II , 1977 n=62/62	A1000+Hepv Hep versus Placebo	-	double-blind
Zekert-I , 1974 n=138/140 follow-up:	Aspirin 1500mg daily versus placebo	patients undergoing surgery of hip-joint proximal fractures	Parallel groups double-blind
Powers , 1976 n=66/63	A1300 versus placebo	traumatic orthopaedic surgery	
Erfurt-B , 1979 n=44/44 follow-up:	A1500 versus placebo	traumatic orthopaedic surgery	double-blind
PEP hip-fracture , 2000 n=6679/6677 follow-up: 35 days	aspirin 160mg/d started preoperatively and continued for 35 days versus placebo	patients undergoing surgery for hip fracture	Parallel groups Double blind Australia, New Zealand, South Africa,
PEP elective arthroplasty , 2000 n=2047/2041 follow-up: 35 days	aspirin 160mg/d started preoperatively and continued for 35 daysA versus placebo	Patients undergoing elective hip or knee arthroplasty	Parallel groups Double blind New Zealand
Stockholm-I , 1975 n=26/25 follow-up: 2 weeks	Aspirin 2000mg daily versus placebo	elective surgery of the hip	double blind
Harris-I , 1977 n=58/59 follow-up: 1 weeks	Aspirin 1200mg daily versus placebo	patients over 40 years of age, who had undergone total hip replacement	Parallel groups double-blind
McKenna-I , 1980 n=24/12 follow-up: 2 weeks	Aspirin 975mg or 3900mg daily versus placebo	total knee replacement	Parallel groups double-blind
Sautter , 1983 n=68/77 follow-up: 3 weeks	Aspirin 900mg daily + sulfinpyrazone versus placebo	patient with total hip replacement	Parallel groups
McBride , 1983 n=21/22 follow-up: 1 weeks	A1800+Dipyridamole versus placebo	Elective orthopaedic surgery	
aspirin + dipyridamol vs placebo			
Encke-II , 1976 n=34/25 follow-up:	Aspirin 1500mg daily, Aspirin 990mg daily + dipyridamol versus placebo	patients with abdominal operations	Parallel groups double-blind
Hamburg , 1976 n=21/11 follow-up: 3 weeks	A+Dipyridamole,A1000 versus placebo	Elective orthopaedic surgery	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Frankfurt , 1981 *unpublished* n=25/14 follow-up:	A+Dip,A1320 versus placebo	patients with myocardial infarction	Parallel groups double-blind
dalteparin vs placebo			
D-KAf (Selby) , 2007 [NCT00187408] n=134/131 follow-up:	dalteparin 5000U daily versus placebo	below-knee fractures repaired surgically	
Leizorovicz , 2004 n=1856/1850 follow-up: 21 days	Dalteparin 5000E once daily, 1' days versus placebo	Congestive heart failure (NYHA IIIIV), acute or chronic respiratory disease, infectious and rheumatologic disease	Parallel groups double blind
Jorgensen , 1989 n=30/38 follow-up: 9 days	dalteparin 5000 x1 versus Placebo	Hip fracture	double blind
Torholm , 1991 n=58/54 follow-up: 9 days	dalteparin 5000x1 versus Placebo	Elective hip	double blind
Ockelford , 1989 n=102/95	Dalteparin 2500 anti-Xa units versus Placebo	general surgery	Blind
Lapidus , 2007 n=47/44 follow-up: 43 days	Dalteparin 5000 IU versus Placebo	patients surgically treated for Achilles tendon rupture	Parallel groups double-blind
Lapidus , 2007 n=101/96 follow-up: 44 days	Dalteparin 5000 IU versus Placebo	patients undergoing ankle fracture surgery	Parallel groups double-blind

More details and results :

- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in orthopedic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q37
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in elective major knee surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q38
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in elective hip replacement at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q39
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in hip Fracture at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q40
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in medical patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q87
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in general surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q92
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in urologic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q93
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in gynaecological surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q94
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in abdominal surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q96
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in neurosurgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q99
- antithrombotics for thrombosis prevention in arthroscopy at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q150

- graduated compression stockings for thrombosis prevention in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q158
- anticoagulant for thrombosis prevention in orthopedic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q184
- direct antithrombins for thrombosis prevention in orthopedic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q185
- antiplatelets drug for thrombosis prevention in orthopedic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q186
- pentasaccharide for thrombosis prevention in orthopedic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q188
- heparin (UFH or LMWH) for thrombosis prevention in orthopedic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q189
- LMWH for thrombosis prevention in orthopedic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q190
- UFH for thrombosis prevention in urologic surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q194
- heparin (UFH or LMWH) for thrombosis prevention in general surgery at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q195

References

Hull 2 (+asp), 1979:
Hull R, Delmore TJ, Hirsh J, Gent M, Armstrong P, Lofthouse R, MacMillan A, Blackstone I, Reed-Davis R, Detwiler RC Effectiveness of intermittent pulsatile elastic stockings for the prevention of calf and thigh vein thrombosis in patients undergoing elective knee surgery. Thromb Res 1979;16:37-45 [505427]

Hull (+asp), 1979:
Hull R, Delmore TJ, Hirsh J, Gent M, Armstrong P, Lofthouse R, MacMillan A, Blackstone I, Reed-Davis R, Detwiler RC Effectiveness of intermittent pulsatile elastic stockings for the prevention of calf and thigh vein thrombosis in patients undergoing elective knee surgery. Thromb Res 1979;16:37-45 [505427]

65279;Lieberman (A), 1994:
Lieberman JR, Huo MM, Hanway J, Salvati EA, Sculco TP, Sharrock NE The prevalence of deep venous thrombosis after total hip arthroplasty with hypotensive epidural anesthesia. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1994;76:341-8 [8126039]

Clagett, 1975:
Clagett GP, Schneider P, Rosoff CB, Salzman EW The influence of aspirin on postoperative platelet kinetics and venous thrombosis. Surgery 1975;77:61-74 [1109518]

Zekert VI, 1982:
Zekert F, Schemper M, Neumann K Acetylsalicylic acid in combination with dihydroergotamine for preventing thromboembolism. Haemostasis 1982;11:149-53 [7095604]

Chicago, 1982:
Green D, Rossi EC, Yao JS, Flinn WR, Spies SM Deep vein thrombosis in spinal cord injury: effect of prophylaxis with calf compression, aspirin, and dipyridamole. Paraplegia 1982;20:227-34 [6813814]

Kock, 1995:
Kock HJ, Schmit-Neuerburg KP, Hanke J, Rudofsky G, Hirche H Thromboprophylaxis with low-molecular-weight heparin in outpatients with plaster-cast immobilisation of the leg. Lancet 1995;346:459-61 [7637478]

Michot, 2002:
Michot M, Conen D, Holtz D, Erni D, Zumstein MD, Ruflin GB, Renner N Prevention of deep-vein thrombosis in ambulatory arthroscopic knee surgery: A randomized trial of prophylaxis with low–molecular weight heparin. Arthroscopy 2002;18:257-63 [11877611]

Parodi I, 1973:
Parodi JC, Grandi A, Font E, Rotondaro D, Iorio J, Manrique J. El dipiridamol y el acido acetilsalicilico en la profilaxis de las trombosis venosas postoperatorias de los miembros inferiores Dia Med 1973;45:92-3.

Parodi II, 1973:
Parodi JC, Grandi A, Font E, Rotondaro D, Iorio J, Manrique J. El dipiridamol y el acido acetilsalicilico en la profilaxis de las trombosis venosas postoperatorias de los miembros inferiores Dia Med 1973;45:92-3.

Australian I, 1975:

O'Sullivan EF, Renney]T. Antiplatelet drugs in the prevention of postoperative deep vein thrombosis In: Proceedings of III congress of Interiational Societyfor Thrombosis andHaemnostasis (Washington). 1972:438.

Australian II, 1976:

Renney JT, O'Sullivan EF, Burke PF Prevention of postoperative deep vein thrombosis with dipyridamole and aspirin. Br Med J 1976;1:992-4 [773495]

Toulouse I, 1979:

Plante J, Boneu B, Vaysse C, Barret A, Gouzi M, Bierme R Dipyridamole-aspirin versus low doses of heparin in the prophylaxis of deep venous thrombosis in abdominal surgery. Thromb Res 1979;14:399-403 [442014]

Zekert-III, 1977:

Zekert F. Prophylaxe von phlebothrombosen und lungenembolien mit aggregationshemmemn In: Zekert F, ed. Thrombosen, Embolien und Aggregatonshemsnerin derChirurgie. Stuttgart: Schattauer, 1975:75-88.

Harjola DVT, 1982:

Harjola P, Meurala H, Frick AMH. Prevention of deep venous theornbosss and thrombo-embolism by dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid atter re.oastruvuve artenal surgery JCardotzasc Surg 1980U21:451-4.

Weiss, 1977:

Weiss V, Jekiel M, Ritschard J, Bouvier CA. Prevetiuon de la maladie thrombo-embolique post-op6ratoire par les anti-agregeants en chirurgie gyn6cologique Medecine et Hygiene (Geneve) 1977;35:943-4.

Canata, 2003:

Canata GL, Chiey A. Prevention of venous thromboembolism after ACL reconstruction: a prospective, randomized study.(International Society of Arthroscopy, Knee Surgery and OrthopaedicSports Medicine) 2003; Vol. Poster 71-2003.

Scurr, 1981:

Scurr JH, Robbe IJ, Ellis H, Goldsmith HS Simple mechanical method for decreasing the incidence of thromboembolism. Am J Surg 1981;141:582-5 [7223954]

Wilson, 1992:

Wilson NV, Das SK, Kakkar VV, Maurice HD, Smibert JG, Thomas EM, Nixon JE Thrombo-embolic prophylaxis in total knee replacement. Evaluation of the A-V Impulse System. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1992 Jan;74:50-2 [1732265]

65279;Blackshear exclure, 1987:

Blackshear WM Jr, Prescott C, LePain F, Benoit S, Dickstein R, Seifert KB Influence of sequential pneumatic compression on postoperative venous function. J Vasc Surg 1987;5:432-6 [3509596]

Hull II, 1990:

Hull RD, Raskob GE, Gent M, McLoughlin D, Julian D, Smith FC, Dale NI, Reed-Davis R, Lofthouse RN, Anderson C Effectiveness of intermittent pneumatic leg compression for preventing deep vein thrombosis after total hip replacement. JAMA 1990;263:2313-7 [2182917]

Fisher, 1995:

Fisher CG, Blachut PA, Salvian AJ, Meek RN, O'Brien PJ Effectiveness of pneumatic leg compression devices for the prevention of thromboembolic disease in orthopaedic trauma patients: a prospective, randomized study of compression alone versus no prophylaxis. J Orthop Trauma 1995;9:1-7 [7714648]

Turple II, 1979:

Turpie AG, Delmore T, Hirsh J, Hull R, Genton E, Hiscoe C, Gent M Prevention of venous thrombosis by intermittent sequential calf compression in patients with intracranial disease. Thromb Res 1979;15:611-6 [494166]

Knudson, 1994:

Knudson MM, Lewis FR, Clinton A, Atkinson K, Megerman J Prevention of venous thromboembolism in trauma patients. J Trauma 1994;37:480-7 [8083913]

Kosir, 1996:

Kosir MA, Kozol RA, Perales A, McGee K, Beleski K, Lange P, Dahn M Is DVT prophylaxis overemphasized? A randomized prospective study. J Surg Res 1996;60:289-92 [8598656] 10.1006/jsre.1996.0045

KANT (7 days), 2008:

Camporese G, NTita K, Rossi F, Bernardi E, Verlato F, SalmistraroG, Cordova R, et al. Different thromboprophylaxis approaches in patientsundergoing knee arthroscopy (KANT study): interim report ofprospective randomized study-f Journal of Thrombosis Haemostasis.

Camporese G, Bernardi E, Prandoni P, Noventa F, Verlato F, Simioni P, Ntita K, Salmistraro G, Frangos C, Rossi F, Cordova R, Franz F, Zucchetta P, Kontothanassis D, Andreozzi GM Low-molecular-weight heparin versus compression stockings for thromboprophylaxis after knee arthroscopy: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2008;149:73-82 [18626046]

Roth, 1995:

Roth P. Prophylaxis of deepvein thrombosis in outpatients undergoingarthroscopic meniscus operationdy Orthopdische Praxis 1995;5:3458.

Kujath, 1993:

Kujath P, Spannagel U, Habscheid W Incidence and prophylaxis of deep venous thrombosis in outpatients with injury of the lower limb. Haemostasis 1993;23 Suppl 1:20-6 [8388353]

KANT (14 days), 2008:

Camporese G, Bernardi E, Prandoni P, Noventa F, Verlato F, Simioni P, Ntita K, Salmistraro G, Frangos C, Rossi F, Cordova R, Franz F, Zucchetta P, Kontothanassis D, Andreozzi GM Low-molecular-weight heparin versus compression stockings for thromboprophylaxis after knee arthroscopy: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2008;149:73-82 [18626046]

Wirth, 2001:

Wirth T, Schneider B, Misselwitz F, Lomb M, Tyl H, Egbring R, Griss P Prevention of venous thromboembolism after knee arthroscopy with low-molecular weight heparin (reviparin): Results of a randomized controlled trial. Arthroscopy 2001;17:393-9 [11288011]

Jorgensen, 2002:

Jrgensen PS, Warming T, Hansen K, Paltved C, Vibeke Berg H, Jensen R, Kirchhoff-Jensen R, Kjaer L, Kerbouche N, Leth-Espensen P, Narvestad E, Rasmussen SW, Sloth C, Trholm C, Wille-Jrgensen P Low molecular weight heparin (Innohep) as thromboprophylaxis in outpatients with a plaster cast: a venografic controlled study. Thromb Res 2002;105:477-80 [12091045]

Smith (D), 1978:

Smith RC, Elton RA, Orr JD, Hart AJ, Graham IF, Fuller GA, Rundle JS, Macpherson AI, Ruckley CV Dextran and intermittent pneumatic compression in prevention of postoperative deep vein thrombosis: multiunit trial. Br Med J 1978;1:952-4 [638545]

APEX, 2016:

Cohen AT, Harrington RA, Goldhaber SZ, Hull RD, Wiens BL, Gold A, Hernandez AF, Gibson CM Extended Thromboprophylaxis with Betrixaban in Acutely Ill Medical Patients. N Engl J Med 2016 May 27;: [27232649]

FX140, Simonneau G, 2006:

Simonneau G, Laporte S, Mismetti P, Derlon A, Samii K, Samama CM, Bergman JF A randomized study comparing the efficacy and safety of nadroparin 2850 IU (0.3 mL) vs. enoxaparin 4000 IU (40 mg) in the prevention of venous thromboembolism after colorectal surgery for cancer. J Thromb Haemost 2006;4:1693-700 [16796710]

Simonneau G, Laporte S, Mismetti P, Derlon A, Samii K, Samama CM, Bergman JF A randomized study comparing the efficacy and safety of nadroparin 2850 IU (0.3 mL) vs. enoxaparin 4000 IU (40 mg) in the prevention of venous thromboembolism after colorectal surgery for cancer. J Thromb Haemost 2006 Aug;4:1693-700 [16796710]

SAVE-HIP1, 2012:

Lassen MR, Fisher W, Mouret P, Agnelli G, George D, Kakkar A, Mismetti P, Turpie AG Semuloparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism after major orthopedic surgery: results from three randomized clinical trials, SAVE-HIP1, SAVE-HIP2 and SAVE-KNEE. J Thromb Haemost 2012;10:822-32 [22429800] 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04701.x

SAVE-KNEE, 2012:

Lassen MR, Fisher W, Mouret P, Agnelli G, George D, Kakkar A, Mismetti P, Turpie AG Semuloparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism after major orthopedic surgery: results from three randomized clinical trials, SAVE-HIP1, SAVE-HIP2 and SAVE-KNEE. J Thromb Haemost 2012 May;10:822-32 [22429800] 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04701.x

SAVE-HIP 2, 2012:

Lassen MR, Fisher W, Mouret P, Agnelli G, George D, Kakkar A, Mismetti P, Turpie AG Semuloparin for prevention of venous thromboembolism after major orthopedic surgery: results from three randomized clinical trials, SAVE-HIP1, SAVE-HIP2 and SAVE-KNEE. J Thromb Haemost 2012 May;10:822-32 [22429800] 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04701.x

EXCLAIM, 2010:

Hull RD, Schellong SM, Tapson VF, Monreal M, Samama MM, Nicol P, Vicaut E, Turpie AG, Yusen RD Extended-duration venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients with recently reduced mobility: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2010;153:8-18 [20621900] 10.1059/0003-4819-153-1-201007060-00004

Elliott, 1999:

Elliott CG, Dudney TM, Egger M, Orme JF, Clemmer TP, Horn SD, Weaver L, Handrahan D, Thomas F, Merrell S, Kitterman N, Yeates S Calf-thigh sequential pneumatic compression compared with plantar venous pneumatic compression to prevent deep-vein thrombosis after non-lower extremity trauma. J Trauma 1999;47:25-32 [10421182]

Gelfer, 2006:

Gelfer Y, Tavor H, Oron A, Peer A, Halperin N, Robinson D Deep vein thrombosis prevention in joint arthroplasties: continuous enhanced circulation therapy vs low molecular weight heparin. J Arthroplasty 2006 Feb;21:206-14 [16520208]

Pasteyer, 1977:

Flicoteaux H, Kher A, Jean N, Blery M, Judet T, Honnart F, et al. Comparison of low dose heparin and low dose herparin combined with aspirin in prevention of deep vein thrombosis after total hip replacement. Pathol Biol (Paris) 1977;25(suppl):55-8.

Rocha, 1986:

Alfaro MJ, Pramo JA, Rocha E Prophylaxis of thromboembolic disease and platelet-related changes following total hip replacement: a comparative study of aspirin and heparin-dihydroergotamine. Thromb Haemost 1986;56:53-6 [3535158]

Morris-B , 1977:

Morris GK, Mitchell JR Preventing venous thromboembolism in elderly patients with hip fractures: studies of low-dose heparin, dipyridamole, aspirin, and flurbiprofen. Br Med J 1977;1:535-7 [843794]

Lyon-I, 1975:

Dechavanne M, Ville D, Viala JJ, Kher A, Faivre J, Pousset MB, Dejour H Controlled trial of platelet anti-aggregating agents and subcutaneous heparin in prevention of postoperative deep vein thrombosis in high risk patients. Haemostasis 1975;4:94-100 [1205340]

Morris-A , 1977:

Morris GK, Mitchell JR Preventing venous thromboembolism in elderly patients with hip fractures: studies of low-dose heparin, dipyridamole, aspirin, and flurbiprofen. Br Med J 1977;1:535-7 [843794]

Ho [43], :

Ho YH, Seow-Choen F, Leong A, Eu KW, Nyam D, Teoh MK Randomized, controlled trial of low molecular weight heparin vs. no deep vein thrombosis prophylaxis for major colon and rectal surgery in Asian patients. Dis Colon Rectum 1999;42:196-202; discussion 202-3 [10211496]

Warwick, 1995:

Warwick D, Bannister GC, Glew D, Mitchelmore A, Thornton M, Peters TJ, Brookes S Perioperative low-molecular-weight heparin. Is it effective and safe. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1995 Sep;77:715-9 [7559695]

Marassi [41], :

Marassi A, Balzano G, Mari G, D'Angelo SV, Della Valle P, Di Carlo V, D'Angelo A Prevention of postoperative deep vein thrombosis in cancer patients. A randomized trial with low molecular weight heparin (CY 216). Int Surg 1993;78:166-70 [8394842]

Yoo, 1997:

Yoo MC, Kang CS, Kim YH, Kim SK A prospective randomized study on the use of nadroparin calcium in the prophylaxis of thromboembolism in Korean patients undergoing elective total hip replacement. Int Orthop 1997;21:399-402 [9498151]

PROTECT (nadroparin), :

ongoing trial NCT00881088

Pinto, 1970:

Pinto DJ Controlled trial of an anticoagulant (warfarin sodium) in the prevention of venous thrombosis following hip surgery. Br J Surg 1970;57:349-52 [5427880]

Hume, 1973:

Hume M, Kuriakose TX, Zuch L, Turner RH 125I fibrinogen and the prevention of venous thrombosis. Arch Surg 1973;107:803-6 [4744294]

Morris, 1976:

Morris GK, Mitchell JR Warfarin sodium in prevention of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism in patients with fractured neck of femur. Lancet 1976;2:869-72 [62111]

Powers, 1989:
Powers PJ, Gent M, Jay RM, Julian DH, Turpie AG, Levine M, Hirsh J A randomized trial of less intense postoperative warfarin or aspirin therapy in the prevention of venous thromboembolism after surgery for fractured hip. Arch Intern Med 1989;149:771-4 [2650646]
Levine, 1996:
Levine MN, Gent M, Hirsh J, Weitz J, Turpie AG, Powers P, Neemeh J, Willan A, Skingley P Ardeparin (low-molecular-weight heparin) vs graduated compression stockings for the prevention of venous thromboembolism. A randomized trial in patients undergoing knee surgery. Arch Intern Med 1996 Apr 22;156:851-6 [8774203]
MRC, 1972:
Effect of aspirin on postoperative venous thrombosis. Report of the Steering Committee of a trial sponsored by the Medical Research Council. Lancet 1972;2:441-5 [4115340]
Loew DVT, 1974:
Loew D, Wellmer HK, Baer U, Merguet H, Rumpf P, Petersen H,et al. Postoperative thromboembolie-prophylaxe mit acetylsalicylsaure. Duch Med Wschr 1974;99:565-72.
Erfurt-A, 1979:
Schreiber U, Hartung B. Postoperative thromboembolieprophylaxe bei patienten mit allgemeinchirurgischen operationeni Chirurg 1979;104: 1214-20.
Zekert V, 1980:
Zekert F, Hofbauer F, Mhlbacher F [Prophylaxis of thromboembolism in abdominal surgery. Comparison of low dose heparin, acetylsalicylic acid and their combination (author's transl)] MMW Munch Med Wochenschr 1980;122:1495-8 [6780841]
Vinazzer I, 1980:
Vinazzer H, Loew D, Simma W, Brcke P Prophylaxis of postoperative thromboembolism by low dose heparin and by acetylsalicylic acid given simultaneously. A double blind study. Thromb Res 1980;17:177-84 [7376128]
Vinazzer II, 1977:
Loew D, Brcke P, Simma W, Vinazzer H, Dienstl E, Boehme K Acetylsalicylic acid, low dose heparin, and a combination of both substances in the prevention of postoperative thromboembolism. A double blind study. Thromb Res 1977;11:81-6 [329468]
Zekert-I , 1974:
Zekert F, Kohn P, Vormittag E, Poigenfrst J, Thien M [Prevention of thromboembolism using acetylsalicylic acid in the surgery of hip-joint proximal fractures] Monatsschr Unfallheilkd Versicher Versorg Verkehrsmed 1974;77:97-110 [4277091]
Powers , 1976:
Hansen EH, Jessing P, Lindewald H, Ostergaard P, Olesen T, Malver EI Hydroxychloroquine sulphate in prevention of deep venous thrombosis following fracture of the hip, pelvis, or thoracolumbar spine. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1976;58:1089-93 [1002750]
Powers PJ, Gent M, Jay RM, Julian DH, Turpie AG, Levine M, Hirsh J A randomized trial of less intense postoperative warfarin or aspirin therapy in the prevention of venous thromboembolism after surgery for fractured hip. Arch Intern Med 1989;149:771-4 [2650646]
Erfurt-B , 1979:
Hartung B, Schreiber U, Rdiger H [Study of the platelet aggregation inhibitor MICRISTIN as to its efficacy in the prevention of thromboembolism in the postoperative phase following surgical interventions] Folia Haematol Int Mag Klin Morphol Blutforsch 1979;106:810-27 [94873]
PEP hip-fracture, 2000:
Prevention of pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis with low dose aspirin: Pulmonary Embolism Prevention (PEP) trial. Lancet 2000 Apr 15;355:1295-302 [10776741]
PEP elective arthroplasty, 2000:
Prevention of pulmonary embolism and deep vein thrombosis with low dose aspirin: Pulmonary Embolism Prevention (PEP) trial. Lancet 2000 Apr 15;355:1295-302 [10776741]
Stockholm-I, 1975:
Soreff J, Johnsson H, Diener L, Gransson L Acetylsalicylic acid in a trial to diminish thromboembolic complications after elective hip surgery. Acta Orthop Scand 1975;46:246-55 [1096521]
Harris-I, 1977:

Harris WH, Salzman EW, Athanasoulis CA, Waltman AC, DeSanctis RW Aspirin prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism after total hip replacement. N Engl J Med 1977;297:1246-9 [335247]

McKenna-I, 1980:

McKenna R, Galante J, Bachmann F, Wallace DL, Kaushal PS, Meredith P Prevention of venous thromboembolism after total knee replacement by high-dose aspirin or intermittent calf and thigh compression. Br Med J 1980;280:514-7 [6989432]

Sautter, 1983:

Sautter RD, Koch EL, Myers WO, Ray JR 3rd, Mazza JJ, Larson DE, Chen HM, Milbauer JP, Treuhaft PS, Plotka ED Aspirin-sulfinpyrazone in prophylaxis of deep venous thrombosis in total hip replacement. JAMA 1983;250:2649-54 [6355542]

McBride, 1983:

McBride JA, Turpie AG, Kraus V, Hiltz C. Failure of aspirin and dipyridamole to influence the incidence of leg scan detected venous thrombosis after elective hip surgery Thrombosis et Diathesis Haemorrhagica 975;34:abstract 204.

Encke-II , 1976:

Encke A, Stock C, Dumke HO [Double-blind study for the prevention of postoperative thrombosis] Chirurg 1976;47:670-3 [1001131]

Hamburg, 1976:

Boehringer Ingelheim DVT nach Hirntumoroperationen Boehringer Ingelheim, 1976. (Internal report.)

Frankfurt, 1981:

unpublished

Boehringer Ingelheim. Asasantin DVT nach myokardinfarkt Bracknell Berkshire: Boehringer Ingelheim, 1981. (Internal report.)

D-KAf (Selby), 2007:

Geerts WH, Pineo GF, Heit JA, Bergqvist D, Lassen MR, Colwell CW, Ray JG Prevention of venous thromboembolism: the Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy. Chest 2004;126:338S-400S [15383478] 10.1378/chest.126.3$_{s}uppl$.338S

Leizorovicz, 2004:

Leizorovicz A, Cohen AT, Turpie AG, Olsson CG, Vaitkus PT, Goldhaber SZ Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of dalteparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism in acutely ill medical patients. Circulation 2004;110:874-9 [15289368]

Jorgensen, 1989:

Torholm, 1991:

Torholm C, Broeng L, Jorgensen PS, Bjerregaard P, Josephsen L, Jorgensen PK, Hagen K, Knudsen JB Thromboprophylaxis by low-molecular-weight heparin in elective hip surgery. A placebo controlled study. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1991 May;73:434-8 [1670445]

Ockelford , 1989:

Ockelford PA, Patterson J, Johns AS A double-blind randomized placebo controlled trial of thromboprophylaxis in major elective general surgery using once daily injections of a low molecular weight heparin fragment (Fragmin). Thromb Haemost 1989;62:1046-9 [2559484]

Lapidus, 2007:

Lapidus LJ, Rosfors S, Ponzer S, Levander C, Elvin A, Lrfars G, de Bri E Prolonged thromboprophylaxis with dalteparin after surgical treatment of achilles tendon rupture: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. J Orthop Trauma 2007;21:52-7 [17211270] 10.1097/01.bot.0000250741.65003.14

Lapidus, 2007:

Lapidus LJ, Ponzer S, Elvin A, Levander C, Lrfars G, Rosfors S, de Bri E Prolonged thromboprophylaxis with Dalteparin during immobilization after ankle fracture surgery: a randomized placebo-controlled, double-blind study. Acta Orthop 2007;78:528-35 [17966008] 10.1080/17453670710014185

13 diabetes type 2

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
alogliptin vs			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Bosi , 2011 [NCT00432276] n=NA	-	-	
DeFronzo , 2008 [NCT00286455] n=NA	-	-	
Kaku , 2011 n=NA follow-up:	-	-	Japan
Pratley , 2009 [NCT00286468] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Pratley , 2009 [NCT00286494] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Rosenstock , 2009 [NCT00286429] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Rosenstock , 2010 [NCT00395512] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Seino , 2011 [NCT01263509] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Seino , 2011 n=NA follow-up:	-	-	Japan
dapagliflozin vs			
Yang , 2015 [NCT01095666] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	Chnina
empagliflozin vs			
Kadowaki , 2013 n=NA follow-up:	-	Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes	
Kadowaki , 2014 n=NA	-	-	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
glargine vs			
Eliaschewitz n=231/250 follow-up: 24 weeks	-	-	
Fonseca n=52/48 follow-up: 28 weeks	-	-	
Massi n=293/285 follow-up: 52 weeks	-	-	
Pan n=220/223 follow-up: 24 weeks	-	-	
Philis-Tsimikas n=334/164 follow-up: 20 weeks	-	-	
Rosenstock n=259/259 follow-up: 28 weeks	-	-	
Wang n=16/8 follow-up: 12 weeks	-	-	
Yki-Yarvinen n=214/208 follow-up: 52 weeks	-	-	
Yki-Yarvinen n=61/49 follow-up: 36 weeks	-	-	
Yokoyama n=31/31 follow-up: 26 weeks	-	-	
linagliptin vs			
Forst , 2010 [NCT00309608] n=NA follow-up: 12 weeks	Linagliptin (1, 5, or 10 mg taken once daily) versus placebo (on top Metformin)	patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who are not at goal with their HbA1c levels	double-blind France
liraglutide other doses vs			
NN2211-1333 n=NA follow-up:	liraglutide versus placebo	obese subjects with type 2 diabetes	
rosiglitazone vs			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
AVM100264 [NCT00359112] n=294/302 follow-up: 52 wk	Rosiglitazone and metformin versus Metformin and sulfonylurea	Overweight patients with type 2 DM poorly controlled on Met	Parallel groups
BRL 49653C/185 n=563/142 follow-up: 32 wk	Rosiglitazone with or without metformin versus Usual care with or without metformin	patients with type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups
SB-712753/007 n=314/154 follow-up: 32 wk	Rosiglitazone with or without metformin versus Metformin	patients with type 2 diabetes without previous drug therapy	Parallel groups
SB-712753/009 n=162/160 follow-up: 24 wk	Rosiglitazone, metformin, and insulin versus Insulin	patients with type 2 diabetes with insulin	Parallel groups
saxagliptin vs			
Fonseca , 2012 [NCT00960076] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Forst , 2011 n=NA	-	-	
Gke , 2010 n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Kawamori , 2012 [NCT00654381] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Nowicki , 2011 [NCT00614939] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Nowicki , 2011 [NCT00614939] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
Scheen , 2010 n=NA	-	-	
Stenlf , 2010 n=NA	-	-	
Yang , 2011 [NCT00661362] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
sitagliptin vs			
Stafford , 2011 [NCT00451113] n=NA follow-up:	-	older adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus	
tesaglitazar vs			
GALLANT 9 [NCT00242372] n=NA	-	-	
GALLANT 7 [NCT00251940] n=NA	-	-	
GALLANT 8 [NCT00251953] n=NA	-	-	
GALLANT 6 [NCT00214565] n=NA	-	-	
D6160C00028 [NCT00255541] n=NA	-	-	
D6160C00026 [NCT00252772] n=NA	-	-	
GALLANT 14 [NCT00261352] n=NA	-	-	
D6160C00055 [NCT00252837] n=NA	-	-	
D6160C00040 [NCT00229684] n=NA	-	-	
vildagliptin vs			
NCT00101673 [NCT00101673] n=NA follow-up:	-	-	
repaglinide vs ???			
YSRE0001 [NCT00336310] n=NA follow-up: 12 weeks	Repaglinide versus NA	-	double-blind Taiwan

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
vildagliptin monotherapy vs acarbose			
Pan , 2008 [NCT00110240] n=441/220 follow-up: 24 weeks	vildagliptin (100 mg daily, given as 50 mg twice daily versus acarbose (up to 300 mg daily, given as three equally divided doses	drug-naive patients with Type 2 diabetes	double-blind
AHA 2 diet vs AHA 1 diet			
Liao , 2002 n=70 follow-up: 22 months	American Heart Association (AHA) step 2 diet (<30% of total calories as fat, <7% saturated fat, 55% carbohydrate, and <200 mg cholesterol daily) plus endurance exercise for 1 h three times a week versus AHA step 1 diet (30% of total calories as fat, 10% saturated fat, 50% carbohydrate, and <300 mg cholesterol) plus stretching exercise three times a week	Japanese American subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO criteria 1998)	Parallel groups open USA
lispro thrice daily vs basal insulin			
Raz , 2009 n=NA follow-up:	three premeal doses of insulin lispro versus NPH twice daily or insulin glargine once daily	patients with type 2 diabetes after acute myocardial infarction	
prandial plus basal vs basal insulin			
Hirsch A VOIR (MA) n=NA follow-up:	insulin lispro protamine suspension plus insulin lispro versus basal insulin glargine	metformin-treated patients with type 2 diabetes	
prandial premixed therapy vs basal/bolus therapy			
Garber , 2006 n=NA follow-up:	prandial premixed therapy versus basal/bolus therapy	-	
prandial premixed therapy tid vs basal/bolus therapy			
Rosenstock , 2008 n=NA follow-up:	lispro mix 50/50: 50% insulin lispro protamine suspension and 50% lispro 3 times daily (prandial premixed therapy) versus glargine at bedtime plus mealtime lispro (basal/bolus therapy)	-	
morning insulin glargine vs bedtime insulin glargine			
Fritche n=463/232 follow-up: 24 weeks	morning insulin glargine versus bedtime insulin glargine	patients with type 2 diabetes previously treated with oral antidiabetic agents	open
basal-bolus therapy vs biphasic insulin aspart 30			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Liebl , 2009 n=NA follow-up:	analogue basal-bolus therapy (insulin detemir once daily and insulin aspart mealtimes) versus biphasic insulin aspart 30 twice daily	-	
glibenclamide vs c (add on MET)			
Hermann , 1991 n=NA follow-up: 6 months	metformin + glibenclamide versus metformin	patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus	Parallel groups
aspart + basal vs continuous infusion			
Raskin , 2003 n=NA follow-up:	multiple daily injection bolus insulin aspart and basal NPH insulin versus continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion	-	
lispro +glargine vs continuous infusion			
Herman , 2005 n=NA follow-up:	multiple daily injection using insulin lispro and insulin glargine versus continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion using insulin lispro	-	
candesartan vs control			
SCOPE (diabetic subgroup) , 2003 n=313/284 follow-up: 3.7 years	candesartan versus control	sub group of diabetic patients aged 70-89 years, with systolic blood pressure 160-179 mmHg, and/or diastolic blood pressure 90-99 mmHg, and a Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) test score >or= 24	Parallel groups double-blind 15 countries
captopril or atenolol vs control			
UKPDS 38 , 1998 n=758/390 follow-up: 8.4y (median)	tight control of blood pressure aiming at a BP <150/85 (with the use of captopril or atenolol as main treatment, other treatment were added if the control criteria were not met) versus less tight control aiming at a blood pressure of <180/105 (avoiding treatment with ACE inhibitors or beta-blockers)	hypertensive patients with type 2 diabetes	Parallel groups open UK
insulin glargine vs control			
ORIGINE , 2012 [NCT00069784] n=6264/6273 follow-up: 6.2 years	insulin glargine (with a target fasting blood glucose level of 95 mg per deciliter versus standard care	with cardiovascular risk factors plus impaired fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, or type 2 diabetes	
jiangtang bushen recipe vs control			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Fan , 2004 n=51 follow-up: 4.1 y	jiangtang bushen recipe 2-3 times/week versus placebo	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1999 criteria)	Parallel groups open China
lifestyle modification vs control			
DPS (Lindstrm) , 2003 n=522 follow-up: 3.2y	individualized counseling aimed at reducing weight and intake of total and saturated fat, and increasing intake of fiber and physical activity versus control	Patients overweight with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1985 criteria)	Parallel groups open Finnish
Fang , 2004 n=178 follow-up:	-	subject with impaired glucose tolerance	Parallel groups China
JDPP (Sakane) , 2005 n=240 follow-up:	-	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1999 criteria)	Parallel groups Japan
Keen , 1982 n=241 follow-up:	-	subject with impaired glucose tolerance	Parallel groups
Kosaka , 2005 n=356/102 follow-up: 3.64 y	to maintain body mass index (BMI) of <24.0 kg/m2 and of <22.0 kg/m2, respectively, by diet and exercise. In the intervention group, detailed instructions on lifestyle were repeated every 3-4 months versus control	men with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO criteria 1980)	Parallel groups open Japan
Pan , 1997 n=530 follow-up: 6 y	three active treatment groups: diet only, exercise only, or diet plus exercise versus control	Patients with impaired glucosetolerance (WHO 1985 criteria)	Parallel groups open China
Tao , 2004 n=60 follow-up: 31 months	-	patients with impaired glucose tolerance (WHO 1999 criteria)	Parallel groups China
US-DDP (lifestyle) (Knowler) , 2002 n=1079/1082 follow-up: 2.8 years	lifestyle-modification intervention versus placebo	nondiabetic patients with elevated glucose and high risk for diabetes	Parallel groups open
lifestyle modification + metformin vs control			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
IDDP (Ramachandran) , 2006 n=531 follow-up: 2.5 y	advice on lifestyle modification, metformin, or both versus given standard health care advice (control)	native Asian Indians with impaired glucose tolerance	Parallel groups open India
Jarret , 1979 n=204 follow-up: 4.3 y	carbohydrate restriction with phenformin 50 mg daily versus carbohydrate restriction alone	men with impaired glucose toleranc	Parallel groups open
rosiglitazone vs control			
Wang , 2005 n=NA follow-up: 6 months	rosiglitazone 4 mg/d versus control	patients with diabetes and CAD who had undergone percutaneous coronary intervention	Parallel groups open

More details and results :

- antidiabetic drugs for diabetes type 2 in all types of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q81
- anti hypertensive agents for diabetes type 2 in patients with hypertension at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q83
- cholesterol lowering intervention for diabetes type 2 in diabetic patients with or withour hypercholesterolemia at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q85
- antiplatelets drug for diabetes type 2 in patients without cardiovascular disease at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q221
- antioxydants for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q231
- screening for CAD for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q285
- insulin sensitizers - glitazones for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q321
- prevention for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q341
- antiplatelets drug for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q362
- insulin sensitizers - glitazones for diabetes type 2 in patients with cardiovascular disease at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q376
- insulin sensitizer for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q377
- insulin sensitizer for diabetes type 2 in patients with cardiovascular disease at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q378
- insulin secretagogues peptides (incretins) for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q381
- insulin secretagogues for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q409
- anti hypertensive agents for diabetes type 2 in patients with or without hypertension at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q414
- prevention for diabetes type 2 in people with impaired glucose tolerance at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q416
- angiotensin-receptor blockers for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q427
- angiotensin renin system blockade for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q438
- intensive therapy for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q459
- SGLT2 inhibitors for diabetes type 2 in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q479

References

Bosi , 2011:

Bosi E, Ellis GC, Wilson CA, Fleck PR Alogliptin as a third oral antidiabetic drug in patients with type 2 diabetes and inadequate glycaemic control on metformin and pioglitazone: a 52-week, randomized, double-blind, active-controlled, parallel-group study. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:1088-96 [21733058] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2011.01463.x

DeFronzo , 2008:

DeFronzo RA, Fleck PR, Wilson CA, Mekki Q Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes and inadequate glycemic control: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Diabetes Care 2008;31:2315-7 [18809631] 10.2337/dc08-1035

Kaku, 2011:

Kaku K, Itayasu T, Hiroi S, Hirayama M, Seino Y Efficacy and safety of alogliptin added to pioglitazone in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with an open-label long-term extension study. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:1028-35 [21682833] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2011.01460.x

Pratley, 2009:

Pratley RE, Kipnes MS, Fleck PR, Wilson C, Mekki Q Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes inadequately controlled by glyburide monotherapy. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:167-76 [19125778] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2008.01016.x

Pratley, 2009:

Pratley RE, Reusch JE, Fleck PR, Wilson CA, Mekki Q Efficacy and safety of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor alogliptin added to pioglitazone in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Curr Med Res Opin 2009;25:2361-71 [19650752] 10.1185/03007990903156111

Rosenstock, 2009:

Rosenstock J, Rendell MS, Gross JL, Fleck PR, Wilson CA, Mekki Q Alogliptin added to insulin therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes reduces HbA(1C) without causing weight gain or increased hypoglycaemia. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:1145-52 [19758359] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2009.01124.x

Rosenstock, 2010:

Rosenstock J, Inzucchi SE, Seufert J, Fleck PR, Wilson CA, Mekki Q Initial combination therapy with alogliptin and pioglitazone in drug-nave patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2010;33:2406-8 [20724648] 10.2337/dc10-0159

Seino, 2011:

Seino Y, Fujita T, Hiroi S, Hirayama M, Kaku K Alogliptin plus voglibose in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial with an open-label, long-term extension. Curr Med Res Opin 2011;27 Suppl 3:21-9 [22106975] 10.1185/03007995.2011.614936

Seino, 2011:

Seino Y, Fujita T, Hiroi S, Hirayama M, Kaku K Efficacy and safety of alogliptin in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized, double-blind, dose-ranging comparison with placebo, followed by a long-term extension study. Curr Med Res Opin 2011;27:1781-92 [21806314] 10.1185/03007995.2011.599371

Yang, 2015:

Yang W, Han P, Min KW, Wang B, Mansfield T, T'Joen C, Iqbal N, Johnsson E, Ptaszynska A Efficacy and safety of dapagliflozin in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes after metformin failure: A randomized controlled trial. J Diabetes 2015;: [26589253]

Kadowaki, 2013:

Kadowaki T, Haneda M, Inagaki N et al. Empagliflozin monotherapy for 12 weeks improves glycemic control in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) Diabetes 2013; 62: A297298.

Kadowaki , 2014:

Kadowaki T, Haneda M, Inagaki N, Terauchi Y, Taniguchi A, Koiwai K, Rattunde H, Woerle HJ, Broedl UC Empagliflozin monotherapy in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized, 12-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase II trial. Adv Ther 2014;31:621-38 [24958326]

Eliaschewitz , :

Eliaschewitz FG, Calvo C, Valbuena H, Ruiz M, Aschner P, Villena J, Ramirez LA, Jimenez J Therapy in type 2 diabetes: insulin glargine vs. NPH insulin both in combination with glimepiride. Arch Med Res 2006;37:495-501 [16715577]

Fonseca , :

Fonseca V, Bell DS, Berger S, Thomson S, Mecca TE A comparison of bedtime insulin glargine with bedtime neutral protamine hagedorn insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes: subgroup analysis of patients taking once-daily insulin in a multicenter, randomized, parallel group study. Am J Med Sci 2004;328:274-80 [15545844]

Massi , :
Massi Benedetti M, Humburg E, Dressler A, Ziemen M A one-year, randomised, multicentre trial comparing insulin glargine with NPH insulin in combination with oral agents in patients with type 2 diabetes. Horm Metab Res 2003;35:189-96 [12734781] 10.1055/s-2003-39080
Pan , :
Pan CY, Sinnassamy P, Chung KD, Kim KW Insulin glargine versus NPH insulin therapy in Asian Type 2 diabetes patients. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007;76:111-8 [17011662] 10.1016/j.diabres.2006.08.012
Philis-Tsimikas , :
Philis-Tsimikas A, Charpentier G, Clauson P, Ravn GM, Roberts VL, Thorsteinsson B Comparison of once-daily insulin detemir with NPH insulin added to a regimen of oral antidiabetic drugs in poorly controlled type 2 diabetes. Clin Ther 2006;28:1569-81 [17157113] 10.1016/j.clinthera.2006.10.020
Rosenstock , :
Rosenstock J, Davies M, Home PD, Larsen J, Koenen C, Schernthaner G A randomised, 52-week, treat-to-target trial comparing insulin detemir with insulin glargine when administered as add-on to glucose-lowering drugs in insulin-naive people with type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2008;51:408-16 [18204830] 10.1007/s00125-007-0911-x
Wang , :
Wang XL, Lu JM, Pan CY, Mu YM, Dou JT, Ba JM, Wang X Evaluation of the superiority of insulin glargine as basal insulin replacement by continuous glucose monitoring system. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2007;76:30-6 [16979255] 10.1016/j.diabres.2006.08.005
Yki-Yarvinen , :
Yki-Jrvinen H, Juurinen L, Alvarsson M, Bystedt T, Caldwell I, Davies M, Lahdenper S, Nijpels G, Vhtalo M Initiate Insulin by Aggressive Titration and Education (INITIATE): a randomized study to compare initiation of insulin combination therapy in type 2 diabetic patients individually and in groups. Diabetes Care 2007;30:1364-9 [17384341] 10.2337/dc06-1357
Yki-Yarvinen , :
Yki-Jrvinen H, Dressler A, Ziemen M Less nocturnal hypoglycemia and better post-dinner glucose control with bedtime insulin glargine compared with bedtime NPH insulin during insulin combination therapy in type 2 diabetes. HOE 901/3002 Study Group. Diabetes Care 2000;23:1130-6 [10937510]
Yokoyama, :
Yokoyama H, Tada J, Kamikawa F, Kanno S, Yokota Y, Kuramitsu M Efficacy of conversion from bedtime NPH insulin to morning insulin glargine in type 2 diabetic patients on basal-prandial insulin therapy. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2006;73:35-40 [16513202] 10.1016/j.diabres.2005.12.009
Forst, 2010:
Forst T, Uhlig-Laske B, Ring A, Graefe-Mody U, Friedrich C, Herbach K, Woerle HJ, Dugi KA Linagliptin (BI 1356), a potent and selective DPP-4 inhibitor, is safe and efficacious in combination with metformin in patients with inadequately controlled Type 2 diabetes. Diabet Med 2010;27:1409-19 [21059094] 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2010.03131.x
NN2211-1333, :
Harder H, Nielsen L, Tu DT, Astrup A The effect of liraglutide, a long-acting glucagon-like peptide 1 derivative, on glycemic control, body composition, and 24-h energy expenditure in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004;27:1915-21 [15277417]
AVM100264 , :
BRL 49653C/185 , :
SB-712753/007 , :
SB-712753/009 , :
Fonseca, 2012:
Fonseca V, Zhu T, Karyekar C, Hirshberg B Adding saxagliptin to extended-release metformin vs. uptitrating metformin dosage. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;14:365-71 [22192246] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2011.01553.x
Forst, 2011:
Gke, 2010:
Gke B, Gallwitz B, Eriksson J, Hellqvist A, Gause-Nilsson I Saxagliptin is non-inferior to glipizide in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled on metformin alone: a 52-week randomised controlled trial. Int J Clin Pract 2010;64:1619-31 [20846286] 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2010.02510.x
Kawamori, 2012:

Kawamori R, Inagaki N, Araki E, Watada H, Hayashi N, Horie Y, Sarashina A, Gong Y, von Eynatten M, Woerle HJ, Dugi KA Linagliptin monotherapy provides superior glycaemic control versus placebo or voglibose with comparable safety in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized, placebo and active comparator-controlled, double-blind study. Diabetes Obes Metab 2012;14:348-57 [22145698] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2011.01545.x

Horie Y, Hayashi N, Dugi K, Takeuchi M Design, statistical analysis and sample size calculation of a phase IIb/III study of linagliptin versus voglibose and placebo. Trials 2009;10:82 [19732457] 10.1186/1745-6215-10-82

Nowicki, 2011:

Nowicki M, Rychlik I, Haller H, Warren M, Suchower L, Gause-Nilsson I, Schtzer KM Long-term treatment with the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor saxagliptin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and renal impairment: a randomised controlled 52-week efficacy and safety study. Int J Clin Pract 2011;65:1230-9 [21977965] 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2011.02812.x

Nowicki, 2011:

Nowicki M, Rychlik I, Haller H, Warren ML, Suchower L, Gause-Nilsson I Saxagliptin improves glycaemic control and is well tolerated in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and renal impairment. Diabetes Obes Metab 2011;13:523-32 [21332627] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2011.01382.x

Scheen , 2010:

Scheen AJ, Charpentier G, Ostgren CJ, Hellqvist A, Gause-Nilsson I Efficacy and safety of saxagliptin in combination with metformin compared with sitagliptin in combination with metformin in adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2010;26:540-9 [20824678] 10.1002/dmrr.1114

Stenlf , 2010:

Stenlf K, Raz I, Neutel J, Ravichandran S, Berglind N, Chen R Saxagliptin and metformin XR combination therapy provides glycemic control over 24 hours in patients with T2DM inadequately controlled with metformin. Curr Med Res Opin 2010;26:2355-63 [20804445] 10.1185/03007995.2010.511090

Yang, 2011:

Yang W, Pan CY, Tou C, Zhao J, Gause-Nilsson I Efficacy and safety of saxagliptin added to metformin in Asian people with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2011;94:217-24 [21871686] 10.1016/j.diabres.2011.07.035

Stafford , 2011:

Stafford S, Elahi D, Meneilly GS Effect of the dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor sitagliptin in older adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Am Geriatr Soc 2011;59:1148-9 [21668924] 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2011.03438.x

GALLANT 9, :

GALLANT 7, :

GALLANT 8, :

GALLANT 6, :

D6160C00028, :

D6160C00026, :

GALLANT 14, :

D6160C00055, :

D6160C00040, :

NCT00101673, :

Pratley RE, Schweizer A, Rosenstock J, Foley JE, Banerji MA, Pi-Sunyer FX, Mills D, Dejager S Robust improvements in fasting and prandial measures of beta-cell function with vildagliptin in drug-nave patients: analysis of pooled vildagliptin monotherapy database. Diabetes Obes Metab 2008;10:931-8 [18093207] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2007.00835.x

YSRE0001, 0:

Pan, 2008:

Pan C, Yang W, Barona JP, Wang Y, Niggli M, Mohideen P, Wang Y, Foley JE Comparison of vildagliptin and acarbose monotherapy in patients with Type 2 diabetes: a 24-week, double-blind, randomized trial. Diabet Med 2008;25:435-41 [18341596] 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2008.02391.x

Liao, 2002:

Liao D, Asberry PJ, Shofer JB, Callahan H, Matthys C, Boyko EJ, Leonetti D, Kahn SE, Austin M, Newell L, Schwartz RS, Fujimoto WY Improvement of BMI, body composition, and body fat distribution with lifestyle modification in Japanese Americans with impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes Care 2002;25:1504-10 [12196418]

Raz, 2009:

Raz I, Wilson PW, Strojek K, Kowalska I, Bozikov V, Gitt AK, Jermendy G, Campaigne BN, Kerr L, Milicevic Z, Jacober SJ, Effects of prandial versus fasting glycemia on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes: the HEART2D trial. Diabetes Care 2009;32:381-6. [19246588] 10.2337/dc08-1671

Hirsch A VOIR (MA), 0:

Hirsch IB, Yuan H, Campaigne BN, Tan MH, Impact of prandial plus basal vs basal insulin on glycemic variability in type 2 diabetic patients. Endocr Pract ;15:343-8. [19454394] 10.4158/EP08308.ORR

Garber, 2006:

Rosenstock J, Ahmann AJ, Colon G, Scism-Bacon J, Jiang H, Martin S Advancing insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes previously treated with glargine plus oral agents: prandial premixed (insulin lispro protamine suspension/lispro) versus basal/bolus (glargine/lispro) therapy. Diabetes Care 2008;31:20-5 [17934150] 10.2337/dc07-1122

Rosenstock, 2008:

Rosenstock J, Ahmann AJ, Colon G, Scism-Bacon J, Jiang H, Martin S Advancing insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes previously treated with glargine plus oral agents: prandial premixed (insulin lispro protamine suspension/lispro) versus basal/bolus (glargine/lispro) therapy. Diabetes Care 2008;31:20-5 [17934150] 10.2337/dc07-1122

Fritche , :

Fritsche A, Schweitzer MA, Hring HU Glimepiride combined with morning insulin glargine, bedtime neutral protamine hagedorn insulin, or bedtime insulin glargine in patients with type 2 diabetes. A randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 2003;138:952-9 [12809451]

Liebl, 2009:

Liebl A, Prager R, Binz K, Kaiser M, Bergenstal R, Gallwitz B, , Comparison of insulin analogue regimens in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the PREFER Study: a randomized controlled trial. Diabetes Obes Metab 2009;11:45-52. [18643839] 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2008.00915.x

Hermann, 1991:

Hermann LS, Bitzn PO, Kjellstrm T, Lindgrde F, Scherstn B Comparative efficacy of metformin and glibenclamide in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Diabete Metab 1991;17:201-8 [1936477]

Raskin, 2003:

Raskin P, Bode BW, Marks JB, Hirsch IB, Weinstein RL, McGill JB, Peterson GE, Mudaliar SR, Reinhardt RR Continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion and multiple daily injection therapy are equally effective in type 2 diabetes: a randomized, parallel-group, 24-week study. Diabetes Care 2003;26:2598-603 [12941725]

Herman, 2005:

Herman WH, Ilag LL, Johnson SL, Martin CL, Sinding J, Al Harthi A, Plunkett CD, LaPorte FB, Burke R, Brown MB, Halter JB, Raskin P A clinical trial of continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion versus multiple daily injections in older adults with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005;28:1568-73 [15983302]

SCOPE (diabetic subgroup), 2003:

Lithell H, Hansson L, Skoog I, Elmfeldt D, Hofman A, Olofsson B, Trenkwalder P, Zanchetti A The Study on Cognition and Prognosis in the Elderly (SCOPE): principal results of a randomized double-blind intervention trial. J Hypertens 2003;21:875-86 [12714861] 10.1097/01.hjh.0000059028.82022.89

Trenkwalder P, Elmfeldt D, Hofman A, Lithell H, Olofsson B, Papademetriou V, Skoog I, Zanchetti A The Study on COgnition and Prognosis in the Elderly (SCOPE) - major CV events and stroke in subgroups of patients. Blood Press 2005;14:31-7 [15823945] 10.1080/08037050510008823

UKPDS 38, 1998:

Efficacy of atenolol and captopril in reducing risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 39. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. BMJ 1998;317:713-20 [9732338]

Tight blood pressure control and risk of macrovascular and microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes: UKPDS 38. UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. BMJ 1998;317:703-13 [9732337]

ORIGINE, 2012:

Gerstein HC, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, Daz R, Jung H, Maggioni AP, Pogue J, Probstfield J, Ramachandran A, Riddle MC, Rydn LE, Yusuf S Basal insulin and cardiovascular and other outcomes in dysglycemia. N Engl J Med 2012;367:319-28 [22686416]

Fan, 2004:

Fan GJ, Luo GB, Qin ML [Effect of jiangtang bushen recipe in intervention treatment of patients with impaired glucose tolerance] Zhongguo Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi 2004;24:317-20 [15143717]

DPS (Lindstrm), 2003:

Lindstrm J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Aunola S, Cepaitis Z, Hakumki M, Hmlinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinnen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Mannelin M, Martikkala V, Moltchanov V, Rastas M, Salminen V, Sundvall J, Uusitupa M, Tuomilehto J Prevention of diabetes mellitus in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study: results from a randomized clinical trial. J Am Soc Nephrol 2003;14:S108-13 [12819313]

Fang, 2004:

WHO Expert Committee on Diabetes Mellitus: second report. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 1980;646:1-80 [6771926]

Fang YS, Li TY, Chen SY Zhongguo Linchuang Kangfu 2004;8:6562-3g

JDPP (Sakane), 2005:

Sakane N [Japan Diabetes Prevention Program] Nippon Rinsho 2005;63 Suppl 2:488-92 [15779427]

Keen, 1982:

Keen H, Jarrett RJ, Ward JD, Fuller JH Borderline diabetics and their response to tolbutamide. Adv Metab Disord 1973;2:Suppl 2:521-31 [4720382]

Kosaka, 2005:

Kosaka K, Noda M, Kuzuya T Prevention of type 2 diabetes by lifestyle intervention: a Japanese trial in IGT males. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2005;67:152-62 [15649575] 10.1016/j.diabres.2004.06.010

Pan, 1997:

Pan XR, Li GW, Hu YH, Wang JX, Yang WY, An ZX, Hu ZX, Lin J, Xiao JZ, Cao HB, Liu PA, Jiang XG, Jiang YY, Wang JP, Zheng H, Zhang H, Bennett PH, Howard BV Effects of diet and exercise in preventing NIDDM in people with impaired glucose tolerance. The Da Qing IGT and Diabetes Study. Diabetes Care 1997;20:537-44 [9096977]

Tao, 2004:

Tao LL, Deng YB, Fan XB, Bao QDm Zhongguo Linchuang Kangfu 2004;8:2912-3g

US-DDP (lifestyle) (Knowler), 2002:

Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, Nathan DM N Engl J Med 2002;346:393-403 [11832527]

IDDP (Ramachandran), 2006:

Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, Mukesh B, Bhaskar AD, Vijay V The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). Diabetologia 2006;49:289-97 [16391903] 10.1007/s00125-005-0097-z

Jarret, 1979:

Jarrett RJ, Keen H, Fuller JH, McCartney M Worsening to diabetes in men with impaired glucose tolerance ("borderline diabetes"). Diabetologia 1979;16:25-30 [761734]

Wang, 2005:

Wang G, Wei J, Guan Y, Jin N, Mao J, Wang X, Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma agonist rosiglitazone reduces clinical inflammatory responses in type 2 diabetes with coronary artery disease after coronary angioplasty. Metabolism 2005;54:590-7. [15877288] 10.1016/j.metabol.2004.11.017

14 venous thrombosis

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
dabigatran vs warfarin			
RE-MEDY , 2011 [NCT00329238] n=1430/1426 follow-up: 6 to 36 months	dabigatran 150 mg twice daily for an additional period of 6 to 36 months versus warfarin (to maintain an international normalized ratio of 2.0 to 3.0) for an additional period of 6 to 36 months	Secondary prevention of VTE in patients with VTE who had initially received 3 to 12 months of anticoagulant therapy	Parallel groups double-blind

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
REMEDY , 2013 n=1430/1426 follow-up:	-	-	
Enoxaparin vs acenocoumarol			
Veiga , 2000 n=50/50 follow-up: 6-9 mo	UFH, APTT 1.52.0d followed by Enoxaparin 4,000 IU qd versus UFH, APTT 1.52.0d followed by Acenocoumarol target INR 2-3	patients with objective diagnosis of DVT by Venography	open
Nadroparin vs acenocoumarol			
Lopez-Beret , 2001 n=81/77 follow-up: 6-9 mo	LMWH, 1,025 IU/10 kg bid followed by Nadroparin 1,025 IU/10 kg bid versus LMWH, 1,025 IU/10 kg bid followed by Acenocoumarol target INR 2-3	patients with objective diagnosis of DVT by compression ultrasonography	open
Lopaciuk , 1999 n=101/101 follow-up: 9 mo	LMWH, 85 UI/kg bid followed by Nadroparin 85 IU/kg qd versus LMWH, 85 UI/kg bid followed by Acenocoumarol target INR 2-3	patients with objective diagnosis of DVT by Venography	open
Tinzaparin vs acenocoumarol			
Romera , 2009 n=119/122 follow-up: 12 months	tinzaparin SC 175 IU anti-Xa per kg once daily for 6 months versus acenocoumarol for target INR 2-3 for 6 months after initial LMWH (until INR 2-3)	patients with symptomatic proximal DVT of the lowerlimbs confirmed by compression duplex ultrasound scan	Parallel groups open Spain
rivaroxaban 10mg vs aspirin			
EINSTEIN CHOICE (10mg) , 2017 [NCT02064439] n=1127/1131 follow-up:	Rivaroxaban 10 mg once daily for 12 months versus ASA (Acetylsalicylic Acid) 100 mg once daily for 12 months	Patients with confirmed symptomatic DVT (Deep Vein Thrombosis) or PE (Pulmonary embolism) who completed 6 or 12 months of treatment of anticoagulation	
VKA vs control			
AUREC FVII , 2009 n=17/17 follow-up: 37 months mean	continue VKA for additional 24 months versus discontinuation	patients with first spontaneous VTE and FVIII levels >230 IU/dl after 6 montsh of VKA	
DACUS (Siragusa) , 2008 [NCT00438230] n=88/92 follow-up:	anticoagulants for 9 additional months versus no treatment	with a first episode of deep vein thrombosis, treated with OAT for 3 months and with Residual vein thrombosis	

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
DURAC II , 1997 n=116/111 follow-up: 4 years	anticoagulant therapy continued indefinitely versus six months of oral anticoagulant therapy	patients who had had a second episode of venous thromboembolism	
PROLONG (Palarati) , 2006 [NCT00264277] n=105/122 follow-up: 1.4 years	resume treatment versus discontinue treatment	patients with a first unprovoked proximal deep-vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism who had received a vitamin K antagonist for at least 3 months and with abnormal D-dimer testing 1 month after the discontinuation of anticoagulation	
WODIT DVT , 2001 n=134/133 follow-up: at least two years	continuation for nine additional months versus discontinuation	Patients with a first episode of idiopathic proximal deep venous thrombosis who had completed three months of oral anticoagulant therapy	
WODIT PE , 2003 n=165/161 follow-up:	-	patients after a first episode of pulmonary embolismwho had had 3 months of oral anticoagulant therapy without experiencing recurrence or bleeding	
DDOAT2006 *ongoing* [NCT00895505] n=300 follow-up: 24 months	Extension of OAT versus discontinuation	-	
warfarin vs control			
Vitotec , 2009 n=27/25 follow-up:	continuation of warfarin for another 6 months versus discontinuation of warfarin	patients with idiopathic DVT After 6 months of standard therapy (heparin/LMWH, warfarin with target INR 2-3) and persistent echogenic masses of over 20% of venous diameter	
Enoxaparin vs coumarin			
Gonzlez-Fajardo , 2008 n=85/80 follow-up: 1y, 5y	long-term anticoagulant treatment with enoxaparin during at least 3 months versus long-term anticoagulant treatment with coumarin during at least 3 months	patients with symptomatic, unilateral, first-episode DVT	Parallel groups open, blind assessment Spain
tinzaparin vs dalteparin			
Wells (subgroup) , 2005 n=NA follow-up: 3 months	Tinzaparin 175 IU/kg SQ daily (warfarin started simultaneously and continued for 90 days) versus dalteparin 200 IU/kg daily for at least 5 days ((warfarin started simultaneously and continued for 90 days)	study subgroup of patients with cancer treated for upper or lower extremity DVT or PE in the outpatient setting	Parallel groups outcome assessment blinded
apixaban 2.5mg vs discontinuation			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
AMPLIFY-EXT 2.5mg , 2012 [NCT00633893] n=842/829 follow-up: 12 mo	Extended Treatment with apixaban 2.5 mg twice daily 12 months versus placebo	patients who have completed their intended treatment for deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism	Parallel groups double blind
apixaban 5mg vs discontinuation			
AMPLIFY-EXT 5mg , 2012 [NCT00633893] n=815/829 follow-up: 12 mo	Extended Treatment with apixaban 5 mg twice daily 12 months versus placebo	patients who have completed their intended treatment for deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism	double blind
aspirin vs discontinuation			
WARFASA , 2012 [NCT00222677] n=205/197 follow-up: 24.6 mo (median)	aspirin, 100 mg daily for 2 years versus placebo	patients with first-ever unprovoked venous thromboembolism who had completed 6 to 18 months of oral anticoagulant treatment	Parallel groups double-blind
ASPIRE , 2012 [ACTRN12605000004662] n=411/411 follow-up: 37.2 montsh (median)	-	patients who had completed initial anticoagulant therapy after a first episode of unprovoked venous thromboembolism	
dabigatran vs discontinuation			
RE-SONATE , 2011 [NCT00558259] n=681/662 follow-up:	dabigatran 150 mg twice daily for an additional period of 6 months versus placebo	Secondary prevention of VTE in patients with VTE who had completed 6-18 months of anticoagulant therapy	Parallel groups double-blind
idraparinux vs discontinuation			
VanGogh extension , 2007 [NCT00071279] n=594/621 follow-up: 6 months	once-weekly injections of 2.5 mg of idraparinux for 6 months versus placebo	patients who had completed 6 months of prophylaxis with idraparinux or a vitamin K antagonist and in whom extended anticoagulation was warranted	Parallel groups
rivaroxaban vs discontinuation			
EINSTEIN-extension , 2009 [NCT00439725] n=602/595 follow-up:	rivaroxaban 20 mg once-daily for an additional 6 or 12 months versus placebo	patients who had completed six to 12 months of anticoagulant treatment for an acute episode of VTE	Parallel groups double blind 28 countries
warfarin vs discontinuation			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
PROLONG (Palareti) , 2006 [NCT00264277] n=105/122 follow-up: 1.4 yeras	prolongation versus no anticoagulation	patients with an abnormal d-dimer level 1 month after the discontinuation of anticoagulation in patients with a first unprovoked proximal deep-vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism who had received a vitamin K antagonist for at least 3 months	Parallel groups
PREVENT (Ridker) , 2003 n=255/253 follow-up: 2.1 years	extension with low-intensity warfarin (target INR, 1.5 to 2.0) versus placebo	Patients with idiopathic venous thromboembolism who had received full-dose anticoagulation therapy for a median of 6.5 months	Parallel groups
Agnelli , 2003 n=NA follow-up: 33 months	continuation for 3 or 9 additionnal months of warfarin or other oral anticoagulant was adjusted to achieve a target INR between 2.0 and 3.0. versus discontinuation (after 3 months)	patients who had had 3 months of oral anticoagulant therapy without experiencing recurrence or bleeding after a first episode of pulmonary embolism	Parallel groups open Italy
Agnelli , 2001 n=NA follow-up: 33 months	continuation for 9 additional months; warfarin or acenocoumarol adjusted to achieve a target INR between 2.0 and 3.0 versus discontinuation (after 3 months months)	Patients with a first episode of idiopathic proximal deep venous thrombosis who had completed three months of oral anticoagulant therapy	Parallel groups open Italy
LAFIT (Kearon) , 1999 n=NA follow-up:	Continuation of the oral anticoagulant therapy up to 24 months, warfarin was adjusted to achieve a target INR between 2.0 and 3.0. versus discontinuation (after 3 months)	patients who had completed 3 months of anticoagulant therapy for a first episode of idiopathic venous thromboembolism	
ELAET (Kearon) , 2004 n=NA follow-up: 11 months (after randomizatio)	continuation for 2 additionnal months of warfarin adjusted to achieve a target INR between 2.0 and 3.0. versus discontinuation (after 1 months)	-	Parallel groups double blind Canada, US
Levine , 1995 n=NA follow-up: 11 months after randomization.	continuation for 2 months of warfarin adjusted INR value of 2.0 to 3.0 versus Discontinue oral anticoagulant therapy (after 1 months)	Patients with venographically confirmed acute proximal DVT who had received four weeks of warfarin after initial heparin and whose four week IPG was normal	Parallel groups double blind Canada, Italy
DURAC (Schulman) , 1997 n=NA follow-up: Four years after randomization	indefinite warfarin or dicoumarol adjusted for a target INR between 2.0 and 2.85 versus 6 months warfarin or dicoumarol adjusted for a target INR between 2.0 and 2.85	-	Parallel groups open Sweden

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
ximelagatran vs discontinuation			
THRIVE III , 2003 n=612/611 follow-up: 18 months	ximelagatran 24 mg twice daily for 18 months versus placebo for 18 months	patients with venous thromboembolism who had undergone six months of anticoagulant therapy	Parallel groups double blind 18 countries
warfarin vs low intensity warfarin			
ELATE , 2003 n=369/369 follow-up: 2.4 years mean	continue warfarin therapy with a target international normalized ratio (INR) of 2.0 to 3.0 versus target INR of 1.5 to 1.9 (low intensity)	patients who had completed three or more months of warfarin therapy for unprovoked venous thromboembolism	Parallel groups open-label
arvin vs no fibrinolysis			
Kakkar (arvin) , 1969 n=NA follow-up:	streptokinase 500,000 U IV over 30 minutes, 900,000 U every 6 hours for 5 days versus heparin 10,000 U over 5 minutes, then 10,000 to 15,000 U every 6 hours for 5 dayslicatio	patients with venographically confirmed DVT of leg of duration <4 days	Parallel groups single blind UK
streptokinase vs no fibrinolysis			
Arneson , 1978 n=43 follow-up:	streptokinase 250,000 U loading IV, then 100,000 IU/hour IV 72-96 hours versus heparin 15,000 IU IV bolus, 30,000 IU infusion IV 72-90 hoursl	inpatients with venographically confirmed DVT extending proximally beyond the calf <5 days duration?	Parallel groups single blind Norway
Common , 1976 n=50 follow-up:	hydrocortisone 100 mg IV then streptokinase IV 250,000 U over 30 minutes, then 100,000 U/hour titrated for 72 hours. Followed by IV heparin titrated over 7 days versus IV heparin 150 U/kg loading dose then titrated for 10 days	patients with venographically confirmed DVT duration <14 days	Parallel groups single blind US
Elsharawy , 2002 n=35 follow-up:	catheter-directed thrombolysis with streptokinase using popliteal approach. versus heparin IV bolus 5000 U, then adjusted continuous infusion. Warfarin begun the same evening	iliofemoral venous thrombosis confirmed by duplex or venography duration <10 daysicatio	Parallel groups single blind Egypt

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Schulman , 1986 n=38 follow-up:	streptokinase 50,000 IU IV over 15 minutes then 100,000 IU over 12 hours for up to 7 days, titrated. Given with 5000 IU heparin IV over 12 hours. Warfarin begun after streptokinase ended versus heparin 5000 IUIVbolus then 30,000 IUper day, titrated for 7 days.Warfarin begun simultaneously	patients with venographically confirmed calf vein thrombosis of duration <7 days.	Parallel groups single blind Sweden
Tsapogas , 1973 n=34 follow-up:	titrated dose of streptokinase IV into ankle veinmage/pj versus heparin IV into affected limbitm	patients with DVT confirmed by venogram of duration <5 days.	Parallel groups open US
Kakkar (streptokinase) , 1969 n=NA follow-up:	streptokinase 500,000 U IV over 30 minutes, 900,000 U every 6 hours for 5 days versus heparin 10,000 U over 5 minutes, then 10,000 to 15,000 U every 6 hours for 5 dayslicatio	patients with venographically confirmed DVT of leg of duration <4 days	Parallel groups single blind UK
Schweizer (systemic SK) , 2000 n=NA follow-up:	Systemic streptokinase 3,000,000 U/day over 6 hours in conjunction with heparin for up to 7 days. Premedication: hydrocortisone 100 mg, ranitidine 50 mg, clemastine 2 mg versus heparin IV, adjusted	patients with thrombosis of popliteal or more proximal veins confirmed by venogram at more than one level of duration <9 days	Parallel groups single blind Germany
tPA vs no fibrinolysis			
Goldhaber (tPA alone) , 1990 n=NA follow-up:	tPA alone 0.05 mg/kg/hour IV over 24 hours, then heparin100U/kg bolus, then 1000 U/hour, adjusted versus heparin alone 100 U/kg bolus, then 1000 U/hour	venographically documented DVT, in popliteal ormore proximal veins <14 days duration	Parallel groups single blind US
Schweizer (local tPA) , 2000 n=NA follow-up:	local tPA 20 mg/day, over 4 hours via pedal vein for 4-7 days. IV heparin given simultaneously at 1000 IU/hour, adjusted versus heparin IV, adjusted	patients with thrombosis of popliteal or more proximal veins confirmed by venogram at more than one level of duration <9 days	Parallel groups single blind Germany
Turpie , 1990 n=83 follow-up:	tPA + IV heparin versus 5000 U bolus then 30,000 U/24 hours, adjusted for 7-10 days (+placebo)	patients with venographically confirmed proximal DVT of lower limb of duration <7 days	Parallel groups double blind Canada

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Verhaeghe (high dose) , 1989 n=NA follow-up:	IV tPA 100 mg on day 1, 50 mg tPA on day 2. 10% of dose given as bolus; heparin 5000 U IV bolus then continuous infusion of 1000 U per hour for up to 72 hours versus heparin 5000 U IV bolus then continuous infusion of 1000 U per hour for up to 72 hours (+placebo)	hospitalised patients with DVT of popliteal or more proximal veins of the lower leg, confirmed by venography of duration <10 days.	Parallel groups double blind France, Belgium, Switzerland
Goldhaber (tPA+heparin) , 1990 n=NA follow-up:	tPA 0.05 mg/kg/hour IV over 24 hours and heparin 100U/kg bolus, then 1000 U/hour, adjusted versus heparin alone 100 U/kg bolus, then 1000 U/hour.	patients with venographically documented DVT, in popliteal ormore proximal veins <14 days duration	Parallel groups single blind US
Verhaeghe (low dose) , 1989 n=NA follow-up:	IV tPA 50 mg on day 1, repeated on day 2. 10% of dose given as bolus; heparin 5000 U IV bolus then continuous infusion of 1000 U per hour for up to 72 hours versus heparin 5000 U IV bolus then continuous infusion of 1000 U per hour for up to 72 hours (+placebo)	hospitalised patients with DVT of popliteal or more proximal veins of the lower leg, confirmed by venography of duration <10 days.	Parallel groups double blind France, Belgium, Switzerland
tPA+heparin vs no fibrinolysis			
Schweizer tPA , 1998 n=NA follow-up:	tPA 20 mg IV into pedal vein over 4 hours each day for 7 days. Heparin IV given concomitantly, with adjustment versus heparin IV, adjusted for 7 days	patients with venographically confirmed DVT of leg duration <7 days.	Parallel groups single blind Germany
urokinase vs no fibrinolysis			
Kiil , 1981 n=20 follow-up:	urokinase 200,000 U IV over 24 hours. After 18 hours, heparin loading dose of 15,000 units then 40,000 U/day for 5 days (+placebo) versus heparin 40,000 U/day IV for 6 days (+placebo)	patients with venographically confirmed DVT duration <72 hours	Parallel groups Double blind Denmark
Schweizer (urokinase) , 1998 n=NA follow-up:	Urokinase 100,000 IU/hr IV into pedal vein continuously for 7 days. Heparin IV for 7 days. Plasminogen monitored. Warfarin from day 7 to 12 monthsd=132 versus heparin IV, adjusted for 7 days	patients with venographically confirmed DVT of leg duration <7 days	Parallel groups single blind Germany

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Schweizer (local urokinase) , 2000 n=NA follow-up:	Local urokinase 100,000 IU/day infused continuously. Fibrinogen and plasminogen monitored. Heparin IV given concomitantly versus heparin IV, adjusted	patients with thrombosis of popliteal or more proximal veins confirmed by venogram at more than one level of duration <9 days	Parallel groups single blind Germany
Schweizer (systemic urokinase) , 2000 n=NA follow-up:	Systemic urokinase 5,000,000 IU/day over 4 hours for up to 7 days. IV heparin given concomitantly versus heparin IV, adjusted	patients with thrombosis of popliteal or more proximal veins confirmed by venogram at more than one level of duration <9 days	Parallel groups single blind Germany
caval filter vs no filter			
PREPIC , 1998 n=200/200 follow-up: 12 days and 2 years	caval filter versus no filter	patients with documented proximal DVT or PE, and considered high risk for pulmonary embolism	Parallel groups open
apixaban 2.5mg vs placebo			
AMPLIFY EXT 2.5mg , 2013 n=842/829 follow-up:	apixaban (2.5 mg and 5 mg, twice daily) versus placebo	patients with venous thromboembolism who had completed 6 to 12 months of anticoagulation therapy	
apixaban 5mg vs placebo			
AMPLIFY EXT 5mg , 2013 n=815/829 follow-up:	apixaban (2.5 mg and 5 mg, twice daily) versus placebo	patients with venous thromboembolism who had completed 6 to 12 months of anticoagulation therapy	
aspirin vs placebo			
ASPIRE , 2012 n=411/411 follow-up: 37.2 months median	aspirin, at a dose of 100 mg daily, for up to 4 years versus placebo	patients who had completed initial anticoagulant therapy after a first episode of unprovoked venous thromboembolism	
WARFASA , 2012 n=205/197 follow-up:	aspirin, 100 mg daily for 2 years versus placebo	patients with first-ever unprovoked venous thromboembolism who had completed 6 to 18 months of oral anticoagulant treatment	
dabigatran vs placebo			
RESONATE , 2013 n=681/662 follow-up:	dabigatran at a dose of 150 mg twice daily versus placebo	-	
heparin+warfarin vs placebo			

continued...

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
Ott import , 1998 n=11/12	anticoagulants (s.c. heparin followed by oral warfarin) (duration NA) versus s.c. saline followed by oral placebo tablets	-	double blind Denmark
idraparinux vs placebo			
Van Gogh , 2007 [NCT00071279] n=594/621 follow-up:	once-weekly injections of 2.5 mg of idraparinux for 6 months without monitoring versus placebo	patients who had completed 6 months of prophylaxis with idraparinux or a vitamin K antagonist and in whom extended anticoagulation was warranted	Parallel groups double-blind

More details and results :

- fibrinolysis for venous thrombosis in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q100
- antithrombotics for venous thrombosis in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q101
- antithrombotics for venous thrombosis in patients with cancer at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q103
- caval filter for venous thrombosis in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q122
- antithrombotics for venous thrombosis in secondary prevention of VTE at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q149
- LMWH for venous thrombosis in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q203
- heparin (UFH or LMWH) for venous thrombosis in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q204
- UFH for venous thrombosis in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q205
- direct factor Xa inhibitors for venous thrombosis in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q372
- direct oral anticoagulant (DAO) for venous thrombosis in all types of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q505
- antithrombotics for venous thrombosis in secondary prevention - 2 at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q682

References

RE-MEDY, 2011:
Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, Schellong S, Eriksson H, Baanstra D, Kvamme AM, Friedman J, Mismetti P, Goldhaber SZ Extended use of dabigatran, warfarin, or placebo in venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013 Feb 21;368:709-18 [23425163] 10.1056/NEJMoa1113697

REMEDY, 2013:
Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, Schellong S, Eriksson H, Baanstra D, Kvamme AM, Friedman J, Mismetti P, Goldhaber SZ Extended use of dabigatran, warfarin, or placebo in venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013 Feb 21;368:709-18 [23425163]

Veiga, 2000:
Veiga F, Escrib A, Maluenda MP, Lpez Rubio M, Margalet I, Lezana A, Gallego J, Ribera JM Low molecular weight heparin (enoxaparin) versus oral anticoagulant therapy (acenocoumarol) in the long-term treatment of deep venous thrombosis in the elderly: a randomized trial. Thromb Haemost 2000;84:559-64 [11057850]

Lopez-Beret, 2001:
Lpez-Beret P, Orgaz A, Fontcuberta J, Doblas M, Martinez A, Lozano G, Romero A Low molecular weight heparin versus oral anticoagulants in the long-term treatment of deep venous thrombosis. J Vasc Surg 2001;33:77-90 [11137927]

Lopaciuk, 1999:
Lopaciuk S, Bielska-Falda H, Noszczyk W, Bielawiec M, Witkiewicz W, Filipecki S, Michalak J, Ciesielski L, Mackiewicz Z, Czestochowska E, Zawilska K, Cencora A Low molecular weight heparin versus acenocoumarol in the secondary prophylaxis of deep vein thrombosis. Thromb Haemost 1999;81:26-31 [9974369]

Romera, 2009:
Romera A, Cairols MA, Vila-Coll R, Mart X, Colom E, Bonell A, Lapiedra O A randomised open-label trial comparing long-term sub-cutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin compared with oral-anticoagulant therapy in the treatment of deep venous thrombosis. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2009;37:349-56 [19121589]
EINSTEIN CHOICE (10mg), 2017:
Weitz JI, Lensing AWA, Prins MH, Bauersachs R, Beyer-Westendorf J, Bounameaux H, Brighton TA, Cohen AT, Davidson BL, Decousus H, Freitas MCS, Holberg Rivaroxaban or Aspirin for Extended Treatment of Venous Thromboembolism. N. Engl. J. Med. 2017; 376:1211-1222 [28316279] 10.1056/NEJMoa1700518
AUREC FVII, 2009:
Eischer L, Gartner V, Schulman S, Kyrle PA, Eichinger S 6 versus 30 months anticoagulation for recurrent venous thrombosis in patients with high factor VIII. Ann Hematol 2009;88:485-90 [18931845] 10.1007/s00277-008-0626-1
DACUS (Siragusa), 2008:
Siragusa S, Malato A, Anastasio R, Cigna V, Milio G, Amato C, Bellisi M, Attanzio MT, Cormaci O, Pellegrino M, Dolce A, Casuccio A, Bajardi G, Mariani G Residual vein thrombosis to establish duration of anticoagulation after a first episode of deep vein thrombosis: the Duration of Anticoagulation based on Compression UltraSonography (DACUS) study. Blood 2008;112:511-5 [18497320] 10.1182/blood-2008-01-131656
DURAC II, 1997:
Schulman S, Granqvist S, Holmstrm M, Carlsson A, Lindmarker P, Nicol P, Eklund SG, Nordlander S, Lrfars G, Leijd B, Linder O, Loogna E The duration of oral anticoagulant therapy after a second episode of venous thromboembolism. The Duration of Anticoagulation Trial Study Group. N Engl J Med 1997;336:393-8 [9010144] 10.1056/NEJM199702063360601
PROLONG (Palarati), 2006:
Palareti G, Cosmi B, Legnani C, Tosetto A, Brusi C, Iorio A, Pengo V, Ghirarduzzi A, Pattacini C, Testa S, Lensing AW, Tripodi A D-dimer testing to determine the duration of anticoagulation therapy. N Engl J Med 2006;355:1780-9 [17065639]
WODIT DVT, 2001:
Agnelli G, Prandoni P, Santamaria MG, Bagatella P, Iorio A, Bazzan M, Moia M, Guazzaloca G, Bertoldi A, Tomasi C, Scannapieco G, Ageno W Three months versus one year of oral anticoagulant therapy for idiopathic deep venous thrombosis. Warfarin Optimal Duration Italian Trial Investigators. N Engl J Med 2001;345:165-9 [11463010] 10.1056/NEJM200107193450302
WODIT PE, 2003:
Agnelli G, Prandoni P, Becattini C, Silingardi M, Taliani MR, Miccio M, Imberti D, Poggio R, Ageno W, Pogliani E, Porro F, Zonzin P Extended oral anticoagulant therapy after a first episode of pulmonary embolism. Ann Intern Med 2003;139:19-25 [12834314]
DDOAT2006, :
ongoing trial NCT00895505
Vitotec, 2009:
Vtovec M, Goln L, Roztocil K, Linhart A The development of persistent thrombotic masses in patients with deep venous thrombosis randomized to long-term anticoagulation treatment. Vasa 2009;38:238-44 [19736635] 10.1024/0301-1526.38.3.238
Gonzlez-Fajardo, 2008:
Gonzlez-Fajardo JA, Martin-Pedrosa M, Castrodeza J, Tamames S, Vaquero-Puerta C Effect of the anticoagulant therapy in the incidence of post-thrombotic syndrome and recurrent thromboembolism: Comparative study of enoxaparin versus coumarin. J Vasc Surg 2008;48:953-9 [18639417]
Wells (subgroup), 2005:
Wells PS, Anderson DR, Rodger MA, Forgie MA, Florack P, Touchie D, Morrow B, Gray L, O'Rourke K, Wells G, Kovacs J, Kovacs MJ A randomized trial comparing 2 low-molecular-weight heparins for the outpatient treatment of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. Arch Intern Med 2005;165:733-8 [15824291]
AMPLIFY-EXT 2.5mg, 2012:
Agnelli G, Buller HR, Cohen A, Curto M, Gallus AS, Johnson M, Porcari A, Raskob GE, Weitz JI Apixaban for Extended Treatment of Venous Thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2012 Dec 8;: [23216615] 10.1056/NEJMoa1207541
AMPLIFY-EXT 5mg, 2012:
Agnelli G, Buller HR, Cohen A, Curto M, Gallus AS, Johnson M, Porcari A, Raskob GE, Weitz JI Apixaban for Extended Treatment of Venous Thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2012 Dec 8;: [23216615] 10.1056/NEJMoa1207541
WARFASA, 2012:

Becattini C, Agnelli G, Schenone A, Eichinger S, Bucherini E, Silingardi M, Bianchi M, Moia M, Ageno W, Vandelli MR, Grandone E, Prandoni P Aspirin for preventing the recurrence of venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2012 May 24;366:1959-67 [22621626] 10.1056/NEJMoa1114238

ASPIRE, 2012:

Brighton TA, Eikelboom JW, Mann K, Mister R, Gallus A, Ockelford P, Gibbs H, Hague W, Xavier D, Diaz R, Kirby A, Simes J Low-dose aspirin for preventing recurrent venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2012;367:1979-87 [23121403]

RE-SONATE, 2011:

VanGogh extension, 2007:

Buller HR, Cohen AT, Davidson B, Decousus H, Gallus AS, Gent M, Pillion G, Piovella F, Prins MH, Raskob GE N Engl J Med 2007 Sep 13;357:1105-12 [17855671] 10.1056/NEJMoa067703

EINSTEIN-extension, 2009:

Bller H R. Once daily oral rivaroxaban versus placebo in the long term treatment of recurrent symptomatic venous thromboembolism. The Einstein-extension study. ASH, 8 dcembre 2009

Oral Rivaroxaban for Symptomatic Venous Thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2010 Dec 3;: [21128814] 10.1056/NEJMoa1007903

PROLONG (Palareti), 2006:

Palareti G, Cosmi B, Legnani C, Tosetto A, Brusi C, Iorio A, Pengo V, Ghirarduzzi A, Pattacini C, Testa S, Lensing AW, Tripodi A D-dimer testing to determine the duration of anticoagulation therapy. N Engl J Med 2006;355:1780-9 [17065639]

PREVENT (Ridker), 2003:

Ridker PM, Goldhaber SZ, Danielson E, Rosenberg Y, Eby CS, Deitcher SR, Cushman M, Moll S, Kessler CM, Elliott CG, Paulson R, Wong T, Bauer KA, Schwartz BA, Miletich JP, Bounameaux H, Glynn RJ Long-term, low-intensity warfarin therapy for the prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1425-34 [12601075]

Agnelli, 2003:

Agnelli G, Prandoni P, Becattini C, Silingardi M, Taliani MR, Miccio M, Imberti D, Poggio R, Ageno W, Pogliani E, Porro F, Zonzin P Extended oral anticoagulant therapy after a first episode of pulmonary embolism. Ann Intern Med 2003;139:19-25 [12834314]

Agnelli, 2001:

Agnelli G, Prandoni P, Santamaria MG, Bagatella P, Iorio A, Bazzan M, Moia M, Guazzaloca G, Bertoldi A, Tomasi C, Scannapieco G, Ageno W Three months versus one year of oral anticoagulant therapy for idiopathic deep venous thrombosis. Warfarin Optimal Duration Italian Trial Investigators. N Engl J Med 2001;345:165-9 [11463010]

LAFIT (Kearon), 1999:

Kearon C, Gent M, Hirsh J, Weitz J, Kovacs MJ, Anderson DR, Turpie AG, Green D, Ginsberg JS, Wells P, MacKinnon B, Julian JA A comparison of three months of anticoagulation with extended anticoagulation for a first episode of idiopathic venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 1999;340:901-7 [10089183]

Kearon C, Gent M, Hirsh J, Weitz J, Kovacs MJ, Anderson DR, Turpie AG, Green D, Ginsberg JS, Wells P, MacKinnon B, Julian JA A comparison of three months of anticoagulation with extended anticoagulation for a first episode of idiopathic venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 1999;340:901-7 [10089183]

ELAET (Kearon), 2004:

Kearon C, Ginsberg JS, Anderson DR, Kovacs MJ, Wells P, Julian JA, Mackinnon B, Demers C, Douketis J, Turpie AG, Van Nguyen P, Green D, Kassis J, Kahn SR, Solymoss S, Desjardins L, Geerts W, Johnston M, Weitz JI, Hirsh J, Gent M Comparison of 1 month with 3 months of anticoagulation for a first episode of venous thromboembolism associated with a transient risk factor. J Thromb Haemost 2004;2:743-9 [15099280]

Levine, 1995:

Levine MN, Hirsh J, Gent M, Turpie AG, Weitz J, Ginsberg J, Geerts W, LeClerc J, Neemeh J, Powers P Optimal duration of oral anticoagulant therapy: a randomized trial comparing four weeks with three months of warfarin in patients with proximal deep vein thrombosis. Thromb Haemost 1995;74:606-11 [8584992]

DURAC (Schulman), 1997:

Schulman S, Granqvist S, Holmstrm M, Carlsson A, Lindmarker P, Nicol P, Eklund SG, Nordlander S, Lrfars G, Leijd B, Linder O, Loogna E The duration of oral anticoagulant therapy after a second episode of venous thromboembolism. The Duration of Anticoagulation Trial Study Group. N Engl J Med 1997;336:393-8 [9010144]

THRIVE III, 2003:

Schulman S, Whlander K, Lundstrm T, Clason SB, Eriksson H Secondary prevention of venous thromboembolism with the oral direct thrombin inhibitor ximelagatran. N Engl J Med 2003 Oct 30;349:1713-21 [14585939]

Schulman S, Lundstrm T, Wlander K, Billing Clason S, Eriksson H Ximelagatran for the secondary prevention of venous thromboembolism: a complementary follow-up analysis of the THRIVE III study. Thromb Haemost 2005 Oct;94:820-4 [16270637]

ELATE, 2003:

Kearon C, Ginsberg JS, Kovacs MJ, Anderson DR, Wells P, Julian JA, MacKinnon B, Weitz JI, Crowther MA, Dolan S, Turpie AG, Geerts W, Solymoss S, van Nguyen P, Demers C, Kahn SR, Kassis J, Rodger M, Hambleton J, Gent M Comparison of low-intensity warfarin therapy with conventional-intensity warfarin therapy for long-term prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2003;349:631-9 [12917299] 10.1056/NEJMoa035422

Kakkar (arvin), 1969:

Arneson, 1978:

Arnesen H, Heilo A, Jakobsen E, Ly B, Skaga E A prospective study of streptokinase and heparin in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis. Acta Med Scand 1978;203:457-63 [352099]

Arnesen H, Hiseth A, Ly B Streptokinase of heparin in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis. Follow-up results of a prospective study. Acta Med Scand 1982;211:65-8 [7041523]

Common, 1976:

Common HH, Seaman AJ, Rsch J, Porter JM, Dotter CT Deep vein thrombosis treated with streptokinase or heparin. Follow-up of a randomized study. Angiology 1976;27:645-54 [802925]

Porter JM, Seaman AJ, Common HH, Rsch J, Eidemiller LR, Calhoun AD Comparison of heparin and streptokinase in the treatment of venous thrombosis. Am Surg 1975;41:511-19 [1101755]

Rsch J, Dotter CT, Seaman AJ, Porter JM, Common HH Healing of deep venous thrombosis: venographic findings in a randomized study comparing streptokinase and heparin. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1976;127:553-8 [970521]

Seaman AJ, Common HH, Rsch J, Dotter CT, Porter JM, Lindell TD, Lawler WL, Schlueter WJ Deep vein thrombosis treated with streptokinase or heparin. A randomized study. Angiology 1976;27:549-56 [1053467]

Elsharawy, 2002:

Elsharawy M, Elzayat E Early results of thrombolysis vs anticoagulation in iliofemoral venous thrombosis. A randomised clinical trial. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2002;24:209-14 [12217281]

Schulman, 1986:

Schulman S, Granqvist S, Juhlin-Dannfelt A, Lockner D Long-term sequelae of calf vein thrombosis treated with heparin or low-dose streptokinase. Acta Med Scand 1986;219:349-57 [3521207]

Tsapogas, 1973:

Tsapogas MJ, Peabody RA, Wu KT, Karmody AM, Devaraj KT, Eckert C Controlled study of thrombolytic therapy in deep vein thrombosis. Surgery 1973;74:973-84 [4749637]

Kakkar (streptokinase), 1969:

Schweizer (systemic SK), 2000:

Schweizer J, Kirch W, Koch R, Elix H, Hellner G, Forkmann L, Graf A Short- and long-term results after thrombolytic treatment of deep venous thrombosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:1336-43 [11028492]

Goldhaber (tPA alone), 1990:

Goldhaber SZ, Meyerovitz MF, Green D, Vogelzang RL, Citrin P, Heit J, Sobel M, Wheeler HB, Plante D, Kim H Randomized controlled trial of tissue plasminogen activator in proximal deep venous thrombosis. Am J Med 1990;88:235-40 [2106783]

Schweizer (local tPA), 2000:

Schweizer J, Kirch W, Koch R, Elix H, Hellner G, Forkmann L, Graf A Short- and long-term results after thrombolytic treatment of deep venous thrombosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:1336-43 [11028492]

Turpie, 1990:

Turpie AG, Levine MN, Hirsh J, Ginsberg JS, Cruickshank M, Jay R, Gent M Tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) vs heparin in deep vein thrombosis. Results of a randomized trial. Chest 1990;97:172S-175S [2108855]

Hirsh J. Thrombolytic therapy for venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism Thrombosis Haemostasis 1989;62(1):547-Abstract No 1739

Verhaeghe (high dose), 1989:

Verhaeghe R, Besse P, Bounameaux H, Marbet GA Multicenter pilot study of the efficacy and safety of systemic rt-PA administration in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis of the lower extremities and/or pelvis. Thromb Res 1989;55:5-11 [2506661]

Goldhaber (tPA+heparin), 1990:

Goldhaber SZ, Meyerovitz MF, Green D, Vogelzang RL, Citrin P, Heit J, Sobel M, Wheeler HB, Plante D, Kim H Randomized controlled trial of tissue plasminogen activator in proximal deep venous thrombosis. Am J Med 1990;88:235-40 [2106783]

Verhaeghe (low dose), 1989:

Verhaeghe R, Besse P, Bounameaux H, Marbet GA Multicenter pilot study of the efficacy and safety of systemic rt-PA administration in the treatment of deep vein thrombosis of the lower extremities and/or pelvis. Thromb Res 1989;55:5-11 [2506661]

Schweizer tPA, 1998:

Schweizer J, Elix H, Altmann E, Hellner G, Forkmann L Comparative results of thrombolysis treatment with rt-PA and urokinase: a pilot study. Vasa 1998;27:167-71 [9747153]

Kiil, 1981:

Kiil J, Carvalho A, Saks P, Nielsen HO Urokinase or heparin in the management of patients with deep vein thrombosis? Acta Chir Scand 1981;147:529-32 [7048826]

Schweizer (urokinase), 1998:

Schweizer J, Elix H, Altmann E, Hellner G, Forkmann L Comparative results of thrombolysis treatment with rt-PA and urokinase: a pilot study. Vasa 1998;27:167-71 [9747153]

Schweizer (local urokinase), 2000:

Schweizer J, Kirch W, Koch R, Elix H, Hellner G, Forkmann L, Graf A Short- and long-term results after thrombolytic treatment of deep venous thrombosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:1336-43 [11028492]

Schweizer (systemic urokinase), 2000:

Schweizer J, Kirch W, Koch R, Elix H, Hellner G, Forkmann L, Graf A Short- and long-term results after thrombolytic treatment of deep venous thrombosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:1336-43 [11028492]

PREPIC, 1998:

Decousus H, Leizorovicz A, Parent F, Page Y, Tardy B, Girard P, Laporte S, Faivre R, Charbonnier B, Barral FG, Huet Y, Simonneau G A clinical trial of vena caval filters in the prevention of pulmonary embolism in patients with proximal deep-vein thrombosis. Prvention du Risque d'Embolie Pulmonaire par Interruption Cave Study Group. N Engl J Med 1998;338:409-15 [9459643]

Eight-year follow-up of patients with permanent vena cava filters in the prevention of pulmonary embolism: the PREPIC (Prevention du Risque d'Embolie Pulmonaire par Interruption Cave) randomized study. Circulation 2005;112:416-22 [16009794]

AMPLIFY EXT 2.5mg, 2013:

Agnelli G, Buller HR, Cohen A, Curto M, Gallus AS, Johnson M, Porcari A, Raskob GE, Weitz JI Apixaban for extended treatment of venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013;368:699-708 [23216615] 10.1056/NEJMoa1207541

AMPLIFY EXT 5mg, 2013:

Agnelli G, Buller HR, Cohen A, Curto M, Gallus AS, Johnson M, Porcari A, Raskob GE, Weitz JI Apixaban for extended treatment of venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013;368:699-708 [23216615] 10.1056/NEJMoa1207541

ASPIRE, 2012:

Brighton TA, Eikelboom JW, Mann K, Mister R, Gallus A, Ockelford P, Gibbs H, Hague W, Xavier D, Diaz R, Kirby A, Simes J Low-dose aspirin for preventing recurrent venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2012;367:1979-87 [23121403] 10.1056/NEJMoa1210384

WARFASA, 2012:

Becattini C, Agnelli G, Schenone A, Eichinger S, Bucherini E, Silingardi M, Bianchi M, Moia M, Ageno W, Vandelli MR, Grandone E, Prandoni P Aspirin for preventing the recurrence of venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1959-67 [22621626] 10.1056/NEJMoa1114238

RESONATE, 2013:

Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, Schellong S, Eriksson H, Baanstra D, Kvamme AM, Friedman J, Mismetti P, Goldhaber SZ Extended use of dabigatran, warfarin, or placebo in venous thromboembolism. N Engl J Med 2013;368:709-18 [23425163] 10.1056/NEJMoa1113697

Ott import, 1998:

Ott P, Eldrup E, Oxholm P [Value of anticoagulant therapy in deep venous thrombosis in the lower limb in elderly, mobilized patients. A double-blind placebo controlled study with open therapeutic guidance] Ugeskr Laeger 1988;150:218-21 [3287734]

Van Gogh, 2007:

Buller HR, Cohen AT, Davidson B, Decousus H, Gallus AS, Gent M, Pillion G, Piovella F, Prins MH, Raskob GE Extended prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism with idraparinux. N Engl J Med 2007;357:1105-12 [17855671] 10.1056/NEJMoa067703

15 abdominal aortic aneurysm

Trial	Treatments	Patients	Trials design and methods
endovascular repair vs surveillance			
PIVOTAL (Ouriel) , 2010 n=366/362 follow-up: 20 months	early endovascular repair versus ultrasound surveillance	patients (13.3% women; mean age, 71 +/- 8 years) with 4 to 5 cm abdominal aortic aneurysms	Parallel groups open USA
EVAR trial 2 , 2005 [ISRCTN55703451] n=197/207 follow-up: 2.4 y (median)	Endovascular aneurysm repair versus No intervention	patients aged 60 years or older who had aneurysms of at least55 cm in diameter and of poor health status considered unfit for major surgery.	Parallel groups open UK
endovascular repair vs open repair			
OVER , 2009 [NCT00094575] n=444/437 follow-up: 1.8y	endovascular repair versus open repair	men (aged >=49 years) with abdominal aortic aneurysms candidate for both both elective endovascular repair and open repair	Parallel groups open USA
DREAM , 2005 [NCT00421330] n=173/178 follow-up: 2 y (6 y)	elective endovascular repair versus conventional open repair	abdominal aortic aneurysm of at least 5 cm in diameter and who were considered suitable candidates for both techniques.	Parallel groups open The Netherlands
EVAR trial 1 , 2005 [ISRCTN55703451] n=626/626 follow-up: 2.9 y (median)	endovascular aneurysm repair versus open repair	aneurysms of at least 55 cm in diameter	Parallel groups open UK

More details and results :

- endovascular treatment for abdominal aortic aneurysm in all type of patients at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q356
- endovascular treatment for abdominal aortic aneurysm in patient with large AAA at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q419
- endovascular treatment for abdominal aortic aneurysm in patients with small AAA at http://www.trialresultscenter.org/go-Q420

References

PIVOTAL (Ouriel), 2010:

Ouriel K, Clair DG, Kent KC, Zarins CK Endovascular repair compared with surveillance for patients with small abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Vasc Surg 2010;51:1081-7 [20304589] 10.1016/j.jvs.2009.10.113

EVAR trial 2, 2005:

Endovascular aneurysm repair and outcome in patients unfit for open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR trial 2): randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2005;365:2187-92 [15978926]

Greenhalgh RM, Brown LC, Powell JT, Thompson SG, Epstein D Endovascular repair of aortic aneurysm in patients physically ineligible for open repair. N Engl J Med 2010 May 20;362:1872-80 [20382982] 10.1056/NEJMoa0911056

OVER, 2009:

Lederle FA, Freischlag JA, Kyriakides TC, Padberg FT Jr, Matsumura JS, Kohler TR, Lin PH, Jean-Claude JM, Cikrit DF, Swanson KM, Peduzzi PN Outcomes Following Endovascular vs Open Repair of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm: A Randomized Trial. JAMA 2009;302:1535-1542 [19826022]

DREAM, 2005:

Blankensteijn JD, de Jong SE, Prinssen M, van der Ham AC, Buth J, van Sterkenburg SM, Verhagen HJ, Buskens E, Grobbee DE Two-year outcomes after conventional or endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2398-405 [15944424]

Prinssen M, Verhoeven EL, Buth J, Cuypers PW, van Sambeek MR, Balm R, Buskens E, Grobbee DE, Blankensteijn JD A randomized trial comparing conventional and endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1607-18 [15483279]

De Bruin JL, Baas AF, Buth J, Prinssen M, Verhoeven EL, Cuypers PW, van Sambeek MR, Balm R, Grobbee DE, Blankensteijn JD Long-term outcome of open or endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. N Engl J Med 2010 May 20;362:1881-9 [20484396]

EVAR trial 1, 2005:

Endovascular aneurysm repair versus open repair in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR trial 1): randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2005;365:2179-86 [15978925]

Greenhalgh RM, Brown LC, Kwong GP, Powell JT, Thompson SG Comparison of endovascular aneurysm repair with open repair in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm (EVAR trial 1), 30-day operative mortality results: randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2004;364:843-8 [15351191]

Greenhalgh RM, Brown LC, Powell JT, Thompson SG, Epstein D, Sculpher MJ Endovascular versus open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm. N Engl J Med 2010 May 20;362:1863-71 [20382983] 10.1056/NEJMoa0909305

Entry terms: enoxaparin, Lovenox, Clexane, acebutolol, Sectral, Monitan, Rhotral, Neptal, spironolactone, Veroshpiron, Verospirone, Spiractin, Spirobeta, Spirogamma, Spirolang, Spirono-Isis, Spirono Isis, Spironone, Spirospare, Verospiron, Aldactone, Aldactone A, Aquareduct, duraspiron, Espironolactona Alter, Espironolactona Mundogen, Flumach, Frumikal, Jenaspiron, Novo-Spiroton, Novo Spiroton, NovoSpiroton, Practon, Spiro L.U.T., spiro von ct, , alprenolol, amiloride, amiodarone, Amiobeta, Cordarone, Cordarex, Amiodarex, Kordaron, Trangorex, Amiodarona, Amiohexal, Braxan, Corbionax, Ortacrone, Rytmarone, Tachydaron, Aratac, amrinone, apixaban, BMS 562247, BMS562247, BMS-562247, Eliquis, , aspirin, atenolol